Gold Fields Limited is a globally diversified gold producer with eight operating mines (including our Asanko Joint Venture) and projects in Australia, Chile, Ghana, Peru and South Africa, and total attributable annual gold-equivalent production of approximately 2Moz.

It has attributable gold Mineral Reserves of around 48.1Moz and gold Mineral Resources of around 96.6Moz. Attributable copper Mineral Reserves total 691 million pounds and Mineral Resources 847 million pounds, while silver Reserves total 39.3Moz and Resources 43.7Moz.

Gold Fields has a primary listing on the Johannesburg Stock Exchange (JSE) Limited, with a secondary listing on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE).

Our integrated reporting approach aims to enable our stakeholders to make a more informed assessment of the value of Gold Fields and its prospects. This Integrated Annual Report (IAR) is structured around the Gold Fields’ Group balanced scorecard, which is how we measure our performance against our strategy and the matters we consider to be most material to the sustainability of our Group (p14). Gold Fields embraces integrated thinking and takes an integrated approach to value creation, which is aligned with the International Integrated Reporting Council’s (IIRC) six capitals model.

The IAR also forms part of our adherence to the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) Standards and the 10 Principles of the International Council on Mining & Metals (ICMM), whose mandatory requirements of its position statements are presented online. We also align with the 10 Principles of the United Nations Global Compact.

**Our full 2018 IAR comprises the following:**
1. IAR: Our primary report and details of the Group’s value creation story over the short, medium and long term
3. The Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves Supplement: Detailed technical and operational information on our mines and growth projects
4. The Notice of Annual General Meeting: The resolutions to be tabled to shareholders at our Annual General Meeting
5. The Gold Fields GRI Report 2018

**Forward looking statements**
This report contains forward looking statements within the meaning of section 27A of the U.S. Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, and section 21E of the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, with respect to Gold Fields’ financial position, results of operations, business strategies, operating efficiencies, competitive position, growth opportunities for existing services, plans and objectives of management, markets for stock and other matters. Non-IFRS measures are used throughout the IAR. These have been defined in the Management’s discussion and analysis of the financial statement in the Annual Financial Report, p129 – 130.

Average exchange rates for 2018 of R13.20/US$1 and US$0.747/A$1 have been used in this report. For 2019, forecast exchange rates of R13.61/US$1 and US$0.75/A$1 have been used.

**ICMM subject matters**
Gold Fields has complied with the ICMM Sustainable Development Framework, Principles, Position Statements and Reporting Requirements (see p129 – 130, for the assurance hereof).

Our compliance with the ICMM is addressed throughout this report and on our website. This detail covers:
- The alignment of our sustainable development policies against the 10 principles and mandatory position statements
- The process for identifying specific sustainable development risks and opportunities
- The existence and implementation of systems and approaches for managing sustainable development risks and opportunities
- Gold Fields’ performance across a selection of identified material sustainable development risks and opportunities. Our disclosures in accordance with the GRI Standards can be accessed at www.goldfields.com/sustainability

**Assurance**
ERM has provided independent reasonable assurance over selected sustainability information in this report, which is prepared in accordance with the GRI Standards: core option. As a member of the ICMM, we are committed to obtaining assurance in line with the ICMM Sustainable Development Framework: Assurance Procedure. ERM has provided assurance over our statement on compliance with the ICMM Sustainable Development Framework, Principles and Reporting Requirements. The key sustainability performance data for assurance by ERM in 2018 can be found on p131 – 132.

**Board approval**
The Gold Fields Board of Directors acknowledges its responsibility to ensure the integrity of this IAR and has applied its collective mind throughout the preparation of this report. The Board believes that the integrated report is presented in compliance with the International Integrated Reporting Framework. Furthermore, the Board considers that this IAR complies in all material respects with the relevant statutory requirements of the various regulations governing disclosure and reporting by Gold Fields and that the annual financial statements comply in all material respects with the South African Companies Act No 71 of 2008, as amended, as well as with the International Financial Reporting Standards.

As such, the Board unanimously approves the content of the IAR 2018, including the Annual Financial Report 2018, and authorised its release on 29 March 2019.

**Cheryl Carolus**
Chairperson of the Board
25 March 2019
United Nations’ Sustainable Development Goals

Given our commitment to sustainable development, there is great potential for Gold Fields to make an important and lasting contribution towards the United Nations’ Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Gold Fields seeks to work with partners to catalyse lasting social and economic progress that supports an end to poverty, protects the planet and ensures prosperity for all. The following development goals are viewed as critical in the work of the mining and metals sector in particular.

Where we believe our work is relevant to achievement of these goals the icons below will appear in this IAR.

Send us your feedback:
To ensure that we report on issues that matter to our stakeholders please provide any feedback and questions to: investors@goldfields.com, sustainability@goldfields.com or visit www.goldfields.com to download the feedback form.
Our global footprint

Gold Fields’ West Africa region consists of two mines, Tarkwa and Damang, and a JV, Asanko, in Ghana.

1 Includes 45% of Asanko Gold for August – December 2018
2 TRIFR – Total Recordable Injury Frequency Rate Injuries per 1 million hours worked, including employees and contractors
3 Net cash-flow = cash-flow from operating activities less net capital expenditure, environmental payments and finance lease payments.
   If growth capital is excluded the numbers in US$m would have been: West Africa 2017: 179 2018: 149
4 The statistics for Australia include Darlot up to the date of its sale on 2 October 2017

The South Deep mine, which is still in ramp-up phase, is the only operating asset in the South Africa region.
Gold Fields’ presence in the Americas region consists of the Cerro Corona mine in Peru and the Salares Norte project in Chile.

The Australia region consists of three mines, Agnew, Granny Smith and St Ives and one project, Gruyere.
Our business model

INVESTMENTS

HUMAN CAPITAL
Our 5,601 employees and 12,010 contractors provide the manpower and skills to drive our business.

NATURAL CAPITAL
Water and energy are critical to support our mining process and business activities, while access to land allows us to extract a country’s gold resources.

SOCIAL AND RELATIONSHIP CAPITAL
The support of host communities and relationships with governments secure and maintain our social and regulatory licence to operate.

FINANCIAL CAPITAL
Banks, shareholders and bond holders provide the financial capital that funds the sustainability and growth of our operations.

MANUFACTURED CAPITAL
Contractors and suppliers supply the manufactured capital (goods and services) for the development and sustainability of our mines.

INTELLECTUAL CAPITAL
The intellectual input of our people and partners (OEMs and technology companies) inform the development of strategy, the efficient use of machinery and the management of key business risks.

OUTCOMES FOR THE BUSINESS AND STAKEHOLDERS DURING 2018

HUMAN CAPITAL
- US$442m paid in salaries, dividends and benefits
- US$14m spent on training
- 1 fatal incident
- Improvement in total recordable injury frequency rate (TRIFR) to 1.83
- Retrenchment of 1,092 employees and 420 contractors at South Deep
- About 1,203 former employees now employed by new mining contractors at Tarkwa

NATURAL CAPITAL
- 21.2GL water withdrawn, with 66% of water recycled
- 2 Level 3 environmental incidents
- 1.85m tonnes of CO₂ emissions
- 184.7Mt of total material moved
- 41Mt of tailings

GOOD GOVERNANCE FOUNDATION
A strong ethos of good governance underpins everything we do. Our approach (detailed on p23 – 28) goes beyond compliance – we believe it is an important differentiator, gaining us the
We seek to responsibly manage mine closure and optimise our mine closure liabilities through integrated mine closure planning and progressive rehabilitation.

Physical and chemical processing of ore results in semi-pure gold doré and copper/gold concentrate. The doré is externally refined into gold bullion.

We seek to responsibly manage mine closure and optimise our mine closure liabilities through integrated mine closure planning and progressive rehabilitation.

Physical and chemical processing of ore results in semi-pure gold doré and copper/gold concentrate. The doré is externally refined into gold bullion.

2.04Moz attributable gold-equivalent produced (Further details on p56)

185mt mining waste (Further details on p103)

1.85mt CO₂-e emissions (Further details on p97)

41Mt of tailings (Further details on p103)

US$2.58bn revenue generated (Further details on p84)

US$2.71bn total value created (Further details on p6)

Social and relationship capital
- US$26m invested in projects that directly benefit our host communities
- Employment for 9,259 members of host communities (56% of total workforce, including contractors)
- US$441m spent on host community enterprises procurement (22% of total procurement costs)
- US$1,813m to suppliers and contractors, representing 67% of total value creation
- 94% of total 2018 procurement expenditure by mines was spent on businesses based in operating countries
- US$293m paid to governments in taxes and royalties

Financial capital
- US$147m paid in interest and dividends
- Increase in net debt to US$1,612m (2017: US$1,303m)
- NYSE share price down 8%
- Dividend of R0.40/share declared
- US$400m in gross mining closure liabilities

Manufactured capital
- Eight operating mines (including our Asanko JV)
- Two projects in Australia and Chile
- Total attributable annual gold-equivalent production of 2.04Moz
- Copper production of 32,000 tonnes

Intellectual capital
- Fibre networks installed underground in certain operations
- Drones deployed at Tarkwa and Damang to conduct remote surveying
- Granny Smith, South Deep and St Ives improved their people and equipment tracking systems
- Continued investment in South Deep, South Africa’s largest bulk, mechanised, underground gold mine

Our support of communities, ongoing access to mines from governments, the buy-in from existing and potential employees and the trust of capital providers. We subscribe to numerous international best practice standards.
The ultimate aim of our strategy and business model is to create value for our stakeholders.
Payments include
Socio-economic development (SED) spending, including infrastructure, health and wellbeing, education and training, local environmental initiatives and donations.

Why these stakeholders matter
Host communities are the source of a significant portion of our workforce and a key component of our social licence to operate.

2018 Contributions:
- We spent US$26m (2017: US$17m) in terms of SED investment, including contributions from the South Deep trusts
- 56% of our workforce is drawn from host communities
- The graph below provides an analysis of our host community employment and procurement as well as other benefits and investment in communities

Community investment drives integrated investment
- Support areas where community suppliers can participate
- Identify community suppliers with ability to supply the mine
- Provide skills development to close capability gaps
- Delivery long-term, enduring value
- Build skills base in community workforce through education, bursaries, etc
- Make community the first option for hiring staff
- Encourage contractors/suppliers to employ from the community
- Balanced across services (health, education), enterprise development and infrastructure
- Matched to capacity and development needs of communities
- Shared Value projects benefit both communities and our mines. Partnering for dual prosperity

Gold Fields’ total value creation (2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>US$m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Social investment</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee payments</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total supplier spend</td>
<td>2,113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government payments</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital providers</td>
<td>412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total value creation</td>
<td>2,775</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Why the focus on communities
We believe that our host communities are one of our most critical stakeholders as they grant us our licence to operate. Over the past few years, we devoted considerable resources to sharing the value created through our mines with the communities surrounding them. This goes beyond the direct financial investment to creating sustainable surrounding economies through community employment and procurement. During 2018, we enhanced our understanding of the value created through these programmes by quantifying the impact.

Trade-offs
We continue to balance the legitimate, and at times conflicting, needs of our stakeholders in order to create value over the short, medium and long-term. These were some of the significant trade-offs we had to make during 2018.

1. Balancing financial viability with employment
- To improve financial viability, we unfortunately had to retrench 1,082 employees and 420 contractors at South Deep to right-size the business (p48)
- At Tarkwa mine, we retrenched 2,211 employees, of which 1,714 were re-engaged by contractors or on a contractor basis (p33)

2. Improving long-term sustainability
- By channeling funds into growth capital we aim to secure future growth by temporarily cutting back on other stakeholder spending

3. Managing our environmental impacts
- Mining is resource intensive, but we seek to minimise our environmental impacts. During 2018, we had two Level 3 water-related environmental incidents. We responded speedily to address the causes and communicated the incidents (p95)

4. Balancing the immediate needs of communities with long-term value creation
- Our focus shifted from short-term projects to long-term value creation by creating sustainable value for host communities through employment and procurement programmes (p113)

5. Providing long-term contributions to host governments
- At the Cero Corona mine, we reduced our taxable income in the short term to fund future growth. The investment is set to provide longer-term tax and royalty revenues to the host government
Our operating context

Gold Fields is subject to external strategic dynamics that inform decision-making and influence our business performance. An analysis of the three key strategic themes – and how Gold Fields is responding to them – is set out below.

Gold price

Issue

The price of gold continued its relatively static course during 2018, ending the year at US$1,280/oz, down just over US$30/oz from the 2018 opening price of US$1,313/oz. The average gold price received by Gold Fields, however, has barely changed from US$1,255/oz in 2017 to US$1,252/oz in 2018.

The traditional investment case for gold as a safe haven asset was called into question as many investors sold their physical gold holdings after the gold price collapsed in 2013. However, in late 2018 and early 2019 we have seen some shift to gold amid political and economic uncertainty in the US and the subsequent weakness in the US Dollar. While much of the gold price’s short-term movement is driven by market sentiment and geopolitical developments, an analysis of gold’s supply and demand fundamentals underpins our belief that the gold price could continue to improve over the next few years, though there will undoubtedly be periods of short-term volatility.

According to the CPM Group, total gold demand was steady at 127.4Moz in 2018 (2017:127Moz), with jewellery and industrial demand unchanged at 97Moz, and higher central bank purchases offsetting a decline in private investment in coins and bullion. Net purchases by central banks and other official institutions continued to grow steadily in 2018, improving by over 50% to 16.5Moz in 2018, after a similar rise in 2017 to 11Moz. Total stock demand by Exchange Traded Funds remained stable at 30.9Moz in 2018 (2017: 30Moz).

In the long term, gold supply issues will also support a recovery in the gold price, in our view. According to CPM data, mine production has plateaued between 90Moz – 92Moz since 2014. Mine supply in 2018 totalled 92.1Moz and secondary supply 30.1Moz, both unchanged from 2017, leaving total supply marginally higher at 127.4Moz in 2018 (2017: 127Moz). Many gold market analysts are of the view that the industry has reached peak production levels given the limited number of new gold discoveries since the mid-1990s, together with the decreased levels of exploration spend in recent years.

Response

Gold Fields does not predict the gold price. We expect volatility and structure the business accordingly.

We seek to maximise value by:

- Prioritising cash-flow over production volumes
- Setting targets for each region at a 15% free cash-flow (FCF) margin around a planning price of US$1,200/oz
- Eliminating marginal mining
- Selling non-strategic assets
- Hedging a portion of our gold production in times of high capital expenditure

The Group is therefore in a relatively strong state to weather a sustained lower gold price (at just over US$1,000/oz) and well positioned to capture future upside when the gold price recovers.

During 2017 and 2018, we invested in the future of our portfolio with a number of new projects, while at the same time continuing to invest in the ongoing development of ore bodies – through proactive near-mine exploration and development. Our mines avoid “high-grading” – due to the obvious negative impact this would have on the sustainability of their ore bodies – by mining at or below their reserve grade. We are implementing these strategies despite the current price environment.
Social licence to operate
Issue
The nature of the extractive sector means the industry must pay particular attention to its social licence to operate. Unlike other companies, mines are dependent on their mineral deposits and cannot relocate to new locations when facing deteriorating local or national operating environments. Furthermore, despite many mines’ lives being finite, they can still span decades. Mines must be able to navigate complex social, economic and political dynamics over time to avoid conflicts with their host communities. As it is, conflicts between communities and mines in the industry globally have risen sharply over the last decade.

To manage the potential risks, mining companies need to maximise their positive impacts, minimise their negative impacts and make sure that this is communicated to – and recognised by – host community stakeholders. For many decades this was not the case and, apart from a limited number of community jobs and procurement offered by mining companies, these communities saw few benefits. Similarly, taxes and royalties went into the coffers of central governments for national benefit and rarely found their way back through investment in host communities. It is therefore not surprising that demands from host communities have become more vocal and strident in recent years. Amid widespread use of social media and activism in these communities, their demands have also found a global audience.

Response
At Gold Fields, a strong social licence to operate is embedded in our societal value proposition and is a prerequisite for long-term generation of value for stakeholders. This approach had to be underpinned by:

- **Responsibility**: ongoing investment in responsible operational standards to avoid and mitigate negative social and environmental impacts. This includes effective water and environmental management, which has become an increasingly material issue for most mining companies (p95)

- **Trust**: frank, two-way communication, realistic expectation management and visibly honouring commitments builds trust. This includes ongoing engagement on issues such as indigenous rights, employment opportunities and social transformation (p111)

- **Understanding**: investment in communities relies on a thorough understanding of the risks, community needs and community perceptions. Since 2015, Gold Fields has undertaken relational proximity studies at a number of its mines and in 2017 also undertook socio-economic baseline and social return on investment (SROI) studies at its South Deep mine in South Africa

Value creation in host communities: we seek to create value in our communities through investment in socio-economic development (SED) projects, and, more critically, by recruiting employees and contractors from host communities and sourcing goods and services from companies in these communities (p113)

These initiatives are particularly important in the low gold price context, which has an impact on the Group’s ability to invest in community development projects as well as raising the prospect of job cuts among employees, many of whom hail from host communities.

Conflicts between mines and communities on the rise

Source: ICMM

Regulatory issues
Issue
A sound and certain regulatory and fiscal environment should enable the global gold sector to ride out short-term fluctuations in gold prices and achieve sustained returns over the 15- to 20-year average life of a mining project. In many jurisdictions, however, the legal and tax environment has become less conducive to the long-term viability of the mining sector. Many governments view the industry as an easy target for higher taxes and other fiscal impost, particularly during tough economic times. As a result, the governments’ share of mining revenue has grown at the expense of other stakeholders, but at the same time miners and investors are shying away from more risky jurisdictions.

Response
The question is how the trust gap between mining companies and governments can best be bridged. Gold Fields on its own and in conjunction with its peers in the wider global mining industry, has sought to address this trust gap in a number of ways:

- The industry is continuing to spread value to a number of stakeholders. Over the past three years, Gold Fields has consistently created between US$2bn and US$3bn in total value annually for our wide range of stakeholders – accounting for around 90% of revenue on average (p6)

- Gold Fields is actively promoting host community employment and procurement from host community enterprises in an effort to strengthen its social licence to operate and mitigate any regulatory actions that limit its ability to share the benefits of mining. In 2018, about 25% of our total value creation benefited host communities through these initiatives (p7)

- We are working with international mining bodies, such as the International Council on Mining and Metals (ICMM), to promote industry-wide best practice and showcase the benefits that a responsible and fairly regulated industry can bring

- We actively engage with our host governments in Ghana, Australia, Peru and South Africa, either directly or through industry organisations, in addressing the resource nationalism that, we believe, prevents the sector from achieving sustainable growth.

|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
Risks and materiality

Top 20 Group risks and opportunities in 2018

How Gold Fields manages risk
The approach to assessing risk in Gold Fields is a collective effort by Group, regional and mine management of the risks facing the business. The assessments of the risks and their mitigating actions are a critical internal management tool, which reduce the identified risks significantly. Risk mitigations are included in the annual Group Performance Scorecard and cascaded down to the performance scorecard of management employees at regional and operational levels. The formal risk review process starts during management’s annual strategic planning sessions where strategic risks and macro-trends are analysed in developing the Company’s risk register and mitigating actions. These are updated quarterly, and presented to the Board’s Risk Committee twice a year for verification.

Risk tables and heat maps have been published in the IAR on this basis for the last nine years.

RISK AND MITIGATING ACTIONS

1. South Deep – loss of investor confidence due to non-achievement of the restructuring plan
   - Implementation of the organisational restructuring programme
   - Productivity initiatives to unlock the full potential of all our employees
   - Skills development programmes – artisan upskilling and supervisor training programme – progressed
   - Ensure compliance to mine design
   - Improve fleet performance by focusing on effective maintenance and operation of equipment
   - Continue to ensure safe working environments

2. A sustained and significantly lower gold price and currency exchange rate volatility
   - Business plans implemented and monitored through regular cost, capital and production reviews
   - Ongoing portfolio optimisation to ensure cash generation
   - Gold and copper production hedging for various regions
   - Business restructuring and technology strategies to improve safety, efficiencies and costs

3. Resource nationalism
   - Enhanced engagement and lobbying through industry bodies
   - Further refinement of stakeholder engagement policies and strategies
   - South Deep’s new 2018 — 2022 Social and Labour Plan (SLP) submitted. Waiting for approval by the regulator
   - Shared Value projects, particularly host community employment and procurement programmes

4. Non-delivery of Damang Reinvestment and Gruyere projects
   - Damang Reinvestment project ahead of schedule
   - Mitigating strategies in place to catch up on the slight backlog at Gruyere caused by severe weather
   - Monthly reporting and monitoring of construction and engineering schedules
   - Management of construction and commissioning contractor strengthened
   - Night shift introduced at Gruyere to ensure project schedule is achieved

5. Replacing Resources and Reserves at international operations
   - Comprehensive near-mine exploration programmes in place
   - Mergers and acquisitions strategy to identify opportunities, such as the Asanko joint venture (JV) in 2018
   - Damang Reinvestment project ahead of plan, and Gruyere commissioning planned for mid-2019
   - Salares Norte project feasibility study completed

Gold Fields Group materiality score for GRI standards
(where 1 = critical to Gold Fields and 10 = not material at all)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materiality Area</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct and indirect economic impacts</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and safety</td>
<td>2.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public policy / corporate governance</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental compliance</td>
<td>3.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>3.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water management</td>
<td>3.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy / emissions</td>
<td>3.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigenous people</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For how we determine our risks and materiality, see www.goldfields.com/risk-management-and-materiality.php.
Safety and health of our employees

- Establishment of the Group Safety Leadership forum in 2018
- Courageous Safety Leadership programme to be rolled out throughout the Group during 2019
- Behaviour-based safety and visible-felt leadership programmes ongoing in all regions
- All operations certified to OHSAS 18001 standard, converting to ISO 45001
- Independent verification of critical controls identified in the ICMM critical control management programme during 2019

Water pollution, supply and cost

- Strict and focused compliance with environmental management regulations
- All operations ISO 14001 certified
- Water management plans expanded to include post-closure water management
- Water recycle, reuse and conservation practices in place in all regions, and targets set for 2019

Attraction and retention of skills

- Fit-for-purpose regional and mine human resource (HR) structures to meet operational requirements
- HR strategy focused on developing a high-performance culture
- Succession planning and talent review systems in place at mine, regional and Group level
- Building line leader capabilities to enable strategic and operational focus and key deliverables

Cost of energy and security of power supply

- Implementation of the integrated energy and carbon management strategy
- Solar microgrid system advanced at Granny Smith, and signed agreement for a hybrid solution at Agnew
- Review of South Deep solar photovoltaic project
- Oil price hedges in place in Australia and Ghana, ending in 2022

Cybercrime / Loss of ICT data

- South Deep, corporate and regional offices ISO 27001 certified, with certification of all outstanding operations planned for 2019
- Cyber security maturity assessment conducted and areas for continual improvement identified
- Ongoing attack and penetration testing

Impact on social licence to operate

- Growth opportunities in stable mining destinations – Damang, Gruyere and Salares Norte
- Enhanced stakeholder engagement planned for 2019
- Strengthen stakeholder engagement strategy to deal with Native Title issues in Australia
- Ongoing community investment and Shared Value projects in Ghana, Peru and South Africa

Failure to implement climate adaptation measures

- Comprehensive climate change risk assessment conducted at all mines with remedial action plans being implemented
- Alignment of financial and operational disclosures to the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)
- Evaluating 20% renewable energy options for new project in Chile

Increased geothermal underground risks

- Implementation of the recommendations by the external Geotechnical Review Board (GRB) at South Deep is ongoing
- Enhanced ground and secondary support to mitigate against rock bursts
- GRB work extended in 2018 to all Australian operations to mitigate the effects of seismicity

Increased surface open pit geotechnical risks

- GRB work to be undertaken for all major project and pit cutbacks
- Real time continuous pit wall monitoring at Damang
- Upgrading hydro-geological monitoring at the Cerro Corona pit to enhance pit wall stability

Tailings dam failure

- Gold Fields’ tailing storage facilities (TSFs) aligned with and assured against the ICMM position statement
- Increased governance of TSFs at Company and Board level
- Accelerated dam break assessments, design code compliances and updated emergency response procedures implemented

High debt levels

- Ongoing sale of non-core investments
- Extensions for maturity dates on revolving credit facilities
- Regular engagements with credit rating agencies and financial institutions
- Cash generation from operations to be used to pay down debt
- New bond offering under review

Political uncertainty in jurisdictions where we operate

- Continued geographic de-risking towards mining jurisdictions in which we operate
- Enhanced stakeholder engagement planned in 2019 with key stakeholders, particularly governments and communities
- Engaging governments directly and indirectly through industry associations

Fraud and breach of the Code of Conduct

- Rigorous oversight through Board and the Social, Ethics and Transformation Committee
- Compliance with corporate governance codes and regular reviews
- Global training programme conducted on relevant legislation
- Screening of suppliers and contractors for pre-defined risks

Failure to modernise operations

- Real-time monitoring solutions that track movement of equipment, people and production
- CEO Young Persons Team established to align with latest digital and social media trends
- Programme in place for cooperation between original equipment manufacturers, suppliers and ourselves
- Innovation and technology (I&T) strategy implementation to work towards Gold Fields Mine of the Future

Ezulwini (neighbouring mine) re-watering impact on South Deep

- Planned maintenance and monitoring programme of reinforced concrete water plugs between the two mines
- Participation in Ezulwini closure regulatory processes backed by legal strategy
- Development of alternative solutions to utilise mine water
Top five risks and opportunities per region in 2018

### Americas region

1. **Life-of-mine extension at Cerro Corona**
   - Accelerate mining and stockpiling to facilitate early in-pit tailings
   - Pre-feasibility study for 2030 life-of-mine extension finalised, and feasibility study to be completed in 2019

2. **Salares Norte project, Chile – Potential delay in Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) approval**
   - Close interaction with the authorities and building sound relations in terms of baseline studies
   - Assurance of project information, engineering design, scope and timetable
   - Proactive and timely community engagement programme

3. **Lower copper and gold grades**
   - Continuous monitoring of grade reconciliation
   - Drilling programme to target deeper ore resources
   - Additional stockpile build-up to reduce risk of ore shortages due to higher cut-off grade

4. **Increasing hardness of ore impacting processing throughput**
   - Ongoing blasting engineering project to optimise ore fragmentation
   - Implementation of optimisation projects in the process facility to deal with increased hardness

5. **Local social pressures, conflicts and community expectations**
   - Proactive community and stakeholder relationships and engagement
   - Crisis management plans to deal with potential conflict
   - Stringent follow-up and feedback on all community commitments
   - Involvement of government authorities in our social projects

### Australia region

1. **Reserve life**
   - Commissioning of the Gruyere project planned for mid-2019
   - Significant near-mine exploration to delineate further reserves
   - Accelerating exploration intervention at Agnew
   - Acquisition of JV ground near St Ives and assessing toll-treatment options

2. **Gruyere project delivery**
   - Stronger management team appointed at the construction contractor
   - Increased room capacity at onsite camp facility to facilitate larger labour component
   - Night shift implementation
   - Stricter expenditure approval process

3. **Turnover of key personnel**
   - Review and enhancement of employee development programmes
   - Flexible working arrangement to facilitate greater work-life balance
   - Market-related salary increases
   - Quarterly talent discussions held at leadership level

4. **Volatility of Australian gold price**
   - Ongoing portfolio of business improvement projects
   - Flexible working arrangement to facilitate greater work-life balance
   - Hedges in place for gold, currency and oil

5. **Native title legislation**
   - Stakeholder engagement strategies and programmes in place
   - Extend business opportunities and job placement to Indigenous groupings, where feasible
   - Finalisation of a holistic strategy for Indigenous Engagement
   - Development of a Reconciliation Action Plan
   - Ongoing legal and specialist support
RISKS/OPPORTUNITY AND MITIGATING ACTIONS

1. Fiscal and government policy changes
   - Frequent engagement with relevant government departments
   - Intensive engagement via the Chamber of Mines
   - Ensure adherence to principles and conditions in the Development Agreement (DA)
   - Back-up legal strategies

2. Under-performance of contractor mining at Tarkwa
   - Updating and monitoring key contract milestones
   - Implementation of continuous improvement initiatives
   - Dedicated team to address and mitigate shortfalls in contractor performance

3. Execution of Damang mine Reinvestment project
   - Implementation and delivery of milestones under the reinvestment plan
   - Fit-for-purpose organisational structure and continuous improvement initiatives
   - Pit-wall control implementation

4. Reserve depletion at Tarkwa – inadequate organic growth and life-of-mine extension
   - Bringing the Asanko JV ounces to account and aligning processes and systems
   - Continued brownfields exploration to test for further potential at Tarkwa
   - I&T programme to improve operational and processing efficiencies
   - Ensure utilisation of DA benefits for long-term exploration potential

5. Optimisation of Independent Power Producer (IPP) arrangements
   - Continuous monitoring of IPP performance
   - Full commissioning and expansion of power plants at both Damang and Tarkwa
   - Completion of the gas pipeline to supply plants with natural gas replacing the road trucked liquid gas

South Africa region

RISKS/OPPORTUNITY AND MITIGATING ACTIONS

1. Loss of investor confidence due to non-achievement of the business plan
   - South Deep workforce has been restructured through the Section 189 process – ensure the right people in the right roles
   - Frontline leadership, productivity and ways of working intervention
   - Effective and sustainable management operating system
   - Identify business improvement initiatives and drive implementation
   - Improvement of fleet reliability and utilisation
   - Adaptation to Eskom supply constraints and developing longer-term strategies for power self-sufficiency

2. Poorly defined execution strategy
   - Develop and roll out key visual control standards
   - Organisational restructuring frontline coaching
   - Implementation of a business improvement process
   - Improved fleet utilisation
   - Ore pass/tip/discharge chute rehabilitation
   - Roadway and footwall (water) management

3. Inappropriate organisational structure
   - Embed new HR structure
   - Fit-for-purpose organisational structures with the right people in the right roles
   - Robust talent management system
   - Identity, develop and recruit successor for critical roles

4. Ageing infrastructure
   - Replacing ageing infrastructure based on an inventory of our assets
   - Utilise South Shaft for mining services only to enable extended maintenance
   - Implementing infrastructure and maintenance improvement projects
   - Independent risk engineering audit conducted on infrastructure and fire risks with a five-year remedial action plan developed

5. Health and safety of our employees
   - Roll out and effective monitoring of the safety management systems
   - Implementing behaviour-based safety programmes and the Courageous Leadership programme
   - Effective baseline risk management process identifying major unwanted events and verification of effective critical controls
   - Strengthen systems for effective reduction of dust and noise exposure levels
   - Implementation of South African mining industry’s occupational safety and health initiatives

West Africa region

SEVERITY

PROBABILITY

minimum
maximum

South Africa region

SEVERITY

PROBABILITY

minimum
maximum
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Performance against strategic targets

Group 2018 performance against BSC objectives

Our strategy is embodied through our Board-approved balanced scorecard (BSC), which is cascaded throughout our organisation. Below we reflect on our performance against these targets in 2018. On the pages that follow, we show our CEO’s performance against his 2018 targets, as well as the Group’s 2019 BSC.

VISION:
To be the global leader in sustainable gold mining

MEDIUM-TERM ASPIRATION:
By 2020 we are targeting All-in Cost (AIC) of approximately US$900/oz

ANNUAL TARGET:
Free cash-flow (FCF) margin of 15% at US$1,200/oz gold price

THE GOLD FIELDS VALUES:

- Safety: If we cannot mine safely, we will not mine
- Integrity: We act with honesty, fairness and transparency
- Respect: We treat all stakeholders with trust, dignity and respect
- Delivery: We strive for excellence and do what we say we will do
- Innovation: We encourage innovation and an entrepreneurial spirit
- Responsibility: We responsibly manage our impact on the environment and host communities

1. Deliver FCF margin of 15% at US$1,200/oz
2. Safely meet guidance for operations
3. Safely deliver strategic projects
4. Manage balance sheet and maximise capital returns
5. Improve the quality of our portfolio
6. Protect licence to operate and enhance reputation

1. At 2019 levels
2. FCF does not take project capital into account
**PERFORMANCE AGAINST 2018 BALANCED SCORECARD TARGETS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Goals</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>■ Production and AIC/oz better than yearly guidance with spatial compliance to plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ No fatalities and a reduction in TRIFR by 10% in the long term (due to a regression in 2017, the stretch target was 12% for 2018)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Reduce energy usage by 5% to 10% against a future baseline through energy saving initiatives and implement renewable energy initiative at South Deep</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Implement ICMM critical control guidelines on safety, health and environmental stewardship and stakeholder management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Project delivery: deliver in accordance with key metrics for 2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Damang</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- South Deep</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Gruyere</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Manage talent pipeline and succession cover for critical roles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Reinvigorate vision and values to a winning culture that rewards teamwork and delivery of Group strategy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Pay dividends in line with policy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Debt:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Maintain net debt:adjusted EBITDA ratio of under 1.25x-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Extend debt maturity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ All new capital spend to have appropriate returns taking into account risks and cost of capital ranked and prioritised in accordance with an agreed matrix and in line with internal capital control standards and study guidelines. Accordingly all growth capital expenditure on existing mines, new projects or acquisitions to have hurdle rates of 15% at a US$1,300/oz gold price</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Deliver life extension, cost reduction, revenue enhancement and improved health and safety through innovation and technology (I&amp;T) and business improvement initiatives</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Reduce Group life-of-mine AIC/oz and increase reserve life per region through brownfields exploration, M&amp;A and optimisation of existing mines</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Deliver positive Salares Norte feasibility project that exceeds metrics set for the project</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Mine closure costs, along with concurrent rehabilitation plans, incorporated into strategic plans</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Improve total shareholder return by positioning share price between median and upper quartile of peer group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Increase the proportion of sustainable host community procurement and employment to drive Shared Value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ No Level 3 or above environmental incidents and a 10% reduction in Level 2 incidents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Align management practices with ICMM tailings and water position statements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Deliver and manage a robust and transparent group governance and compliance programme</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Maintain position in top five of the Dow Jones Sustainability Index (DJSI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Performance Key:**
- **Achieved**
- **Ongoing**
- **Not achieved**
Performance against strategic targets continued

CEO’s 2018 performance against BSC objectives

Gold Fields recognises that remuneration is a critical part of value creation. We are committed to aligning our employees’ remuneration to our strategic objectives, as embodied in our Group BSC. The Group BSC is then cascaded into individual scorecards, to ensure individual effort drives Group performance. Below is a summary of our CEO, Nick Holland’s, BSC for 2018 and his performance against it. His average score for 2018 was 2.9 out of 5, as evaluated by the Remuneration Committee. The Board believes that by reflecting on the CEO’s scorecard and how it drives value creation, we demonstrate to our stakeholders our commitment to fair and transparent reporting. For the detailed breakdown of the CEO’s BSC, refer to our comprehensive Remuneration Report in the AFR p44 – 46.
Group 2019 performance targets

Each year, management and the Board assess the Group’s key objectives for the year ahead to ensure the Group achieves its medium-term target. The 2019 goals are captured in the BSC below.

**INTERNAL BUSINESS PROCESS**

*Build the processes required for delivery*

1. Increase total shareholder return
   - Achieve market guidance
2. Improve liquidity and profile of debt
   - No fatalities
   - Establish and maintain an Environment Health and Safety scorecard with leading and lagging indicators
   - Roll out courageous Safety Leadership programme to Exco and regional leadership teams
   - Roll out Vital Behaviour (VB) safety programme to Exco and regional leadership teams

**STAKEHOLDERS**

*Maintain stakeholder support by delivering value*

1. Increase stakeholder engagement
   - Increase the number of informal and formal engagements with key community, government and investor stakeholders
   - Extend sell side coverage and undertake timely detailed analysis of all sell side reports
   - Maintain top 5 position in DJSI
   - Consolidate sustainability of host community procurement spend at between 23%-25% of total procurement spend
   - Maintain host community employment at 54%-56% of direct and indirect employment

**FINANCIALS**

*Make money*

1. Increase total shareholder return
   - TSR between median and upper quartile of peer group
2. Improve liquidity and profile of debt
   - Reduce net debt by a range of US$100m - US$150m
   - Extend maturity of debt profile
3. Improve free cash flow margin
   - 15% FCF margin @US$1,200/oz
4. Improve capital returns
   - 15% return on project capital spend @US$1,300/oz
   - 100% compliance to approved capital budget

**ORGANISATIONAL CAPACITY**

*Make the company has the capacity to deliver*

1. IMPROVE IMPACT OF I&T
   - Put in place dedicated senior Innovation & Technology leadership team per region that drive the initiatives to improve cost, safety and productivity
   - Upgrade infrastructure to improve connectivity and real time information
   - Increase the use of technology to improve safety performance including people tracking and traffic management
   - Automate or semi-automate equipment to improve efficiencies
   - Implementation of integrated technical systems to enhance planning and delivery

2. IMPROVE QUALITY OF PORTFOLIO

   **South Deep:** 477 tonnes mined / employee as per business plan
   - Develop Individual development plans for mission critical positions and execute 2019 activities
   - **Damang:** Deliver Damang at a cumulative cost of US$365m.
   - Spend project capital of US$69m for Damang and deliver ore from saddle pit area
   - **Gruyere:** Deliver Gruyere first gold by Q2 at a cost of US$621m
   - **Australia:** Replace 100%-120% of depleted reserves
   - **Americas:** >50% completion of pre-feasibility study for Cerro Corona on life extension beyond 2030

3. IMPROVE GOVERNANCE, COMPLIANCE & RISK

   - No material deviations from guidelines / corporate standards as per the 2019 Compliance framework
   - Independent verification of safety, health, environmental and community critical controls
   - Carry out 1 self-assessment of the ICMM Performance Expectations Guidance
   - Maintain certification to OHSAS 18001 / ISO 45001 (Safety) ISO 14001 and Cyanide code
   - Australia, Ghana and South Africa: conduct gap analysis, develop and implement 60% of remedial actions to align to ISO 50001 (Energy); Cerro Corona to maintain ISO 150001 certification

4. IMPROVE SECURITY OF UTILITIES

   - Reduce freshwater withdrawal by 3% (or 415ML)
   - Increase recycling of total water use from 57% to 65%
   - Energy saving initiatives between 5% to 7% against the baseline set in January 2019 (GJ savings)

5. IMPROVE PEOPLE CAPACITY & CULTURE

   - 5% increase in productivity against the business plan (ounce / TEC)
   - Decrease turnover of critical roles to 5%
   - Reduce time to fill critical roles to between 90 and 100 days
   - Enhance and further improve leadership capability and subsequent assessment and development to drive ethical and socially responsible leadership, with a strong focus on living the Gold Fields values, and embracing cultural diversity
   - HDSA % in South Deep management > 54%
   - Localisation in Ghana <4% expats
   - Improve Bloomberg Gender Equality Index ranking to > 75% and 20% women in management
   - Develop a baseline for measurement of the employment of vulnerable people across regions
Our vision of being the global leader in sustainable gold mining requires the highest levels of corporate governance to ensure we create value for our stakeholders over the short, medium and long term. In order to ensure our ultimate operational and strategic success, as well as our sustainability, we remain committed to sound and robust corporate governance and responsible corporate citizenship.
Vision of the Chairperson

Many of our stakeholders, particularly investors, still see Gold Fields as a South African mining company, with much of its fortunes inextricably linked to the country’s current and future mining landscape, as well as the short-term performance and outlook for South Deep, our sole remaining mine in the country. We are a proudly South African company with a history going back to 1887 and remain deeply committed to the country despite the political and economic uncertainties currently besetting it. While South Deep is a key component of our portfolio, I continue to stress that Gold Fields is a global gold mining company with a portfolio of assets spread across three continents.

Not only are our production and cash-flow already heavily weighted towards our mines in Australia, Peru and Ghana, we have increased our investment in these countries to enhance sustainability of our business:

- Our combined US$502m investment over the past two years in the Damang mine in Ghana and the Gruyere project in Australia is set to bear fruit in 2019, with the potential to further boost our production and profitability in these regions
- During 2018, we acquired a 45% stake in the highly prospective Asanko gold mine (AGM) in Ghana, further raising our profile in a jurisdiction in which we have operated for 25 years
- We expect the production of our portfolio in Australia, Ghana and Peru to approach 2Moz during 2019. Based upon our attributable gold-equivalent Mineral Reserves of over 20Moz in these regions, our track record of resource conversion and exploration activity, we believe that our global portfolio outside of South Africa will be able to maintain a similar production level over the medium to longer term (at the current gold price)
- We have successfully completed a feasibility study for the Salares Norte project in Chile and declared a maiden Mineral Reserve. While we await the outcome of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), expected in early 2020, we have also asked management to develop a funding plan for the project
- We have extended the life of our Cerro Corona mine in Peru to 2030 and are working on a scoping study with the aim of extending it further to 2040
- Our substantial investments in near-mine exploration at our Australian mines continued to yield good results, with the mines not only making up annual depletion but adding net Mineral Reserves over the past four years
- Until two years ago, just over 70% of our Reserves were held by South Deep. That profile has changed: at end 2018, 59% of the Group’s attributable Mineral Reserves were at the mine

I believe that these developments clearly underscore Gold Fields’ strong and sustainable global profile.

Turning to South Deep, I fully appreciate the frustration experienced by our shareholders over the past few years. We failed to deliver the rebase plan in 2018, as it became evident that South Deep would not achieve the targets set out in the plan and continued to experience cash losses that averaged R100m (US$8m) a month.

With the full backing of the Board, the mine embarked on a fundamental restructuring in Q3 2018, which saw management close loss-making areas, reduce the cost base and embark on a section 189 retrenchment process. Unfortunately, this meant that we had to retrench just over 1,500 employees and contractors, despite the strong opposition by the unions, which led to a 45-day strike. We could not yield to the demands of the unions to reverse the retrenchments, as this would have put the sustainability of South Deep, and the remaining 3,500 jobs, at risk.

I believe that the restructuring, the most comprehensive in South Deep’s history, will achieve a significant reduction in the cash losses this year and set the mine up for long-term and sustainable growth. However, the Board has also mandated management to investigate alternative options should it fail to deliver its key targets over the next year.

Gold Fields’ mines performed well against a background of a volatile gold price and heavy investment in growth projects during 2018. All mines, except South Deep, met, or improved on, their production and cost guidance and generated sufficient cash to fund the bulk of the investment spend and pay a modest dividend to shareholders. We had to increase our debt to pay for the acquisition of Asanko Gold but, notwithstanding this, our balance sheet remained in good health.

Not only has the cash generated by our mines enabled us to invest in future growth, but also to create significant value for our key stakeholders. During 2018, Gold Fields’ total value distribution to our stakeholders was US$2.7bn in the form of payments to governments, capital providers, business suppliers and our workforce.

A particular focus in 2018 was strengthening our relations with host communities, whose partnership is critical in sustaining our mines. We have asked management to focus on host community employment and procurement, to improve the economic wellbeing of these communities. During 2018, almost a quarter of our total value creation,
Stakeholder engagement remains a critical issue for the Board. As a foundation, we want to develop **honest, mutually beneficial win-win partnerships** with these stakeholders and, by and large, have found ways to achieve this. In return though, we would expect governments and trade unions, in particular, to work with us to ensure that our mines can continue to operate sustainably.
almost US$700m, remained in our host communities through focused job creation and procurement.

Stakeholder engagement and relations remain a critical issue for the Board. As a foundation, we want to develop honest, mutually beneficial partnerships with these stakeholders and, by and large, have found ways to achieve this. In return though, we would expect governments and trade unions, in particular, to work with us to ensure that our mines can continue to operate sustainably.

During 2018, the major trade unions at our Ghanaian and South African operations resisted the restructurings we believed were essential to ensure the longevity and profitability of our Tarkwa and South Deep mines. While we eventually implemented contractor mining at Tarkwa and retrenchments at South Deep, we need to re-establish common ground with our union partners.

Resource nationalism is growing in many major mining jurisdictions. This presents a significant challenge for Gold Fields as we seek to expand our operations in some of these jurisdictions. In South Africa, a new Mining Charter was finally agreed in mid-2018. It is a significant improvement on previous iterations. There are, however, critical areas with which Gold Fields and the industry has deep concerns, namely that the Charter does not fully recognise the black economic empowerment (BEE) ownership credentials of previous BEE transactions. This is the case in respect of mining right renewals and transfers of these rights. To be frank, this is a non-negotiable for the mining sector and will require more engagement between the Minerals Council of South Africa and the Department of Mineral Resources (DMR). The 2018 Charter will also require significant investment in employment equity, procurement and enterprise development, and human resource development.

The mining regimes in Peru, Ghana and Australia remain largely stable. Overall though, we would welcome a more proactive approach by governments, such as the one adopted by the Ghanaian government – which has entered into development agreements (DAs) with large mining companies that actively encourage investments.

Most importantly, the Board shares management’s commitment to eliminate all fatalities and serious injuries. It is therefore a major disappointment that we experienced a fatality during 2018. I want to express my sincerest condolences to the family, friends and colleagues of Ananias Mosololi, a load haul dump truck operator at South Deep.

Gold Fields continues to show significant progress in improving our safety performance and management practices. During 2018, the fatality rate, the benchmark total recordable injury frequency rate (TRIFR) and the total number of recordable injuries continued their overall improvements of the past few years. At 1.83 incidents per million hours worked, the Gold Fields 2018 TRIFR has improved by 55% since 2014.

On the health front, the Occupational Lung Disease Working Group, representing the majority of gold mining companies in South Africa, including Gold Fields, reached a historic settlement with attorneys representing ex-mineworkers suffering from silicosis and tuberculosis (TB). The settlement, once approved by the courts, is set to see R5bn (US$380m) dedicated to compensating ex-mine workers.

Appreciation

As directors of this Company, one of our key responsibilities is to ensure that the global corporate governance programmes at Gold Fields are in line with the ever-changing and more stringent standards expected from multinational companies. I take enormous comfort in the fact that our Board of Directors comprises a team of dedicated and able men and women whose experience, knowledge and commitment makes my task as Chairperson so much easier.

The composition of this team was strengthened during 2018 with the addition of Phuti Mahanyele-Dabengwa to the Board. Phuti took over from Don Ncube, who was on Gold Fields’ Board for over 11 years. Don left a considerable mark at Gold Fields in terms of the transformation of the Company, improved relationships with our host communities and, most recently, as Chairperson of the Social, Ethics and Transformation (SET) Committee. His experience, counsel, humour and friendship will be missed.

Gold Fields had to contend with difficult economic and operational circumstances during 2018. The continued operational, financial and sustainability progress made by the Company in these conditions is a credit to the hard work and dedication of its employees, led by CEO Nick Holland and his executive management team. On behalf of the Board, I would like to express my gratitude to Nick and his team around the globe and wish them strength for their endeavours in the year ahead.

Cheryl Carolus
Chairperson
Summarised corporate governance

**Corporate governance overview**

Strong leadership and good governance support the achievement of our vision to be the global leader in sustainable gold mining. By protecting and enhancing our reputation and licence to operate, and ensuring compliance with legislation and industry standards, good governance ensures we continue to enjoy the support of stakeholders and allows us to deliver sustained value. The long-term, capital-intensive nature of our mining operations, as well as the often challenging social and political contexts in which we operate, make it even more important that we leverage good governance to ensure the long-term sustainability of our business.

In addition to the international standards and guidelines to which we voluntarily subscribe (outlined on p3 of the Annual Financial Report (AFR)), we are committed to entrenching the principles of the King IV Report on Corporate Governance (King IV) in our operations. The application of King IV within the Company can be found in the full corporate governance report on p15 – 16 of the AFR.

### KEY DELIBERATIONS AND DECISIONS TAKEN BY THE BOARD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategic area</th>
<th>Board deliberations</th>
<th>Strategic goals supported by Board deliberations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SAFE OPERATIONAL DELIVERY</strong></td>
<td>Review of Gold Fields’ operational plans and strategies</td>
<td>Meet guidance by following mine plan which aligns with strategic plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deliberation on South Deep’s performance and approval of restructuring</td>
<td>Safely deliver strategic projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MANAGE BALANCE SHEET AND MAXIMISE CAPITAL RETURNS</strong></td>
<td>Approval of additional oil price and gold production hedges</td>
<td>Manage balance sheet and maximise returns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approval of debt refinancing and extension of debt maturity</td>
<td>Maintain healthy net debt:adjusted EBITDA ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PORTFOLIO MANAGEMENT</strong></td>
<td>Completion of acquisition of 45% of Asanko gold mine (AGM)</td>
<td>Improve the quality of our portfolio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deliberation and approval of Gruyere project scope and budget changes</td>
<td>Reduce Group life-of-mine All-in Costs (AIC)/oz and increase Reserve life per region through organic growth, brownfields exploration and optimisation of existing mines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overseeing the implementation of Tarkwa contractor mining</td>
<td>Deliver life extension, cost reduction, revenue enhancement and improved health and safety through innovation and technology and business improvement initiatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PROTECT LICENCE TO OPERATE AND ENHANCE REPUTATION</strong></td>
<td>Recomposition of a number of Board committees</td>
<td>Deliver and manage a robust and transparent Group governance and compliance programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deliberation on increases in host community employment and procurement targets</td>
<td>Drive Shared Value creation with impacted communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approved the following policy statements: Stakeholder Relationship and Engagement, Environmental, Occupational Health and Safety</td>
<td>Align management practices with ICMM tailings and water position statements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approved implementation and alignment to International Council on Mining &amp; Metals (ICMM) tailings and water position statements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Our Board of Directors

During 2018, we had Board and Board committee attendance of 93%, which is reflective of our high levels of commitment and engagement. For our full Board and Board committee attendance during the year, as well as detailed curricula vitae (CVs) of our directors, see the full Corporate governance section in the Annual Financial Report.
1. Cheryl Carolus (60)
   **Chairperson**
   BA Law; Bachelor of Education, University of the Western Cape; Honorary Doctorate in Law, University of Cape Town
   **Appointed to the Board:** Director 2009, Chairperson 2013

2. Richard Menell (63)
   **Deputy Chairperson**
   MA (Natural Sciences Geology), Cambridge; MSc (Mineral Exploration and Management), Stanford University, California
   **Appointed to the Board:** 2008, Deputy Chairperson 2015, Lead Independent Director 2017

3. Terence Goodlace (59)
   **Independent non-executive director**
   MBA (Business Administration), University of Wales; BCom, University of South Africa; NHDip (Metalliferous Mining), Witwatersrand Technikon; MDP, University of Cape Town
   **Appointed to the Board:** 2016

4. Phuti Mahanyele-Dabengwa (48)
   **Independent non-executive director**
   Executive Development Programme, Kennedy School of Government, Harvard University, US; MA Business Administration, De Montford University, Leicester, UK; BA Economics, The State University of New Jersey, US
   **Appointed to the Board:** 2018

5. Paul Schmidt (51)
   **Chief Finance Officer**
   BCom; University of the Witwatersrand; BCompt (Hons), University of South Africa; CA(SA)
   **Appointed to the Board:** 2009

6. Carmen Letton (53)
   **Independent non-executive director**
   PhD (Mineral Economics, University of Queensland); Bachelor Mining Engineering, WISM
   **Appointed to the Board:** 2017

7. Steven Reid (63)
   **Independent non-executive director**
   BSc (Mineral Engineering), South Australian Institute of Technology; MBA, Trium Global Executive, ICD.D, Institute of Corporate Directors
   **Appointed to the Board:** 2016

8. Alhassan Andani (57)
   **Independent non-executive director**
   BSc (Agriculture), University of Ghana; MA (Banking and Finance), Finafrica Institute in Italy
   **Appointed to the Board:** 2016

9. Peter Bacchus (50)
   **Independent non-executive director**
   MA (Economics), Cambridge University
   **Appointed to the Board:** 2016

10. Nick Holland (60)
    **Chief Executive Officer**
    BCom; BAcc, University of the Witwatersrand; CA(SA)
    **Appointed to the Board:** Executive director, 1998; CEO, 2008

11. Yunus Suleman (61)
    **Independent non-executive director**
    BCom, University of KwaZulu-Natal; BCompt (Hons), University of South Africa; CA(SA)
    **Appointed to the Board:** 2016

---

**Board diversity**

- White male: 54%
- Black male: 19%
- White female: 9%
- Black female: 19%

**Board independence**

- Independent non-executive directors: 54%
- Executive directors: 46%

**Board tenure**

- 0 to 2 years: 36%
- 3 to 8 years: 55%
- >9 years: 19%

---

**Experience**

- Development (social, infrastructure and training): 2
- Management (including risk management): 7
- Auditing, financial accounting: 1
- Finance, investment banking, mergers and acquisitions, commercial, capital projects: 6
- Mining and geology: 6
- Governance and compliance, corporate strategy: 6

**Nationalities:**

- South African: x 6
- International: x 1
- British: x 2
- Italian: x 2
Governance

THE BOARD AND ITS SUB-COMMITTEES (as at March 2019)

The Board of Directors
Meets four times per year

Chairperson: Cheryl Carolus

This committee guides corporate behaviour and holds the Company accountable for conducting business ethically in line with the principles of good corporate citizenship. With a central focus on how the business interacts with communities and employees, it helps the business to retain its social licence to operate – a critical component of long-term sustainability.

Audit Committee
Meets six times per year

Chairperson: Yunus Suleman

Members: Rick Menell, Alhassan Andani, Peter Bacchus

The Audit Committee oversees the integrity and transparency of Gold Fields’ corporate reporting, and considers risks that may affect the integrity of external reports.

Remuneration Committee
Meets four times per year

Chairperson: Steven Reid

Members: Cheryl Carolus, Alhassan Andani, Rick Menell, Peter Bacchus

The Remuneration Committee assists the Board in confirming that remuneration throughout the Group is fair and equitable and that the remuneration of executive management, in particular, is directly linked to Gold Fields’ performance against strategic objectives. This protects the interests of stakeholders by incentivising management to deliver value.

Social, Ethics and Transformation Committee
Meets four times per year

Chairperson: Carmen Letton

Members: Cheryl Carolus, Rick Menell, Alhassan Andani, Nick Holland, Phuti Mahanyele-Dabengwa

This committee guides corporate behaviour and holds the Company accountable for conducting business ethically in line with the principles of good corporate citizenship. With a central focus on how the business interacts with communities and employees, it helps the business to retain its social licence to operate – a critical component of long-term sustainability.

Capital Projects, Control and Review Committee
Meets four times per year

Chairperson: Rick Menell

Members: Peter Bacchus, Terence Goodlace, Yunus Suleman, Steven Reid, Cheryl Carolus, Phuti Mahanyele-Dabengwa, Carmen Letton

This committee considers new capital projects and satisfies the Board that the Group has used correct, efficient methodologies in evaluating and implementing such projects.

Risk Committee
Meets twice per year

Chairperson: Peter Bacchus

Members: Terence Goodlace, Carmen Letton, Yunus Suleman

The Risk Committee assists the Board in developing improved risk management approaches, ensuring consistent value creation for our stakeholders in an ever-changing risk environment.

Nominating and Governance Committee
Meets four times per year

Chairperson: Cheryl Carolus

Members: Steven Reid, Rick Menell, Yunus Suleman

This committee plays a leadership role in the structure and operation of Gold Fields’ Board, and guides the Company’s corporate governance – ensuring an ethical and value-driven culture.

Safety, Health and Sustainable Development Committee
Meets four times per year

Chairperson: Terence Goodlace

Members: Cheryl Carolus, Rick Menell, Steven Reid, Carmen Letton, Phuti Mahanyele-Dabengwa

The SHSD Committee seeks to ensure that Gold Fields complies with relevant laws, regulations and external standards to ensure optimal safety, health and environmental practices, contributing to the Group’s reputation as a responsible corporate citizen.

Ad-hoc Investment Committee

Chairperson: Peter Bacchus

Members: Alhassan Andani, Yunus Suleman, Steven Reid, Cheryl Carolus, Rick Menell

This committee makes recommendations to the Board on strategic restructuring options for the Group, as and when required.

Our Group Executive Committee (Exco)

The Group Exco is primarily responsible for the implementation of Gold Fields’ strategy, as well as carrying out the Board’s mandate and directives. Exco meets on a regular basis to review Company performance against set objectives and develops strategy and policy proposals for consideration by the Board. It also assists the Board in the execution of the Company’s disclosure obligations.

Exco consists of the principal officers and executive directors of Gold Fields – 12 members in total. The Exco members are profiled at www.goldfields.com/our-leadership.php.
BOARD OVERSIGHT OF KEY ISSUES PERTAINING TO OUR BUSINESS

Building an ethical culture
As the highest governing authority of the Group, the Board is responsible for upholding an ethos of good governance and sustainability. It sets the tone for a culture of ethics that permeates throughout the Company. This underpins Gold Fields’ commitment to going beyond compliance requirements, and voluntarily embracing best practice standards and principles.

The Board also seeks to ensure that business decisions are made with reasonable care, skill and diligence. This protects and enhances the Company’s reputation and helps to maintain its licence to operate – a fundamental foundation of sustainability.

Creating a safe working environment
Our Board’s commitment to safety and health as our key priority reflects the imperative of minimising any potential negative impact on our employees and contractors, maintaining operational continuity and protecting our reputation. The Board, together with management, drives a stringent safety culture. In upholding our primary value, “if we cannot mine safely, we will not mine”, the Board also backs management in stopping mining in areas or situations that are deemed unsafe.

In discharging its oversight responsibilities with regard to safety, the Board is assisted by the SHSD Committee, which receives detailed quarterly reporting on all safety issues and incidents. The Board also oversees Gold Fields’ adherence to safety, health and environmental standards and compliance requirements, and has approved the Company’s adoption of various voluntary best practice safety principles.

Environmental stewardship and impact on communities
The Board seeks to ensure that Gold Fields conducts business in a way that aligns with good corporate citizenship, and that we continually assess and respond to any negative impacts our operations may have on communities and the environment. The importance of these issues informed the Board’s establishment of a dedicated SET Committee in 2015. The committee focuses on, among others, our impact on communities, while the SHSD Committee deals with, inter alia, issues of environmental stewardship. For more information on our environmental stewardship and how we interact with communities, refer to p95 – 124.

Regulatory environment
We seek to comply with all relevant laws and regulations, as well as the highest levels of corporate governance, and often our governance practices exceed the legal minimum. As such, corporate governance systems and frameworks at Gold Fields are reviewed constantly to align with the ever-changing and increasingly stringent standards that are being rolled out by regulators.

Setting fair remuneration
In determining remuneration principles, the Board is guided by the principles of King IV. The remuneration policy (outlined on p79) includes detailed and specific disclosures on implementation. Gold Fields provides stakeholders with transparent reporting of the remuneration of the CEO and CFO. The Board seeks to ensure that remuneration of executives is fair, equitable and responsible, and informed by the value added by the Exco through the achievement of strategic objectives.

Through the Remuneration Committee, the Board ensures that remuneration practices align with shareholder interests and support the achievement of a sustainable business by:
- Helping to attract, motivate, retain and reward employees
- Driving the achievement of strategic objectives through appropriate incentives and rewards
- Promoting a culture of ethics and responsible corporate citizenship

Stakeholder relationships and engagement
Gold Fields understands that stakeholders are an integral part of our business, representing a wide range of interests that both influence and are impacted by our operations. The Board, through the adoption of the Stakeholder Relationship and Engagement Policy, seeks to ensure that the Company follows a stakeholder engagement approach that allows for participative and informed decision making. By overseeing transparent reporting, it allows stakeholder groups to make an informed assessment of Gold Fields’ ability to deliver sustainable value.

As stakeholder concerns have become increasingly important to Gold Fields’ sustainability, the Board has driven an evolution from simple stakeholder management to inclusive stakeholder engagement and relationship building. This approach balances the interests, needs and expectations of our stakeholder with the best interests of Gold Fields.

Strategy to deliver long-term value and sustainability
The Board is independent and delegates responsibility for the development and implementation of the strategy to the Group Exco. However, the Board nevertheless has a deep understanding of and approves the strategic goals and direction of the Company. When reviewing the strategy, it considers the business’ risks and opportunities and how these might impact the achievement of objectives. In so doing it aims to ensure that the strategy drives a sustainable business agenda and considers the interests of stakeholders.

Exco presents the Company strategy, business plans and risk register to the Board on an annual basis for input and approval. The Board also agrees performance targets with management. The CEO provides the Board with monthly reports on, among others, performance against strategic and operational targets. This input allows the Board to effectively monitor the implementation of strategy.

Board members perform onsite visits to our operations and projects, and on occasion interact with individual executives on strategic and operational performance.

Innovation and Technology (I&T)
Gold Fields recognises the importance of implementing I&T to secure the sustainability of our operations. Doing so is expected to deliver higher production, greater efficiencies, improved safety and a decrease in the potential negative impact on the environment and communities. In line with the requirements of King IV, the Board has approved an I&T strategy that is set to further the achievement of Gold Fields’ Group strategy.
## Summarised corporate governance

### Ensuring we do business ethically

**THE STRUCTURES AND MECHANISMS USED TO DRIVE ETHICAL BUSINESS PRACTICE**

Our business is built on the foundation of ethics, which informs a culture of integrity and transparent reporting to our stakeholders. This foundation assists us in ensuring that we build trust, strengthen our reputation and create value for all our stakeholders. The Board and its committees set the ethical tone for the business. We use various mechanisms to confirm ethical behaviour, compliance and good governance in the business:

1. **Legal and compliance**

   Assesses the legal risks facing the Company and mitigates these by enacting effective policies, procedures and controls.

   During 2018, we:
   - Enhanced the regulatory risk profile process to incorporate the review and assessment of all applicable and adopted, non-binding rules, codes and standards (RCS) per country
   - Developed an online regulatory and RCS risk dashboard for the Group
   - Recorded an Annual Compliance Index per region and for the Group
   - Confirmed alignment with the Internal Audit Plan
   - Screened 100% of all new and existing suppliers and contractors for a range of pre-defined risk categories, including human rights and related violations

2. **Audit and risk**

   The Risk Committee reports our key business risks to the Board on a biannual basis. The Board aims for effective controls and corrective measures are in place to manage and mitigate these risks. Furthermore, the Audit Committee seeks to ensure the integrity, accuracy, and adequacy of accounting records.

   **Internal Audit** assesses that the internal controls in place are working to mitigate potential risks. This takes place in all regions on a quarterly basis and operations are given an audit ranking. Corrective measures are put in place where necessary.

   **External Audit** provides the integrity, accuracy and adequacy of accounting records and corporate reporting. During 2018 we contracted PricewaterhouseCoopers as our new auditors from 2019 onwards, following our standard tender process. KPMG had been our auditors since 2010.

   For more information on our Risk and Audit committees, refer to the full Governance Report in the Annual Financial Report.

3. **Commitment to best practice**

   We support the development of an ethical and impactful industry, one that goes beyond a compliance-based approach. Gold Fields is aligned to both international and local best practices, which underpin our commitment to responsible corporate citizenship. We are committed to and guided by:

   - The legislation and regulations of the countries in which we operate
   - The requirements of the stock exchanges on which we are listed
   - The United Nations (UN) Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights
   - The ICMM 10 Principles on Sustainable Development
   - The 10 Principles of the UN Global Compact
   - King IV
   - UN Convention Against Corruption
   - OECD Convention on Combating Bribery
   - Extractive Industry Transparency Initiative
   - World Gold Council – Conflict Free Gold Standard
   - Voluntary Principles on Security and Human Rights

   During 2018, we also committed to the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD).

### Code of Conduct

Our Code of Conduct is informed by the Gold Fields values and underpins the way we conduct ourselves, from our operations to our Board. It also extends to our supply chain business partners. The Code of Conduct was updated in 2017 and distributed to all existing employees, while all new employees receive it during their onboarding. As at end 2018, 66% of our people had undergone training on the Code of Conduct. An anonymous Tip-Offs hotline is permanently in operation, and the Company takes a zero tolerance approach to intimidation and victimisation of those who report incidents.

**Key principles of our Code of Conduct:**

- Emphasis on ethical leadership within the organisation in addition to ethical management
- Protection of employee and third-party whistle-blowers, promoting an environment for reporting of Code of Conduct transgressions
- Safeguarding the business against potential reputational harm and litigation
- Transparent and ethical dealings with government and suppliers
- Protection of company information
- Accurate and transparent reporting
- Safeguarding against insider trading
2018 marked the second year of the reinvestment programme embarked on by Gold Fields at the end of 2016. The key motivation behind the investment focus is to ensure that our portfolio of mines continues to generate cash sustainably into the foreseeable future, while at the same time lowering our costs and extending mine life.
Dear stakeholders

2018 marked the second year of the reinvestment programme embarked on by Gold Fields at the end of 2016. The key motivation behind the investment focus is to ensure that our portfolio of mines continues to generate cash sustainably into the foreseeable future, while at the same time lowering our costs and extending mine life.

Having spent total project capital of US$502m over the past two years, primarily on Damang and Gruyere, Gold Fields is now well placed to maintain a production profile of 2 Moz a year at our international operations in Australia, Ghana and the Americas over the medium to long term. This is based on current gold price levels, our attributable gold Mineral Reserves of 20 Moz in these regions as well as our track record of Resource conversion and exploration activities.

The 2 Moz milestone is expected to be reached for the first time in 2019 as Damang and Gruyere are set to come into production and our Asanko joint venture (JV) in Ghana contributes for the full year. The longer-term future of this portfolio also looks positive as we continue to invest in near-mine exploration at our Australian mines, while the Board has approved a maiden Mineral Reserve and the technical components of the feasibility study for the Salares Norte project in Chile.

The globalisation of our portfolio has also been evident in a gradual shift in our Mineral Reserve exposure. Until two years ago, just over 70% of our Reserves were held by South Deep. That profile has changed: of our total gold-equivalent Mineral Reserves of 50.9 Moz in December 2018 (December 2017: 53.1 Moz), 41% are now outside South Africa.

One of the key benefits of the reinvestment programme over the past two years is the expected reduction in Group All-in Costs (AIC) to approximately US$900/oz, a level which we feel is required to be competitive on a global scale. As the quality of the portfolio improves and our cost profile starts to decline, we expect an improved free cash-flow (FCF) margin. For 2019, therefore, we have adjusted our target to a FCF margin of 15% at a gold price of US$1,200/oz (previously US$1,300/oz).

Not only did our international portfolio of mines exceed its production targets in 2018, but it also outperformed cost guidance. However, as South Deep, our only remaining South African operation, was well below target, Group attributable production of 2.04 Moz for the year was below our original guidance of 2.08 – 2.10 Moz, as well as 2017 production of 2.16 Moz. Group AIC of US$1,173/oz were below the guided US$1,190/oz – US$1,210/oz, but slightly higher than the US$1,088/oz reported in 2017, due to the continued investment in our growth projects.

The strong operational performances of our operations in Ghana, Peru and Australia resulted in net cash flows of US$332m, and enabled us to fund our US$290m total project capital expenditure in 2018 (excluding Asanko), without putting undue pressure on our balance sheet. Despite the increased spending, as anticipated, we declared a total dividend for 2018 of R0.40/share. Planned project and sustaining capital for 2019 is scheduled to decline to US$653m, of which US$143m is growth capital.

At South Deep, annual production in 2018 at 157,100 oz was half the originally guided 321,000 oz. Production in the second half of the year was impacted by the tragic fatal accident as well as a wide ranging restructuring, including the retrenchment of over 1,500 employees and contractors, and a subsequent six-week strike by the majority National Union of Mineworkers (NUM). However, I believe that in the wake of the restructuring, which has seen our employee workforce at the mine fall by about 30% to just under 2,500 and the number of contractors decrease from 2,294 to 1,725, we are in a position to significantly reduce South Deep’s pre-restructuring (H1 2018) cash-burn of about R100m (US$8m) a month.

During 2018, we recorded one fatality (three in 2017), which served as a tragic reminder that we have lots more work to do to eliminate all fatalities and serious injuries to realise our goal of zero harm. We did however see a continued improvement in our health and safety performance amid renewed efforts to entrench a committed safety culture and standards. Gold Fields’ total recordable injury frequency rate (TRIFR) fell below two recordable injuries per million hours worked for the first time, a continuation of our long-term downward trend and our best safety performance ever.

Mining is an industry that has significant impacts on the countries and communities in which it operates. This requires continued proactive stakeholder engagement strategies and sustainable development policies.

Host communities, in particular, are critical stakeholders for our mines. During 2018, we continued investing significant resources in community programmes, including increasing the share of jobs and procurement spend allocated to host communities. We are showing good results with around 25% of our total value creation of US$2.7bn during 2018 channelled into host communities.

The judicious use of water and energy resources by our mines and proactive mine closure programmes are other critical elements of our sustainable development programmes, not only as part of our commitment to operational efficiencies and environmental stewardship, but also as part of strengthening our social licence to operate.

We are also committed, in collaboration with our peers in the ICMM, to a renewed focus on the governance and technical management of our tailings storage facilities (TSFs) following the collapse of a TSF at Vale’s Feijão iron ore mine in Brumadinho, Brazil, in January 2019, during which there were over 300 deaths.

The Gold Fields share price took a hit when we announced the restructuring of South Deep in August 2018. While it has gradually recovered since then, overall in 2018 our share price decreased by 18% on the New York Stock Exchange and 9% on the JSE.
Group performance scorecard

Performance highlights (Group)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fatalities Number</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRIFR /million hours worked</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attributable production Moz</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>2.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-in Sustaining Costs (AISC)³</td>
<td>US$/oz 981</td>
<td>955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIC³</td>
<td>US$/oz 1,173</td>
<td>1,088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash-flow¹,³ US$m</td>
<td>(132)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free cash-flow (FCF) margin¹</td>
<td>% 16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net debt¹</td>
<td>US$bn 1,612</td>
<td>1,303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividend declared</td>
<td>R/share 0.40</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total value distribution</td>
<td>US$bn 2,711</td>
<td>2,849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy usage²</td>
<td>TJ 11,628</td>
<td>12,178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water withdrawal¹</td>
<td>Mℓ 21,179</td>
<td>32,985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ emissions</td>
<td>million tonnes 1.85</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Host community procurement (% of total)⁵</td>
<td>% 27</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Host community employment (% of total)⁷</td>
<td>% 56</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross mine closure liabilities</td>
<td>US$m 400</td>
<td>381</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Net cash-flow = cash-flow from operating activities less net capital expenditure, environmental payments and finance lease payments
² The sum of direct and indirect energy consumption reflects a conversion factor used by Granny Smith, Tarkwa and Damang power stations to account for generation losses
³ These non-IFRS measures have been defined in management’s discussion and analysis in the Annual Financial Report and have been reconciled to IFRS
⁴ 2017 numbers include continued and discontinued operations
⁵ Large difference in numbers due to change of definition of water withdrawal to exclude diverted water
⁶ The % decline is due to a change in the definition of host communities by our Australian operations to only include communities in their area of influence (previously Perth was included in the definition due to the FIFO nature of our mines)
⁷ South Deep’s host community definition was changed in 2018 to align with the 2016 municipal boundary change which amalgamated the Westonaria and Randfontein municipalities. It now includes all individuals who reside in the Rand West City Local Municipality. This number also excludes the Perhk office and Gruyere project

Each year, Gold Fields adopts a Group performance scorecard that incorporates the Company’s strategic priorities and seeks to instil the right culture and behaviours among our workforce, driven by the imperatives of safety, cash generation and sustainably growing the business.

By integrating all of the key value drivers into the business, the scorecard also aims to enhance the Group’s sustainability and reflects the integrated nature of our business. The scorecard consists of four key performance areas and elements against which we measure our performance, which are discussed in detail in the IAR:

1. Safe operational delivery – how we make money (p56)
2. Portfolio management – what we choose to invest in (p40)
3. Capital discipline – how we spend money (p84)
4. Licence and reputation – how we conduct ourselves (p92)

My performance as CEO against my scorecard objectives is shown on p16. This Integrated Annual Report (IAR) is structured along the lines of our 2018 scorecard and an overview of each performance area follows.

Safe operational delivery

Safety and health

Safety is management’s first priority and it is critical that we continuously emphasise our commitment to zero harm. Therefore, the fact that we still had one fatality at our mines during 2018, compared with three in 2017, is a setback. Our heartfelt condolences go out to the family, friends and colleagues of Ananias Mosololi, a load haul dump operator at South Deep, who died after an underground accident on 12 October 2018. In a non-mining-related accident, a member of the Community Security Task Force, Francis Yeboah, drowned in a settling pond at our Tarkwa mine in Ghana. We are deeply saddened by his loss and extend our condolences to his family.

Our overall safety performance improved during 2018, with the TRIFR declining to 1.83 incidents per million hours worked from 2.42 in 2017, as the total number of recordable injuries reduced to 99 from 138 in 2017. This is a continuation of a longer-term trend. As recently as 2014, our TRIFR was 4.04 and we reported 200 recordable injuries.

Working towards eliminating all fatalities and serious injuries remains a priority for our management teams at the operations, which have ultimate responsibility for health and safety issues. The Group Safety Leadership Forum, formed in 2017, is overseeing the development of a Group-wide safety strategy that will further improve our safety performance. It has identified three pillars to underpin our safety efforts - systems and processes, safety leadership, and safe behaviour - to complement the many good safety initiatives already in place. To further
entrench safe behaviour, we have also implemented greater recognition for safety in performance scorecards of all employees by adding a number of leading indicators to the current lagging indicators.

On the health front, the Occupational Lung Disease Working Group, representing most gold mining companies in South Africa, including Gold Fields, reached an historic settlement with attorneys representing ex-mine workers suffering from silicosis and TB. The settlement still needs to be approved by South Africa’s courts. Once it is approved a trust will be set up, funded by R5bn (US$390m) from the gold mining companies, and the process of compensating ex-mine workers can finally begin. Gold Fields has provided R368m (US$25m) for its share of the settlement.

**Business and financial performance**

2018 was the second year of our reinvestment programme that seeks to improve the quality of our portfolio and sustain the current production base for the next decade. The significant capital expenditure requirements that accompany this programme inevitably resulted in higher Group AIC and reduced net cash-flow during both 2017 and 2018. As such, we guided the market at the beginning of 2018 on higher costs and marginally lower production.

Group attributable production of 2.04Moz for the year was 2% below our originally guided 2.08 – 2.10Moz. All the international mines exceeded their production guidance. South Deep’s production at 157,100oz was well below guidance.

Despite the significant capital expenditure programme during 2018, stringent cost management across the Group resulted in a good cost performance with AIC of US$1,173/oz and AISC of US$981/oz in 2018, below guidance for the year of US$1,190/oz – US$1,210/oz and US$990/oz – US$1,010/oz respectively.

Total capital expenditure during 2018 was US$814m, just lower than the US$834m spent in 2017. The Group reported net cash-outflow of US$132m (2017: US$2m cash-outflow) and a FCF margin (which excludes capital spend on growth projects) of 16% (2017: 16%). The gold price received by Gold Fields during 2018 averaged US$1,252/oz (2017: US$1,255/oz).

The Group and mine operating and financial performances are detailed on p56 – 62.

**South Deep restructuring**

2018 proved to be an extremely difficult year for South Deep. After falling behind plan in H1 2018, management announced a material restructuring on 14 August 2018. The aim of the restructuring was to consolidate mining activity to increase focus, and to match the cost structure with the level of production. As part of the restructuring, South Deep closed mining activities in loss-making areas of the mine and reduced operational and support staff commensurately. Development activities in the new mine areas were also suspended. Both registered trade unions were served with section 189 notices in terms of South Africa’s Labour Relations Act and, after the legislated consultation period ended, the retrenchment of 1,092 employees and 420 contractors were implemented. This leaves the staff complement approximately 30% lower than it was before the retrenchments.

The NUM commenced strike action on 2 November 2018 to protest the retrenchments, which continued until 18 December 2018. Amid violence and intimidation, non-striking employees were prevented from accessing the mine and, subsequently, no production was possible for November and December 2018.

**Attributable gold production**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Guidance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>1,087</td>
<td>1,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2,200</td>
<td>2,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>2,159</td>
<td>2,159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>2,146</td>
<td>2,125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2,090</td>
<td>2,036</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**All-in Costs (AIC)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Guidance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>1,173</td>
<td>1,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1,180</td>
<td>1,180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>1,060</td>
<td>1,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>1,106</td>
<td>1,106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>1,113</td>
<td>1,060</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Production at South Deep during 2018 decreased by 44% to 4,885kg (157,100oz) from 8,748kg (281,300oz) in 2017 driven by decreased volumes and grade. AIC for 2018 increased 42% to R864,049/kg (US$2,012/oz) from R600,109/kg (US$1,400/oz) in 2017, mainly due to lower gold sold. Net cash-outflow for the year was R1,891m (US$141m). South Deep also reported an asset and goodwill impairment of R6.47bn (US$482m) in 2018 following a goodwill impairment of R3.5bn (US$278m) in 2017.

Subsequent to the 2018 year-end, South Deep commenced the process of building up production with a reduced, but more focused, workforce and having removed over R800m from the mine’s cost base. The immediate target is to get the mine to break even at the current level of production. Once this has been achieved, the focus will be on improving productivity off the restructured cost base and overhead structure, to bring the mine to profitability. Gold Fields is unable to continue sustaining the cash losses of the last few years and, should our efforts subsequent to the restructuring at South Deep not show positive results, other options for the asset cannot be ruled out.

Guidance of 6,000kg (193,000oz) has been provided for 2019 at an AIC of R610,000/kg (US$1,394/oz). The mine’s Mineral Reserves were reduced by 12% to 32.8Moz in December 2018 compared with a year earlier, while Mineral Resources have declined by 15% to 56.2Moz over the same period.

Energy
During 2018, Gold Fields shifted further away from the use of carbon-intensive energy sources. Our mines in Ghana, Australia and Peru are now largely powered by low-carbon gas, though diesel is still being used for the majority of our mining fleet. During 2018, 54% of our total electricity capacity was generated by gas, with coal accounting for 35%, hydro-electric for 9% and diesel for 2%.

Currently Gold Fields has 134MW in installed gas capacity and an additional 16MW of gas capacity is being evaluated by the Australian and Ghanaian mines. Renewable energy is also becoming a viable option, not only due to its positive impact on carbon emissions but also because the cost of renewables is rapidly coming down. At present, Gold Fields has 55MW of solar capacity and 18MW of wind capacity under study at our South African, Australian and Ghanaian mines.

The Granny Smith mine in Australia looks set to be the first mine in our portfolio to be partly solar powered, having contracted an independent power producer to design, build and operate a 8MW solar plant backed by 2MW of battery systems, to be commissioned in Q4 2019. The Agnew mine is also expected to develop a hybrid gas and renewable power plant during 2019.

By 2020 we are confident that around 2% of installed Group energy capacity will be from solar and wind. Gold Fields also remains committed to its goal of 20% renewable energy generation over the life-of-mine at all new projects.

Energy accounted for 22% of Group operating costs in 2018, the second largest cost component at our mines. While energy consumption decreased by 4% in 2018, the Group increased energy spending by 17% to US$302m in 2018, amid higher diesel unit costs and regulated tariff increases. Operational energy efficiencies yielded savings of US$29m.

Greater use of renewables has the added benefit of reducing the carbon footprint, which is one of Gold Fields’ key environmental priorities. During 2018, total CO₂ emissions declined to 1.85m tonnes (2017: 1.96m tonnes), and we expect longer-term benefits arising from the energy efficiency and fuel-switching projects we have put in place at our mines.

Fit-for-purpose workforce
A key area of focus in 2018 was to ensure that our mines have appropriately sized and qualified workforces to drive safe operational delivery. As part of the restructuring of South Deep, which commenced in August 2018, 1,092 employees and 420 contractors were retrenched as part of our efforts to align the cost base with the reduced operational footprint of South Deep. Earlier in the year a further 260 employees and about 25% of the mine’s management team had accepted voluntary severance packages.

The Tarkwa mine switched to contractor mining during 2018, with about 90% of the affected workforce of the mine moving over to the two contractors. At our Damang mine, too, we converted just over 300 full-time employees into fixed-term contractors. As a result, the number of full-time employees in the West Africa region reduced from 2,910 at end 2017 to 1,079 at end 2018, while the number of contractors rose from 4,761 to 6,291 over the same period. Damang has been using contractor mining since the start of the reinvestment project early in 2017.

As a result of these initiatives, the Group now employs 5,601 full-time employees (2017: 8,856) and 12,010 contractors (2017: 9,738).

Another important human resource initiatives implemented in 2018 is the continued drive to have appropriately skilled people in the right roles. With the increasing shift towards mechanisation and automation at our mines, we have found that, in addition to the continued development and training of our workforce, it is important to recruit appropriately skilled and experienced people. During 2018, we spent over US$14m globally on training and development – on top of recruiting the best mining skills to supplement our existing talent pool.
Capital discipline
The core focus of Gold Fields’ financial strategy is to grow our FCF margin and to sustain this margin in the long-term. The Group has set a FCF margin target per region (after capital expenditure, royalties and taxes) of at least 15% at a notional long-term planning gold price of US$1,200/oz, thus providing a cushion in case of lower prices.

Despite the significant capital investment programme of US$814m, Gold Fields produced a sound cost and cash-flow performance during 2018. AIC of US$1,173/oz and AISC of US$981/oz for 2018 were slightly ahead of 2017 numbers but below guidance for the year of US$1,190 – US$1,210/oz and US$990 – US$1,010/oz, respectively.

Cash-flow generated by the operations remained strong. Excluding project capital and off-mine exploration expenditure, operational cash-flow was US$334m (US$194m in Australia, US$114m in Peru, US$149m in Ghana and a negative US$141m in South Africa) versus US$441m in 2017. On a net basis, which includes growth capital, the Group reported net cash-outflow of US$132m (2017: US$2m cash-in) and an FCF margin (which excludes capital spend on growth projects) of 16% (2017: 16%) at an average gold price received of US$1,252/oz (2017: US$1,255/oz).

Revenue was down by 7% to US$2.58bn (2017: US$2.76bn) due to the production decline at South Deep. Cost of sales were down proportionally at US$2.04bn (2017: US$2.11bn). The overall financial performance was impacted largely by non-recurring items, including impairment of South Deep and retrenchment costs in Ghana related to the conversion to contract mining at Tarkwa.

Given the volatility in commodity prices and exchange rates and, more pertinently, the high levels of project capital expenditure incurred during 2018, management undertook short-term, tactical hedging of the oil price, the copper price and the US Dollar, Australian Dollar and South African Rand gold prices to protect cash-flow. We are continuing with our gold hedging programme in Australia during 2019 as we finalise the construction of Gruyere. We have also extended hedging to the Rand gold price to protect South Deep’s cash-flow during the build-up to more sustainable production levels. Altogether, around 1Moz of gold production for 2019 has been hedged.

Dividends and debt reduction
Two of Gold Fields’ key strategic objectives are to pay its shareholders a dividend and reduce the amount of debt on our balance sheet. Despite recording a net cash-outflow, the Group declared a total dividend for the year of R0.40/share (2017: R0.90/share).

Having moved into a capital-intensive phase during 2017 and 2018, management guided the market for a pick-up in debt. Net debt increased by US$309m during 2018 to US$1,612m, mainly due to project capital spend and the funding of the Asanko Gold deal.

Gold Fields ended 2018 on a net debt:adjusted EBITDA ratio of 1.45x compared with 1.03x at the end of 2017, but still well below the debt covenant level of 2.50x.

During 2018, we continued to successfully manage our balance sheet by extending the maturity of the US$380m term loan by 12 months to June 2020. We are considering additional refinancing of our debt in 2019 to further improve liquidity.

Portfolio management
Gold Fields manages its assets to improve the overall quality of its portfolio and enhance the sustainability of the cash-flow generated by this portfolio. In this regard, the focus is on reducing Group AIC, increasing the FCF/oz and extending the life of the assets.

All assets in our portfolio are subject to the Group’s annual strategic planning process. A scenario analysis is conducted for each operation, assessing how to maximise cash-flow, life-of-mine and margin. The results of this analysis are then used in conjunction with the Group’s capital profile and the current economic environment as inputs into our annual business planning.

Mine developments
The strength of our international portfolio is evident in the continued net cash-flow generation of our mines in Australia, Ghana and Peru, which collectively generated US$457m in 2018 (2017: US$501m), before taking into account project capital.

During 2018, we announced an extension of Cerro Corona’s life-of-mine to 2030 through work on the tailings facility and the future use of in-pit tailings.

Our Australian mines continue to benefit from our consistent and sizeable near-mine (brownfields) exploration programmes. During 2018, we spent A$83m (US$62m) at Agnew, Granny Smith and St Ives and, as a result, added 1.18Moz in Mineral Reserves (before depletion) and 1.95Moz in Mineral Resources at our Australian mines. Notable projects arising from this investment drive are:

■ Greater Invincible Complex continued to grow in 2018 and now represents one of the largest mineralised systems at St Ives
■ Significant incremental ounces added to the Wallaby mine at Granny Smith
■ Near-mining resources and reserves replaced at Agnew’s New Holland and Waroonga mines and new discoveries at Waroonga North and Redeemer

A further A$76m (US$57m) has been budgeted for brownfield exploration at our Australian mines in 2019.

Near-mine exploration is also being stepped up at our Ghanaian mines, notably at Tarkwa, where the focus is on paleoplacer extension opportunities at the mine's existing pits. US$9m was spent in 2018 with some early promising results evident.
The only operating asset in the Group that still needs to be brought to full account is the South Deep mine. Management believes that following the significant restructuring in the second half of 2018 the cost base has been adjusted to the reduced operating footprint. We expect to significantly reduce the monthly cash losses at the mine and are confident that South Deep is set up for a sustainable recovery over the next few years.

Gold Fields holds investment positions in Gold Road Resources and Asanko Gold, which are the joint venture partners in the Gruyere project and the Asanko gold mine (AGM) respectively. We also have minority holdings in a number of junior mining companies, including Cardinal Resources, Red 5 and Hummingbird, and evaluate these interests on a regular basis. The company also diluted its shareholding in Toronto-listed Maverix Metals to 20%.

Project advancements
2018 was the second year in our drive to secure the longevity and sustainability of our portfolio of assets, and all our key projects in this respect are tracking their delivery deadlines and financial budgets:
- At Gruyere, the JV partners, Gold Fields and Gold Road Resources, have to date invested A$492m (US$374m) of the total expected project cost of A$621m (US$480m). During 2017 and 2018, Gold Fields’ portion of the spend was A$246m (US$185m), including capital investment and management costs. First gold is expected to be poured during Q2 2019, with production for 2019 guided at 118koz (100% basis)
- At the end of 2016 we commenced the US$341m investment at our Damang mine in Ghana to extend the life-of-mine to 2025. Capital spending during 2018 was US$125m (2017: US$115m). The project is ahead of plan and the mine is set to reach full production in early 2020
- At the Salares Norte project in Chile, the feasibility study was completed in 2018, and a maiden Mineral Reserve of 4.0Moz (gold equivalent) was declared. Any decision to build a mine at Salares Norte will be made based on the outcome of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), which was accepted for evaluation by the regulator in July 2018 and is expected to take 18 - 24 months. Spending on further drilling and other work totalled US$64m during 2018. Potential operational parameters established by the feasibility study for a possible future mine include:
  - Initial 11.5-year life-of-mine
  - Annual throughput of 2Mt
  - Life-of-mine production of 3.2Moz of gold and 26.7Moz of silver
  - Average annual production of 450koz gold equivalent for the first seven years of the project at an AISC of US$465/Au-eq oz
  - Project construction capital of US$834m
- A JV with Asanko Gold in Ghana was completed in July 2018, with Gold Fields acquiring 45% in the Asanko Gold Mine (AGM) for approximately US$185m, of which US$20m was deferred. The mine achieved total production of 223koz (100% basis) at an AIC of US$1,183/oz and is guiding for production of 225koz - 245koz at an AIC of US$1,130/oz - US$1,150/oz for 2019. Gold Fields has also acquired a 9.9% stake in the holding company, Toronto-listed Asanko Gold
- The sale of the Arctic Platinum Project to CD Capital Management was concluded in early 2018 for a cash consideration of US$40m and future royalties of 2%

Mineral Resources and Reserves
During 2018, Gold Fields’ managed gold-equivalent Mineral Reserves (net of depletion) decreased by 1% to 54.1Moz and Mineral Resources by 8.1Moz to 140.5Moz at 31 December 2018. The declines were largely due to reductions in Mineral Resource and Reserves at South Deep, due to a higher cut-off grade.

Other notable developments during 2018 were:
- Salares Norte declared a maiden gold-equivalent Mineral Reserve of 4.0Moz at 31 December 2018, following the completion of its feasibility study
- In Australia, managed Mineral Reserves (net of depletion) increased by 0.2Moz to 6.4Moz and Mineral Resources increased by 1.1Moz to 17.1Moz at 31 December 2018, testament to the continued success of brownfields exploration at the mines

As recently as 2017 South Deep held just over 70% of our Group Mineral Reserves. The profile has changed: of our total managed gold-equivalent Mineral Reserves as at 31 December 2018, 59% are held by South Deep, and our Mineral Resource profile indicates that this percentage could continue to fall.

A straight comparison between South Africa’s and our international operations’ Mineral Reserves is in any case misleading, given the different style of mineralisation. The paleoplacer type orebody at South Deep is large and consistent, while most of the rest of the Group’s reserves are dominated by orogenic/ greenstone type orebodies, which are more variable and usually do not have particularly long Reserve lives. But these orebodies are characterised by consistent replacement of Reserve depletion. Importantly, our commitment to brownfields exploration has allowed us to continually replace Reserves, particularly at our Australian mines, over a number of years. A detailed breakdown of the Company’s Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources is contained on p52 – 55 of this report.
Licence and reputation
The success of our business is dependent on our relationships with key external stakeholders which determine both our regulatory and social licences to operate.

Environmental stewardship
Responsible environmental management remains a vital component of Gold Fields’ approach to operate at all our operations and projects. In 2018, we reported two Level 3 environmental incidents (2017: two), one in Peru and one in Ghana (p95). Gold Fields has had no Level 4 or 5 environmental incident for well over ten years, but the two Level 3 incidents had the potential to impact water supply to the nearby communities. Our teams acted quickly to remediate the events and communicated transparently with regulators and communities on this issue. The number of Level 2 incidents fell by 18% to 68 in 2018 from 83 in 2017.

Water is a particular focus of our environmental strategy, as it is becoming an increasingly scarce and expensive resource globally. Managing the risks around current and anticipated water security, which includes the quantity and quality of supply as well as associated costs, is essential to ensure sustainable production for existing operations and the future viability of projects.

During 2018, our operations invested in improving water practices, including pollution prevention, recycling and conservation initiatives. A key target is to reuse or recycle much of the water we use in our processes and we set ourselves a target of 65%, in line with ICMM guidance. We achieved the target in 2018, when 66% of our total water use was recycled or reused water.

Work carried out by the ICMM on water and tailings management has provided best-practice guidelines for the Company, and during 2017 and 2018 we worked closely to align our practices to these ICMM position statements. During 2018, external reviews of our compliance with these position statements concluded that we are aligned with the ICMM position statements both in terms of water and tailings management.

After the catastrophic tailings failure at the Feijão iron ore mine in Brumadinho, Brazil, in January 2019, during which there were over 300 deaths, all Gold Fields’ operations carried out additional safety inspections at our 33 tailings facilities, particularly on the 18 decommissioned TSFs, and concluded that Gold Fields-managed TSFs were not at risk. During 2019 we will further strengthen technical and governance oversight over all of our TSFs. Longer term, our teams are working with our peers at the ICMM to evaluate independent assessment and accreditation of all ICMM member TSFs as well as on solutions such as filtered and dry-stack tailings.

The total gross mine closure liability for Gold Fields was raised by 5% to US$400m in 2018 from US$381m in 2017. During 2018, we further enhanced our integrated approach to mine closure management with a focus on progressive environmental rehabilitation and full life-of-mine closure obligations.

Stakeholder relations
Employees, business partners, shareholders, investors, governments and communities have been identified as Gold Fields’ key stakeholders. Their support is critical in ensuring that we receive and retain our regulatory approvals and social licence to operate. This can only be achieved if we develop stakeholder relationships that are based on transparent and open engagement and if we create shared value with them. The ability to generate cash is critical in distributing the benefits from mining to our stakeholders. In 2018, Gold Fields’ value distribution totalled US$2.7bn, compared with the US$2.9bn we distributed in 2017. For details on how this amount was dispensed to stakeholders during 2018 see p6.

Government relations
As the issuers of mining licences, developers of policy and implementers of regulations, host governments at all levels (national, regional and local) are one of Gold Fields’ most critical stakeholders. While we seek to engage with these stakeholders regularly to build trust, these relationships are not always easy. Over the past few years we have seen a resurgence of resource nationalism, particularly in Africa. As part of this many governments accuse the mining industry of not paying fair taxes by using profit-shifting and under-invoicing their physical gold exports. Gold Fields has appropriate controls and procedures in place to ensure that we comply with relevant tax legislation, including compliance with transfer pricing regulations, and account fully for our gold exports.

In South Africa the industry and government have been at an impasse for a number of years over the implementation of a new Mining Charter to govern the sector. A new Charter was published by the Department of Mineral Resources (DMR) in mid-2018. The 2018 Mining Charter is an improvement on previous draft versions, but there are still critical matters, including renewals of licences, that are not dealt with. As it stands now the licence renewal clause is unacceptable to the industry, as it would invalidate all previous empowerment deals if the empowerment partner has since sold its interests. Should this impasse continue, the Minerals Council of South Africa (MCSA), reserves its rights to proceed with a legal review of the Charter relating to, among others, the renewal of licences.

The Minerals Council of South Africa won a court case recognising the “once empowered, always empowered” principle, which would guarantee the legislated black economic empowerment ownership levels for South Deep until its licence renewal in 2040 and a further term of 30 years after that. However, the ruling has been appealed by the DMR and the MCSA will follow due process in this regard.
A more proactive approach is required, such as the one adopted by the Ghanaian government, which has entered into development agreements with large mining companies, including Gold Fields, and incentivises new mining projects. Our agreement with the Ghanaian government was fundamental in our US$341m reinvestment programme in Damang, which created or secured around 1,850 jobs. The favourable investment environment also encouraged us to take a 45% holding in AGM.

The mining regimes in Peru and Australia remained relatively stable, though we opposed a proposed rise in the gold royalty rate in Western Australia.

**Labour relations**

Gold Fields fundamentally respects and protects the rights of its employees to organise themselves through trade unions. Over the years we have developed good working relations with organised labour at our operations and constructive engagement usually precedes any restructuring and corporate actions needed to keep our operations sustainable. However, during 2018, our relationship with unions at our Ghanaian mines and at South Deep turned adversarial.

At Tarkwa, the Ghanaian Mineworkers Union brought a court injunction against the decision to convert from owner to contractor mining, which is essential to ensure life extension at the mine. This was overturned by the courts and the mine implemented the transition to contractor mining successfully, with a large part of affected employees joining the two mining contractors. As a result the employee workforce at our Ghanaian mines is now non-unionised.

At South Deep, the NUM embarked on a 45-day strike in November and December 2018 following the mine’s decision to retrench around 1,500 employees and contractors as part of its wide-ranging restructuring. The strike was marred by violence and intimidation carried out by a small group of NUM branch members against the majority of employees who wanted to return to work, but were prevented from doing so. The strike was resolved after many NUM employees sought the assistance of the national and regional offices of the NUM to end the industrial action.

The strike highlighted the need to rebase our labour relations at South Deep, and a new collective agreement was signed between the NUM and the mine in March 2019 to take cognisance of South Deep’s new operating model.

**Community relations and Shared Value**

One of the biggest challenges facing mining companies is building relationships and trust with their host communities, without which there is potential for operational disruption, project delays and cancellations – the loss of our social licence to operate.

Gold Fields has traditionally invested in communities through a range of educational, skills development, health and infrastructure projects and, more recently, through Shared Value-based projects. This approach to structuring our investments in communities ensures that the value created is shared by communities and the business. Socio-Economic Development (SED) is still an important part of our community investment strategy, but host community procurement and employment have proven to be more impactful as they create economic value directly in the communities most impacted by our mines and projects.

During 2018, host community members accounted for 56% of our total workforce (employees and contractors) throughout the Group – 9,259 employees – with the numbers varying from around 5% at our Fly-in, Fly-out mines in Australia to over 70% at our two Ghanaian operations.

Host community procurement can be even more impactful as our spending with suppliers and contractors is generally our biggest cost component. In 2018 we spent about US$1.81bn with these businesses, of which 94% was spent in-country and 27%, or US$441m, with businesses from our host communities. The economic benefits in terms of skills development, job creation and reducing dependency from the mine are self-evident.

Altogether, we have calculated that during 2018 almost a quarter of our total value creation of US$2.71bn – US$686m – remained with our host communities. It is a number we are seeking to grow and our regions have developed ambitious targets in this respect.

**Governance and compliance**

Supporting our integrated management approach is a robust corporate governance programme throughout the Company. During 2018, building on the implementation of the recommendations of the King IV Report on Corporate Governance during 2017, the Board approved a diversity policy and revised human rights, stakeholder engagement, environmental as well as occupational health and safety policy statements. These policies are expected to improve sound governance, transparency and regulatory compliance at Gold Fields.

Adherence to legislation, controls and standards is a non-negotiable aspect of doing business, while ethical leadership and sound business governance serve to strengthen our reputation and relationships with shareholders, governments, communities and employees.
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Strategy overview

Industry developments
The past few months has seen a pick-up in mergers and acquisitions in the global gold mining sector. Most notably, there have been announced tie-ups between Newmont Gold and Goldcorp and between Barrick Gold and Randgold Resources, as well as Newmont and Barrick merging their Nevada assets. These deals, if and when finalised, will reshape the industry. Amid speculation about further sector consolidation, Gold Fields has been linked with a number of similar-sized industry peers.

We believe, though, that we are in the final stages of successfully implementing our own growth strategy, one we embarked upon two years ago. By kick-starting the investments in our growth projects then, we are confident that we are ahead of the curve in terms of project development.

Historically, mergers between gold mining companies have faced significant challenges to achieving success. We believe that too often a proposed merger was based on an increased production profile without necessarily achieving greater cost synergies, while cultural differences between companies are another impediment to delivering value to shareholders and other stakeholders.

It is early days for the recently announced mega-mergers but extracting value-creating synergies could prove challenging. Instead, they suggest that the companies are seeking to build growth and boost their Reserve lives. At Gold Fields, we don’t believe we need a merger to achieve profitable growth. We are executing what we believe to be strong, sustainable and deliverable growth strategy, which will create shareholder value in the short, medium and long-term.

Our growth strategy
Gold Fields seeks to be a low-cost gold producer that secures sustainable cash-flow through the inevitable price cycles in the gold mining industry. Through this, we are confident we can deliver superior returns when the gold price is high, and offer a degree of protection when the price falls. At the same time, sound cash-flow has enabled us to manage our debt, invest in the right assets and distribute the benefits of mining to our stakeholders.

To continue expanding margins and distributing cash, the long-term sustainability of the business must be kept intact. This requires investing to extend the life of our assets, ensuring we maintain our social licence to operate and retaining our people who are key to the Company’s success.

2018 was the second year of our reinvestment programme in which we have invested a total of almost US$550m. The key projects under this programme are set to come to fruition in 2019 and have the potential to produce strong cash-flows for Gold Fields in the future.

At present gold prices, I am confident that our Ghanaian, Australian and South American regions are well placed to maintain a production profile of nearly 2Moz per year over the medium to longer-term, based upon our Mineral Reserve profile in these regions, our track record of resource conversion, finalisation of our growth projects, and expected exploration activity.

In Ghana, the reinvestment at Damang is essentially the equivalent of developing a new mine, while our investment in Asanko Gold also has the potential for longer-term growth through life-of-mine extension. The Gruyere JV is close to completing a new mine in Western Australia, with first production scheduled in Q2 2019. Finally, in the Americas region, we have successfully completed a feasibility study for the Salares Norte project in northern Chile.

These projects are important in terms of their contribution to the strategic objectives of Gold Fields, namely to maintain and grow cash-flow on a sustainable basis. Based on current projections, they are expected to operate at an AIC that is lower than the current AIC of the Group, once steady-state levels of production are realised. As such, management believes that the Group’s overall cost of production has the potential to reduce over time.

We continue to invest in brownfields exploration in Australia with the objective of not only replacing what we mine, but also increasing our Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves at a higher quality than what has been mined previously. Finally, we need to optimally manage the ore bodies of our operating mines in terms of grade management and ongoing sustainable capital expenditure by planning for outcomes that optimise the lives of these ore bodies.

We should not forget the potential growth and profitability that we believe South Deep and its 33Moz in gold Reserves can offer Gold Fields in the longer-term. We have thus far failed to bring that potential to the fore, but I believe that the wide-ranging restructuring measures we implemented during 2018 – reducing the mine’s footprint and cutting the accompanying cost structures – have laid the foundation for future growth. For 2019, the focus will be on improving productivity and reducing the mine’s significant cash-outflows. But beyond that I believe we could see sustainable growth from South Deep that has the potential to add further to Group production.

A key element of the Group’s underlying strategy, which has contributed towards improving the quality of the portfolio over the years, are value-accretive acquisitions. During 2018, this resulted in our acquisition of a 45% stake in AGM.

Given the amount of capital that has been committed to Gruyere, Damang, Asanko and South Deep, and the potential call on funding resources to build Salares Norte, should we decide to do so, management has adopted a cautious approach on future acquisitions.

I am confident that Gold Fields has put in place the strategies that will lead to sustained value creation in the medium to long-term, and will see the Company build on its current production profile.

Executive management has sought to align itself with investors through its long-term incentive scheme, a large portion of which relates to the performance of the share price over time. If we stay the course on which we have embarked, I am confident that the Company will achieve strong operational performances, cash-flow generation and profitable growth.
Gold price outlook

During 2018 the average US Dollar gold price declined marginally to US$1,252/oz from US$1,255/oz in 2017. It recovered strongly from lows in late November and Q1 2019 was trading in between US$1,290/oz – US$1,330/oz. In their assessments the World Gold Council (WGC) and the CPM Group credit gold’s recent stronger performance to three main factors:

■ Increased market uncertainty, political turmoil in the US and the expansion of protectionist economic policies, which have historically made gold attractive as a hedge

■ While gold has faced headwinds from higher interest rates and US Dollar strength, these effects have been limited as the US Federal Reserve has signalled a more neutral stance following a series of rate hikes in 2018

■ Continued purchases of gold by central banks, a trend set to continue into 2019

While management anticipates that these trends may have a positive impact on the gold price, Gold Fields has adopted a cautious approach and is planning its business for 2019 on the assumption of a US$1,200/oz gold price, the same as in 2018.

The fundamentals may support a firmer gold price in future. On the supply side the steady increase in primary gold supplies until 2015 has since stabilised to around 105Moz per annum. This is predominantly due to the cut in exploration spending as well as the dearth of new mines being built, but also exacerbated by the decline in grades and the increasing depth and complexity of the ore bodies being mined and processed.

Consumer demand in India and China, while significantly down on its highs over the last five years, should remain strong according to CPM and WGC, given economic growth, rising urbanisation and traditional affinity towards gold in these countries. Central banks continue to buy gold and it appears that most of the central banks that were looking to sell gold have already done so.

Management believes these factors bode well for the long-term future of gold, although the price will undoubtedly move through cycles with the attendant volatility.

Guidance for 2019

Gold Fields’ business plan for 2019 has been built around an average gold price of US$1,200/oz (A$1,600/oz, R525,000/kg) and assuming exchange rates of R13.8 per US$ and A$0.75 per US$.

As stated, 2019 is set to be an important growth year for Gold Fields, with the Damang project approaching completion and Gruyere commencing production. In addition, Asanko will contribute for a full year for the first time since acquisition. As a result the Company is guiding for an increase of 4% – 7% in attributable equivalent gold production in 2019 to 2.13Moz – 2.18Moz. AISC is expected to be between US$980/oz and US$995/oz and AIC between US$1,075/oz – US$1,095/oz. The year will however, be one of two halves, with both production and cash-flow being weighted to H2 2019.

The main drivers behind production and cost guidance for 2019 are:

■ Our 50% share of production at Gruyere, which is guiding 116koz (100% basis) for the year with production set to start in Q2 2019

■ An expected increase in Damang’s production from 181koz to 218koz, with AIC of US$1,100/oz (2018: US$1,506/oz)

■ Asanko is set to contribute for the full year. Its guidance for 2019 is 225koz – 245koz (100% basis) at AIC of US$1,130/oz - US$1,150/oz

■ Production for South Deep is expected to be 6,000kg (193koz), with AIC of R610,000/kg (US$1,394/oz)

■ An expected 5% decline in the production of our three Australian mines to 843koz (2018: 886koz)

■ A drop in gold-equivalent production at Cerro Corona from 314koz in 2018 to 291koz at a higher AIC of US$802/Au-eq oz (2018: US$699/oz)

With our two key projects set to reach fruition it means that our capital expenditure is expected to decline through 2019. Capex for 2019 is split into planned sustaining capital expenditure of US$490m (including near-mine exploration) and growth capital expenditure of US$143m. Growth capex comprises US$69m for Damang and A$99m (US$74m) for Gruyere. Expenditure on Salares Norte (which is not capitalised) is expected to be US$57m in 2019, comprising US$37m on fixed costs and engineering work and US$20m on district exploration. The capital expenditure above excludes Gold Fields’ 50% share of Asanko’s capital expenditure of US$25m for 2019, as this interest is equity accounted.

For 2019, Gold Fields has continued to undertake certain gold price hedging to secure short-term cash flow and protect the balance sheet from the volatility of the gold price as we complete our investment phase and ramp up the projects.

Note of thanks

I would like to express my gratitude to my fellow directors, led by our Chairperson, Cheryl Carolus, for their support and guidance during 2018. I want to pay a special tribute to Don Ncube, who retired as Chairperson of the Social, Ethics and Transformation Committee and the Board in May 2018. He was a director of Gold Fields for 11 years and the input he provided in transforming the Company and building closer relations with our host communities, particularly at South Deep, will stand us in good stead for years to come.

The composition of our Executive Committee remained stable during 2018, with Rosh Bardien joining as Executive Vice President: People and Organisational Effectiveness in early 2018. I rely heavily on the members of this team in guiding and advising me in managing a complex, multinational company like Gold Fields. Each member of the team did a fantastic job in 2018.

Most importantly, I would like to express my sincere appreciation and gratitude to all the employees of Gold Fields. They have gone through some difficult times over the past year, with wide-ranging restructuring initiatives impacting their work lives, particularly for our colleagues at Tarkwa and South Deep. Their resilience, hard work and dedication never fails to astonish me and it gives me great comfort to know that I have this team behind me.

Nick Holland
CEO
**KEY MEASUREMENTS – PORTFOLIO MANAGEMENT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018 Status</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attributable Gold Mineral Resources (Moz)</td>
<td>96.60</td>
<td>103.76</td>
<td>101.49</td>
<td>102.21</td>
<td>108.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attributable Gold Mineral Reserves (Moz)</td>
<td>48.07</td>
<td>49.01</td>
<td>48.11</td>
<td>46.06</td>
<td>48.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attributable Copper Mineral Resources (Mlb)</td>
<td>4,816</td>
<td>4,881</td>
<td>5,813</td>
<td>5,912</td>
<td>6,873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attributable Copper Mineral Reserves (Mlb)</td>
<td>691</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attributable Gold-equivalent Mineral Resources (Moz)</td>
<td>108.22</td>
<td>115.60</td>
<td>113.10</td>
<td>113.94</td>
<td>120.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attributable Gold-equivalent Mineral Reserves (Moz)</td>
<td>50.26</td>
<td>50.80</td>
<td>49.20</td>
<td>47.29</td>
<td>49.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Near-mine exploration (US$m)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>50.80</td>
<td>49.20</td>
<td>47.29</td>
<td>49.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Near-mine exploration – metres drilled</td>
<td>556,272</td>
<td>754,669</td>
<td>694,527</td>
<td>349,189</td>
<td>349,511</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 2018 performance improvement on 2017 or achievement in line with strategy
- 2018 performance drop against 2017
- 2018 performance on par with 2017

**STRATEGIC GOALS**

1. Improving the quality of our portfolio and ensuring that current levels of production are sustainable for the next ten years

**RESULTS AND IMPACTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategic responses – Effective portfolio management</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use portfolio management and strategic planning to inform acquisitions and disposals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life extension through brownfields exploration and business optimisation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implement business improvement and efficiency projects to reduce costs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce costs through innovation and technology projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key initiatives</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Implementation of restructuring programme at South Deep</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition of 45% of Asanko gold mine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feasibility study completed for Salares Norte</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skills development programme</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitigating strategies in place to catch up on the backlog at Gruyere</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensive near-mine exploration programme in place</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Related risks</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South Deep – organisational restructuring, revised business plan and the loss of investor confidence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A sustained and significantly lower gold price and currency exchange rate volatility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-delivery of Damang Reinvestment and Gruyere projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replacing Resources and Reserves at international operations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decent Work and Economic Growth</th>
<th>Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**KEY STAKEHOLDERS**

- SHAREHOLDERS AND INVESTORS
- EMPLOYEES
- GOVERNMENTS
Mining is a long-term investment. As a business, we need to balance investing for future growth of our portfolio whilst generating cash today. Through our investment projects and strategic decisions, we aim to sustainably extend the life of Gold Fields’ overall portfolio at lower costs than today.
Managing our portfolio

Introduction
Gold Fields manages its business with the aim of continually improving the quality of its portfolio and, ultimately, its cash-flow generation. From a strategic standpoint, the overriding goal is to generate a free cash-flow (FCF) margin of at least 15% at a US$1,200/oz gold price, which is an adjustment from the previous 15% FCF margin at US$1,300/oz. To achieve this, there is strict focus on reducing AIC and, as a result, increasing the FCF/oz. However, it is also imperative that the generation of cash-flow is sustainable. Therefore, in addition to lowering Group AIC, strategic decisions aim to extend the life of the Group’s asset base and the overall portfolio.

To improve the quality of our portfolio, management employs the following elements in the portfolio management process:
- Acquiring or developing lower-cost (than Group average), longer-life assets
- Disposing of higher-cost, shorter-life assets that management believes can be better served by a company that has more time and resources to commit to them
- Extending the life of current assets through near-mine brownfields exploration
- Focusing on in-country opportunities to leverage off our existing footprint, infrastructure and skill set and capitalise on the experience we have gained from operating in these jurisdictions

Recent developments that improved the quality of our portfolio include the sale of Darlot in 2017, the acquisition of a 45% stake in the Asanko gold mine (AGM), and the continued investment into the Damang and Gruyere projects. Once Damang and Gruyere are operating at steady state, expected in 2020, Group AIC is expected to approach US$900/oz.

Quality portfolio of growth projects
By employing an active portfolio management approach, Gold Fields has built an attractive global portfolio of assets in Australia, Ghana and Peru, which have met or exceeded production and cost guidance over the past few years. At a mine level, this international portfolio of assets, excluding South Deep, generated net cash flow of US$457m (excluding project capital) during 2018 (2017: US$485m), enabling the Group to report a FCF margin, which takes into account the outflow from South Deep, of 16% (2017: 16%). This is in line with our targeted 15% margin at a US$1,200/oz planning gold price.

South Deep is the only asset within the Company that has failed to meet expectations, with 2018 proving to be an extremely difficult year for the mine. After falling behind plan in the first half of 2018, management announced a material restructuring on 14 August 2018, with the aim of consolidating mining activity to increase focus and to match the cost structure with the level of production. The immediate target is to get the mine to break even at the current level of production (around 190koz per annum) and minimise the cash burn. Once this has been achieved, the focus will be on improving productivity off the restructured cost base and overhead structure, to bring the mine to profitability. For more details on the South Deep restructuring and outlook, refer to p46.

All assets in our portfolio are subject to the Group’s annual strategic planning process, which assesses how to best maximise cash-flow, life-of-mine, and margin. The results of this analysis are combined with the Group’s capital profile and the current economic environment as inputs into our annual business planning. This process supported the continued investment in the Group’s three key growth and exploration projects (Damang, Gruyere and Salares Norte) during 2018:
- US$125m in project capital was incurred on the Damang Reinvestment project in 2018, after having spent US$115m in 2017 (p48)
- Gold Fields spent A$218m (US$163m) on the Gruyere project in 2018, compared with A$182m (US$140m) in 2017. Included in this number is A$153m (US$115m) in project capital, A$39m (US$29m) in capitalised interest, A$18m (US$14m) in operational support costs and A$8m (US$6m) in exploration expenditure. During 2018, the joint venture (JV) partners announced that there had been a delay to the project timeline (first gold now expected in Q2 2019), together with a 17% increase in the final forecast capital cost estimate to A$621m (US$480m) (p44)
- US$64m on further feasibility study work was spent on the Salares Norte exploration venture in Chile during 2018. The feasibility study was completed and approved by the Board in February 2019 (p47).
Expanding our global footprint

2018 was the second year of Gold Fields’ reinvestment phase, in which we incurred US$290m (excluding Asanko) in project capital (2017: US$217m). All project capital spent was in countries that Gold Fields currently operates in, allowing us to leverage our knowledge of the business environment. Importantly, management only invested in projects that it believes have relatively short pay-back periods and attractive returns.

Gold Fields also increased its footprint in Ghana during 2018 by acquiring a 50% stake of Asanko Gold’s 90% interest in AGM for an upfront payment of US$165m (the government of Ghana holds the remaining 10%). A deferred payment of US$20m will be paid to Asanko Gold, should it achieve key milestones in the development of the Esaase project at AGM before 31 December 2019. In addition, Gold Fields purchased a 9.9% equity stake in Toronto Stock Exchange-listed Asanko Gold for US$17.6m.

While the Group spent more than it generated in 2017 and 2018, the cash-outflow over the period (US$2m in 2017 and US$132m in 2018) was lower than anticipated, underpinned by favourable hedge positions and a gold price received that was higher than planning prices. Despite the cash-outflows over the past two years, Gold Fields remains committed to its strategy of generating cash to reduce our debt, pay dividends to shareholders and share the value we create with employees, governments and host communities.

There were no further material developments regarding the Far Southeast (FSE) project in the Philippines during 2018. The project is held by Far Southeast Gold Resources (FSGR) in which Gold Fields has a 40% interest, with an option to increase its stake to 60%, and is adjacent to an existing mining operation with established infrastructure. Lepanto Consolidated Mining of the Philippines holds the remaining 60% interest and manages the existing mining operation. Gold Fields impaired its investment in Far Southeast to US$92m in 2018, as determined by an evaluation of Lepanto’s market value on the Philippine Stock Exchange.

Gold Fields’ holding costs in FSE have been reduced to approximately US$120,000/month, related mainly to staff and administrative costs, managing existing drill core, environmental monitoring, community engagement work, as well as activities to support the permitting process.

Gold Fields’ material investments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investment</th>
<th>Shareholding</th>
<th>Market Value (Dec 2018 – US$m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gold Road Resources</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asanko Gold</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardinal Resources</td>
<td>11.3%¹</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red 5</td>
<td>19.9%</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maverix Metals</td>
<td>19.9%²</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hummingbird Resources</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rusoro Mining</td>
<td>25.7%</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lefroy Exploration</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magmatic Resources</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orsu Metals</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total value (including warrants)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>191</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Gold Fields owns an additional 38.2m options valued at US$6.0m
² Gold Fields owns an additional 10m warrant options valued at US$9.3m. Adding these warrants results in a holding of 20.5% in Maverix on a diluted basis
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Gruyere

In November 2016, Gold Fields entered into a 50/50 JV with Australian exploration company, Gold Road Resources, for the development and operation of the Gruyere gold project in the Yamarna belt of Western Australia, one of the country’s largest undeveloped gold regions. The JV comprises the Gruyere gold deposit and 144km² of exploration tenements.

Gruyere is a large shear hosted porphyry gold deposit, with combined total Mineral Resources of 6.6Moz and Mineral Reserves of 3.8Moz, 50% of which is attributable to Gold Fields. It is located 200km east of Laverton in Western Australia, where our Granny Smith mine is located.

Early work at Gruyere began in December 2016, with Gold Fields taking over management of the project on 1 February 2017. After remaining largely on track and within budget in 2017, the JV partners announced a slight delay to project completion and an increase in the final forecast capital (FFC) cost estimate during 2018. First gold is now expected to be poured during the June 2019 quarter (previously the March 2019 quarter) whilst the FFC estimate is A$621m (US$480m), a 17% increase from the previous FCC estimate of A$532m (US$411m). Included in the new FCC estimate are scope changes and force majeure costs (due to extreme rainfall events during 2018) of A$30m (US$22m) and a contingency of A$30m (US$22m).

During 2018, Gold Fields spent project capital of A$153m (US$115m) on Gruyere, bringing our cumulative capital expenditure as at end-December 2018 to A$246m (US$187m). In addition, capitalised interest of A$39m (US$29m), operational support costs of A$18m (US$14m) and exploration expenditure of A$8m (US$6m) was incurred during the year, bringing Gold Fields’ total spending on Gruyere for 2018 to A$218m (US$163m). The remaining project capital of A$129m (US$97m) (100% basis) has been budgeted for 2019, the majority of which is expected to be spent during the first half of the year.

In a project update released on 6 December 2018, the JV partners announced an increase in average annual production to 300koz from 270koz, driven by the purchase of larger semi-autogenous grinding (SAG) and ball mills which increased processing throughput to 8.2Mtpa from 7.5Mtpa. In addition, there was an increase in average All-in Sustaining Costs (AISC) over life-of-mine to A$1,025/oz (US$738/oz) from A$945/oz (US$738/oz) to reflect industry cost inflation since the 2016 feasibility study.

As at end December 2018, engineering was largely complete, while construction progress was 86.7% with all major equipment and materials for effective construction already delivered to site. During 2018, civil works on the TSF and installation of the tailings decant recovery pipelines were completed and the power station was fully commissioned. All civil and concrete works for the process plant were completed by year-end, with structural steel, plate steel and tanks nearing completion. Post year-end, the remaining work focused on piping, electrical and instrumentation and delivery of plant systems for commissioning of the plant during Q1 2019.

Downer EDI, which was awarded a five-year mining services contract in Q4 2017, began mobilising its workforce during Q1 2018 to begin construction of the mining infrastructure. Mining activities commenced in November 2018, focusing on completing the pre-strip and second stage run-of-mine (ROM) pad development. First ore was in Q1 2019, with mining rates expected to peak at 60Mtpa (100% basis) in 2023 and average 32Mtpa over life-of-mine.

The tenements comprising the Gruyere project fall within the area of the Yilka and Sullivan Edwards native title determination. The Yilka People and the Sullivan Edwards families are the traditional owners of the land, with many of their members residing in the nearby Cosmo Newberry community. The JV partners have a Native Title Agreement in place with the Yilka People and the Cosmo Newberry Aboriginal Corporation, which provides consent to mine. The partners also offer financial, contracting and employment benefits to the community, and have facilitated comprehensive processes for the management of Aboriginal heritage within the project area. A number of projects have been implemented with the Yilka People, including the provision of cultural awareness training for Gruyere employees and contractors. Key contractors at Gruyere have also been required to identify and pursue employment and contracting opportunities with the Yilka People to expand the scope of local participation.

First gold is forecast for Q2 2019 with production guidance of 118koz (100% basis) for the year at an AIC of A$3,178/oz (US$2,384/oz) (Gold Fields’ share only). A relatively quick ramp up is anticipated, with steady state run-rate expected by year-end.
Construction activity at the Gruyere project
South Deep

The key challenge for Gold Fields since taking ownership of South Deep in 2006 has been transitioning the mine from a conventional mining mindset to mining with a safe, modern, bulk, mechanised approach. Despite numerous interventions over the years to address the mine’s underperformance — including optimising the mining method, extensive training and skills development, changing shift and work configurations, and outsourcing functions — the mine has continued to underperform and make losses.

South Deep got off to a difficult start in 2018, with production in Q1 2018 impacted by a slow build-up after the seasonal holidays, two labour restructuring processes that took place at the end of 2017 and Q1 2018 respectively, and a change in the underground working shift arrangements implemented to increase face time and productivity. In addition, low mobile equipment reliability, the intersection of active geological features (faults and dykes) in the high-grade corridor 3 and poor ground conditions in the western sections of the mine (composites) slowed production rates. South Deep only produced 1,485kg (48koz) in Q1 2018.

Production was further impacted by a Department of Mineral Resources (DMR) related safety stoppage during April. As a result of these factors, guidance for the mine was downgraded to 7,600kg (244koz) in Q1 2018 production update on 25 April, down from the original guidance of 10,000kg (321koz).

Despite the two restructuring processes, South Deep continued to face a number of organisational and structural challenges that directly impacted performance during Q2 2018, with production during the quarter only marginally higher than Q1 2018 at 7,600kg (244koz). As a result, on 14 August 2018, Gold Fields announced a further material restructuring of the mine. The aim of the restructuring was to consolidate mining activity to increase focus, and to match the cost structure with the level of production. This included:

- Temporarily suspending mining activities at one of the mining areas (87 Level) and redeploying these mining crews into a different corridor (4W)
- Servicing the eastern part of the mine from the Twin Shafts and restaffing the South Shaft operations to a single shift per day
- South Shaft now only facilitates the provision of water and backfill reticulation, water pumping and ventilation services to the full mining operation
- Reducing growth capital expenditure for an 18-month period up until end 2019 to reduce the cash burn. New mine development has outperformed the plan with 918m achieved during 2018 against 749m planned, which allowed us some flexibility to reduce this spending in the near term

As part of the restructuring, Gold Fields served a section 189 notice on its trade unions, the National Union of Mineworkers (NUM) and UASA (formerly named the United Association of South Africa), on 14 August 2018, which is when the legislated minimum 60-day consultation period commenced. It was envisaged that an estimated 1,100 permanent employees and 460 contractors could be impacted through the retrenchment process. The consultation period ended on 31 October and Gold Fields formally served the NUM and UASA with a list of employees that were to be given notice of termination as per the section 189 process. Severance letters were issued to 1,082 affected employees, which prompted the majority union (NUM) to serve Gold Fields a 48-hour notice of its intention to commence a strike.

The strike started on 2 November and Gold Fields was granted an urgent court interdict on 3 November which prevented striking employees from intimidating other employees and blocking access to the mine. Despite this, the strike, which was orchestrated by a core group of about 200 NUM members and supporters of the South Deep branch leadership, was immediately characterised by intimidation and violence, prompting management to instruct all employees to remain clear of the mine property for the duration of the industrial action. The “no work, no pay” policy applied to all NUM members given that this union had declared the strike.

On 20 November, Gold Fields tabled an improved retrenchment offer in an attempt to break the deadlock and end the strike. The union rejected the offer twice before the regional office of the NUM suspended the strike on 13 December and signed a settlement agreement five days later. Through the restructuring, a total of 1,092 permanent employees exited the business, of which 904 were retrenched, 183 opted for voluntary separation packages and five resigned.

In the wake of the restructuring, which has seen us remove R800m (US$56m) from the mine’s cost base and our employee workforce fall by 38% to just under 2,500 and the number of contractors from 2,294 to around 1,500, we are in a position to significantly reduce South Deep’s pre-restructuring cash-burn during 2019. We expect to build-up gradually to a sustainable production profile from this restructured position. Guidance of 6,000kg (193,000oz) has been provided for 2019 at an AIC profile from this restructured position.

The key enablers for sustainable improvements at South Deep are expected to be:

- Improved organisational design with the right people in the right roles and a flat management structure
- Rigorous performance management linked to line of sight performance
- Improved stakeholder management, including government, trade unions and surrounding communities
- Reliable fixed infrastructure
- Accelerated backfill placement
- Improved fleet availability and utilisation

Once this has been achieved, the focus will be on improving productivity off the restructured cost base and overhead structure, to bring the mine to profitability.
The Salares Norte project is 100% Gold Fields-owned and is focused on a gold-silver deposit in the Atacama region of northern Chile. Mineralisation is contained within a high-sulphidation epithermal system, offering high-grade oxides. The project is at an elevation of 4,200m – 4,900m above sea level.

The Salares Norte feasibility study was completed in late 2018 and peer reviewed in January 2019. Gold Fields spent US$51m on feasibility study work and drilling in 2018 (2017: US$53m) with a further US$13m spent on district exploration. The findings of the feasibility study were presented to the Gold Fields Board in February 2019.

Key findings of the feasibility study include the following potential operational parameters for Salares Norte:
- Initial 11.5-year life-of-mine
- Annual throughput of 2Mt
- Life-of-mine production of 3.2MoZ of gold and 26.7MoZ of silver
- Average Annual production of 450koZ gold equivalent for the first seven years of the project at AISC of US$465/Au-eq oz
- Average annual production of 355koZ gold equivalent for the first 10 years of the project at AISC of US$545/Au-eq oz
- Project construction capital of US$834m (in current terms)
- Internal rate of return of 25% at a US$1,300/oz gold price with a 2.2-year payback period from commencement of production

The project envisions open pit operations with a processing plant that includes both Carbon-in-Pulp (CIP) and Merrill Crowe processes due to the high silver content of the ore. The processing plant could deliver recovery rates of around 92% for gold. In addition, filtered and dry stack tailings will be used for safety, water scarcity and environmental reasons. Contractor mining is likely to be used for Salares Norte.

In December 2018, Gold Fields updated the project’s Mineral Resources and Reserves, reporting a total mineral Resource of 3.9Mt of gold and 44MoZ of silver. More pertinently, a maiden Mineral Reserve has been declared with 3.5MoZ of gold and 39MoZ of silver. The gold-equivalent Mineral Reserve is 4.0MoZ.

A final go-ahead decision on the project hinges on the outcome of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) for the project, which was accepted by the regulator for review on 11 July 2018. The EIA entails baseline research comprising hydrogeological, flora and fauna and biodiversity studies, including research and recommendations on the protection of the endangered short-tailed Chinchilla in the area. Gold Fields anticipates the EIA review to take 18 – 24 months to complete.

This time period will give Gold Fields sufficient time to consider funding options for the anticipated US$834m in project capital. Depending on the timing of the EIA decision, construction could commence in late 2020 with first gold production in 2023.

A pre-development budget of US$81m has been estimated to advance detailed engineering, permits and early works during 2019 and the first half of 2020, while we await the outcomes of the EIA and the permit to proceed. As at December 2018, Salares Norte controlled about 84,000ha of mineral rights concession in the Salares Norte district and has carried out extensive district-wide exploration within a 20km radius of Salares Norte. It will continue investing in exploration in the area, with the objective to discover and deliver ore from these targets to the production pipeline from 2025 onwards.

Land easement for 30 years was granted on 30 May 2016 and water rights for the project were obtained on 29 December 2016, with the regulator granting Gold Fields access to 114.27l/second (more than double what the project is planning to use). Energy demand for the project is estimated at 12MW, with an independent power producer (IPP) operating an onsite 14MW diesel power station to meet this requirement. A staged approach to incorporating renewable energy sources is also being considered.

While there are no indigenous claims or community presence on the concession or the dedicated access routes, Salares Norte has embarked on an extensive engagement programme with three indigenous communities in the wider vicinity of the project. The principal area of social influence of the project – and, potentially, for recruiting labour – is the Diego de Almagro municipality, approximately 125km away. A long-term framework agreement has been signed with the municipality and its communities to govern the relationship. Furthermore, work protocols have been signed for the gathering of information and citizen participation process with two of the three communities, with the process ongoing for the third community.
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Damang Reinvestment

The Damang Reinvestment project is set to extend its life-of-mine to 2025. It entails a major cutback to both the eastern and western walls of the Damang Pit Cutback (DPCB). When complete, the cutback is expected to have a total depth of 341m, comprising a 265m pre-strip to access the base of the existing pit. This will be followed by a deepening of the pit by a further 76m which will ultimately provide access to the full Damang ore body, including the high-grade Tarkwa Phyllite lithology.

The project is on track to begin sourcing higher-grade ore from the Saddle area of the DPCB towards the middle of 2019, and then reach the bottom of the main pit in early 2020. The Amoanda pit has been the main ore source during the cutback of the Damang pit, with positive grade reconciliation from Amoanda being the main reason for the out-performance of the project during 2017 and 2018.

The reinvestment project, which commenced on 23 December 2016, got off to a strong start in 2017 and continued to track well against plan during 2018. Total tonnes mined were 45.9Mt in 2018 (2017: 39.7Mt) versus the project schedule of 41.5Mt, driven by a good performance by both contractors (BCM and E&P). Gold produced of 180.8koz (2017: 143.6koz) was 13% higher than guidance of 160koz, underpinned by the high-grade material from the Amoanda pit, while AIC of US$1,506/oz (2017: US$1,827/oz) was below guidance of US$1,520/oz. Project capital of US$125m was spent during 2018, on top of the US$115m spent during 2017.

To ensure sufficient tailings capacity for Damang’s extended life-of-mine, a new tailings storage facility, the Far East Tailings Storage Facility (FETSF), with a tailings capacity of 44Mt, was commissioned in Q4 2017, on time and within budget. Decommissioning of the older East Tailings Storage Facility (ETSF) commenced during Q1 2018, and was completed during 2018, with all tailings now being deposited on the FETSF.

A sharp increase in Damang’s production from 181koz to 218koz has been guided for 2019, with AIC sharply reduced to US$100/oz (2018: US$1,506/oz). Project capital for 2019 is expected to be US$69m.
In March 2018, Gold Fields announced that it had entered into an agreement to form a 50:50 incorporated JV with Asanko Gold. In the deal, which went unconditional on 31 July 2018, Gold Fields acquired a 50% stake in Asanko Gold Ghana’s 90% interest in AGM, associated properties and exploration rights in Ghana (the Ghana government holds the remaining 10% through the legislated free carry arrangements). Our 45% stake in AGM is equity accounted as Asanko Gold remains the operator of the mine.

The acquisition was in line with the Group’s growth strategy of focusing on jurisdictions in which it already has an established footprint and can leverage off its infrastructure and skills set. A JV committee has been established which oversees the running of the JV.

AGM is a multi-deposit complex, with two main deposits, Nkran and Esaase, and nine known satellite deposits. The mine is situated 100km north of Gold Fields’ Tarkwa and Damang operations along the prospective and under-explored Asankrangwa greenstone belt in Ghana.

Gold Fields’ purchase consideration included an upfront payment of US$165m and a deferred payment of US$20m by 31 December 2019, or earlier if agreed development milestones at the Esaase project are reached. In addition, Gold Fields purchased 9.9% of Asanko Gold’s issued equity on the Toronto Stock Exchange through a private placement, for a total consideration of US$17.6m.

During 2018, AGM produced 223koz (100% basis) at an AISC of US$1,072/oz and an AIC of US$1,183/oz. Gold Fields’ share of the production for the period August – December 2018 was 44,500oz.

Guidance for 2019 is production of 225koz – 245koz (100% basis) at an AISC of US$1,090/oz – US$1,110/oz and AIC of US$1,130/oz – US$1,150/oz. The guidance includes oxide material from the Esaase deposit, which will be trucked about 30km to the processing plant. A feasibility study has been completed and the JV partners are currently deciding on the long-term development and associated ore transportation plans for the Esaase project in H2 2019.

An updated Mineral Reserve will also be released on completion of the feasibility study. Development capital of US$18m is planned for AGM during 2019, mainly on the development of Esaase.

AGM’s sizeable resource base, with a life-of-mine of at least 15 years at 2018 production rates, is accretive to the Gold Fields portfolio, with the potential for further discoveries on the large, relatively unexplored, tenement package of about 540km², held by Asanko Gold.
Near-mine exploration plays a key role in Gold Fields’ strategy as we believe it offers one of the lowest-cost opportunities for growing cash-flow, particularly on a per share basis. The value in near-mine exploration lies in:

- Knowledge of the ore bodies, which enables the exploration teams to identify extensions or additional ore sources housed within the mining tenement
- Operational capabilities, including Gold Fields’ proven ability to develop and mine orogenic ore bodies, which are prevalent at our Australian mines
- Regional and operational infrastructure, including existing processing plants and regional management teams

In addition to adding to Gold Fields’ Mineral Resource and Mineral Reserve base, near-mine exploration:

- Extends the life of the Group’s existing mines
- Ensures each region can continue to leverage its infrastructure
- Provides a robust platform for regional growth

In 2018, Gold Fields spent US$80m on near-mine exploration (2017: US$87m), which supported a total of 507,497 metres of near-mine drilling (2017: 754,669 metres). The majority of this spending – US$63m (A$85m) (2017: US$75m (A$99m)) – was incurred at our Australian mines. US$14m was spent in Ghana, which is slightly higher than the US$11m spent in the region in 2017, amid a renewed focus on extending the life of the Tarkwa mine.

For 2019, Gold Fields has budgeted US$63m for near-mine exploration of which US$57m (A$76m) will be at our Australian operations.
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Following is a breakdown of brownfields exploration at our operations during 2018:

### St Ives

At St Ives, total exploration spend in 2018 was A$36m (US$27m). A total of 124,355 metres were drilled during the year, resulting in a 2% increase in Mineral Resources to 3.9 Moz. Taking into account depletion of 367 koz during 2018, Mineral Reserves at St Ives increased 11% from 1.57 Moz to 1.74 Moz.

**Key outcomes:**
- 11% increase in Mineral Reserves
- 2% increase in Mineral Resources
- Extensions of invincible complex both laterally and at depth

In June 2018, Gold Fields entered into a farm-in joint venture agreement with Lefroy Exploration. The prospective tenements being farmed into comprise 372 km² of the Lefroy Gold project adjacent to our St Ives mine. Gold Fields can earn up to a 70% interest in the tenements by spending up to A$25m (US$19m) on exploration activities over a six-year period, and will manage all exploration activities during this time. During 2018, Gold Fields spent A$36m (US$26m) on exploration activities on the tenements (including Lefroy Gold).

### Agnew

A$23m (US$17m) was spent on exploration at Agnew during 2018 and a total of 122,082 metres were drilled during the year. Encouragingly, Agnew replaced Reserves after depletion again during 2018. Mineral Reserves increased 4% to 0.56 Moz while Mineral Resources increased 5% to 2.05 Moz.

The exploration effort of the past few years is starting to show success, with Waroonga North growing laterally and at depth. Redeemer North is building up to a 0.5 Moz – 1.0 Moz deposit, whilst we are seeing further extensions of Genesis and Sheba at New Holland.

**Key outcomes:**
- 4% increase in Mineral Reserves
- 5% increase in Mineral Resources
- Redeemer North growing into a sizeable deposit
- Waroonga North growing laterally and at depth
- Further extensions at New Holland
**Granny Smith**

Total exploration spend at Granny Smith was A$23m (US$17m) in 2018. A total of 165,456 metres were drilled during the year, which resulted in a 43koz (2%) increase in Mineral Reserves and a 762koz (11%) increase in Mineral Resources at the Wallaby Underground mine.

Exploration has generated additional advanced targets in-mine and regionally, which will be targeted as additional sources of mill feed in the near future.

As at 31 December 2018, Granny Smith’s Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves were 7.84Moz and 2.25Moz, respectively. Gold Fields has grown the Granny Smith Resource by 4.5Moz and the Reserve by over 2.5Moz since acquiring the asset in 2013. The immediate focus is on converting Mineral Resources to Reserves.

**Key outcomes:**
- 2% increase in Mineral Reserves
- 11% increase in Mineral Resources
- Advanced targets identified as additional mill feed sources

---

**Tarkwa**

With the transition to contractor mining in early 2018, the Tarkwa mine has devoted more time and resources on life-of-mine extension exploration activities. Gold Fields spent US$9m in near-mine exploration at Tarkwa during the year, drilling 48,429 metres. Tarkwa’s Mineral Reserves decreased by 128koz (2%), but Mineral Resources increased by 1.3Moz (15%).

As at 31 December 2018, Tarkwa’s Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves were 9.9Moz and 5.8Moz, respectively.

**Key outcomes:**
- 2% decrease in Mineral Reserves
- 15% increase in Mineral Resources
- Early target identification for life-of-mine extension

---

**Damang**

While the focus at Damang was on implementing the reinvestment plan, Gold Fields also spent US$5.4m on near-mine exploration during 2018. A total of 33,857 metres were drilled. Despite the exploration effort, Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves decreased marginally to 6.0Moz (-1%) and 1.6Moz (-6%), respectively.

**Key outcomes:**
- Focus on further extension of Amoanda to the North
- Unconstrained case with 2Moz potential
- 6% decrease in Mineral Reserves
Gold Fields’ December 2018 Resource and Reserve position reflects the Company’s growth strategy of consistent funding of brownfield exploration, reinvestment in the sustainability and growth of the operations, embedded Business Improvement programmes and advancement of selected growth projects.

Gold Fields continued with its strategy of focusing on brownfields (near-mine) exploration at our Australian and Ghanaian mines to extend the life of our mines. During 2018, our Australian mines again replaced more Reserves than they mined. The emphasis at all mine sites is to strive for Mineral Reserve growth that replaces annual depletion, improves cash-flow and costs per ounce, and maintains momentum on discovery. The sites are also encouraged to convert Resources to Reserves to maintain business plan production profiles and cash-flow projections.

Our strategy also seeks to assess emerging in-country opportunities to leverage off our existing infrastructure and resources and boost the medium to longer-term life of our portfolio. The successful feasibility study at Salares Norte, leading to the conversion of the Mineral Resource to a maiden 4Moz gold equivalent Mineral Reserve, is positive evidence of this strategy.

The summary of Gold Fields’ Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves in this section should be read in conjunction with the Gold Fields Mineral Resource and Mineral Reserve Supplement (the Supplement), which sets out important and detailed information on the Company’s Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves as at 31 December 2018. The Supplement can be found on our website on www.goldfields.com.

The Group’s December 2018 Mineral Resource and Mineral Reserve estimate is in accordance with the requirements of the South African Code for the Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves (the SAMREC Code, 2016), the South African Code for the Reporting of Mineral Asset Valuation (2016 SAMVAL Code) and Industry Guide 7 for reporting on the US SEC. The SAMREC Code covers public reporting and information that is prepared for investors or potential investors and their advisers, as well as other interested parties.

Reporting is also in accordance with section 12 of the Johannesburg Stock Exchange Limited (JSE) Listings Requirements and takes cognisance of other relevant international codes, where geographically applicable. The definitions contained in the SAMREC Code are either identical to, or not materially different from, equivalent international codes.

The December 2018 declaration aims to report on information that is rated as important for disclosure on Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves and it reflects a level of detail required for completeness, transparency and materiality in reporting. Gold Fields’ Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves are reviewed on an ongoing basis by an internal Competent Person team administered by Corporate Technical Services and cyclically, at least every three years, by external and independent experts, details of which are published in the Supplement. The Competent Persons designated in terms of SAMREC, who assume responsibility for the reporting of Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves, are the respective operation-based geology, technical and relevant project managers. The relevant Competent Persons are listed in the Supplement to this Integrated Annual Report.

Key projects supporting the Company’s Resource and Reserve development strategy continued during 2018. Apart from the progress at Salares Norte, these projects include the operating model restructuring at South Deep, delivering on key milestones of the Damang Reinvestment plan, significant life extension at Cerro Corona and advancing the Gruyere project construction schedule to a point where full mining of the pit looks set to commence in Q2 2019.

**Metal prices and exchange rates**

This declaration is based on a Mineral Resource gold price of US$1,400/oz (A$1,850/oz; R600,000/kg) and a Mineral Reserve price of US$1,200/oz (A$1,600/oz; R525,000/kg). The gold price of US$1,200/oz used for the Mineral Reserve declaration is within the guidelines of the United States (US) Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). The copper price used for the Mineral Resource estimation is US$3.20/lb and for the Mineral Reserve estimation US$2.50/lb, increasing to US$2.80/lb from 2020 onward, with the respective prices used for silver being $20/oz and $17.50/oz. The following exchange rates were used for Mineral Reserve planning purposes: R/US$13.6, R/A$10.2, and A$/US$0.75.

**Corporate governance**

For reporting Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves, Gold Fields’ over-arching principle is to ensure transparency, materiality and competency in reporting, compliance with public regulatory codes and internal standards, and to inform all stakeholders of relevant material issues regarding the status of the Group’s fundamental asset base.
**Headline numbers**

At 31 December 2018, Gold Fields’ mines and projects had total attributable gold and copper Mineral Resources of 96.6Moz (December 2017: 103.8Moz) and 4,816Mlbs (December 2017: 4,881Mlbs), respectively. Attributable gold and copper Mineral Reserves are 48.1Moz (December 2017: 49Moz) and 691Mlbs (December 2017: 764Mlbs) respectively, net of mined depletion. Total gold-equivalent Mineral Resources are 108.22Moz (December 2017: 115.60Moz) and Mineral Reserves 50.26Moz (2017: 50.80Moz). South Deep currently accounts for 47% of gold-equivalent Mineral Resources and 59% of Mineral Reserves.

The adjacent charts depict the Group’s comparative 2018 and 2017 managed gold Mineral Resource and Mineral Reserve ounces split by region and growth projects. Detailed year-on-year reconciliations are set out in the Supplement.

---

1 Salares Norte and Gruyere are included in the Americas and Australia regions respectively
2 The Growth Project Resource now reflects the FSE project only
## Gold Fields Mineral Resource statement as at 31 December 2018

### Headline numbers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>31 Dec 2018</th>
<th>31 Dec 2017</th>
<th>31 Dec 2018</th>
<th>31 Dec 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gold only</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total regions²</td>
<td>1,002.1</td>
<td>1,010.8</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>103.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total projects³</td>
<td>891.7</td>
<td>891.7</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Au only</td>
<td>1,893.8</td>
<td>1,902.5</td>
<td>115.3</td>
<td>123.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cerro Corona Cu as Au Equiv.²

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1.9</th>
<th>2.1</th>
<th>1.9</th>
<th>2.1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

FSE Cu as Au Equiv.³

|             | 22.7         | 22.7        | 9.1         | 9.1         |

Salares Norte Ag as Au Equiv.⁴

|             | 0.6          | 0.7         | 0.6         | 0.7         |

Grand total as Au equivalents

|               | 140.5        | 148.6       | 108.2       | 115.6       |

### Operational summary*

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gold only</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Managed Mineral Resources**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gold</th>
<th>31 Dec 2018</th>
<th>31 Dec 2017</th>
<th>31 Dec 2018</th>
<th>31 Dec 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia region</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agnew</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>2,049</td>
<td>1,946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granny Smith</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>7,837</td>
<td>7,075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Ives</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>3,928</td>
<td>3,847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gruyere</td>
<td>77.7</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>3,305</td>
<td>3,134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Australia region</td>
<td>169.5</td>
<td>160.0</td>
<td>17,120</td>
<td>16,002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

South African region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>328.0</th>
<th>381.6</th>
<th>56,152</th>
<th>66,318</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Total South Africa region

|               | 328.0        | 381.6       | 56,152      | 66,318      |

Americas region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>107.7</th>
<th>115.0</th>
<th>2,263</th>
<th>2,542</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salares Norte</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>3,910</td>
<td>3,663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Americas region</td>
<td>132.3</td>
<td>138.3</td>
<td>6,173</td>
<td>6,205</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

West Africa region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>85.1</th>
<th>86.2</th>
<th>6,058</th>
<th>6,119</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tarkwa – open pits</td>
<td>213.2</td>
<td>172.5</td>
<td>8,891</td>
<td>7,583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarkwa – surface stocks</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>1,091</td>
<td>1,074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarkwa – total</td>
<td>286.3</td>
<td>244.8</td>
<td>9,983</td>
<td>8,658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total West Africa region</td>
<td>371.4</td>
<td>331.0</td>
<td>16,040</td>
<td>14,436</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gold only

|               | 1,002.1      | 1,010.8     | 95,485      | 103,301     |

* Including Gruyere and Salares Norte

## Managed Mineral Resources

### Copper

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Americas region</th>
<th>Tonnes (Mt)</th>
<th>Grade (% Cu)</th>
<th>Copper (Mlbs)</th>
<th>Attributable Copper (Mlbs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cerro Corona Cu only</td>
<td>102.4</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>848</td>
<td>844</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Silver

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Americas region</th>
<th>Tonnes (Mt)</th>
<th>Grade (g/t)</th>
<th>Ag (koz)</th>
<th>Attributable Silver (koz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salares Norte Ag only</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>53.13</td>
<td>43,664</td>
<td>43,664</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Including Gruyere and Salares Norte
# Gold Fields Mineral Reserve statement as at 31 December 2018

## Headline numbers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Managed Mineral Reserves</th>
<th>Attributable ounces</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gold only</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gold only</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Dec 2018</td>
<td>31 Dec 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonnnes (Mt)</td>
<td>Grade (g/t)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au (Moz)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total regions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total regions</td>
<td>589.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold only</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Dec 2018</td>
<td>51.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Dec 2017</td>
<td>51.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu and Ag as Au equiv.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu and Ag as Au equiv.</td>
<td>Individual metals detailed in table below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerro Corona Cu as Au Equiv.</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salares Norte Ag as Au Equiv.</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand total as Au equivalents</td>
<td>54.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Operational summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Managed Mineral Reserves</th>
<th>Attributable ounces</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gold only</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Dec 2018</td>
<td>31 Dec 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonnnes (Mt)</td>
<td>Grade (g/t)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold (koz)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Australia region</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Australia region</td>
<td>82.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South African region</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total South Africa region</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Americas region</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Americas region</td>
<td>101.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Africa region</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total West Africa region</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold only</td>
<td>Total Gold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Dec 2018</td>
<td>31 Dec 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonnnes (Mt)</td>
<td>Grade (g/t)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold (koz)</td>
<td>Reserves (koz)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia region</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agnew</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granny Smith</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Ives</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gruyere</td>
<td>47.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Australia region</td>
<td>82.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South African region</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Deep</td>
<td>182.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total South Africa region</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Americas region</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerro Corona</td>
<td>79.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salares Norte</td>
<td>21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Americas region</td>
<td>101.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Africa region</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total West Africa region</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold only</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Gold</td>
<td>589.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Managed Mineral Reserves

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Managed Mineral Reserves</th>
<th>Attributable ounces</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(PERU) – Cerro Corona Copper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonnnes (Mt)</td>
<td>Grade (% Cu)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper (Mlbs)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper (Cuj only)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79.9</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>695</td>
<td>66.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>767</td>
<td>691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>764</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Managed Mineral Reserves</th>
<th>Attributable ounces</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(CHILE) – Salares Norte Silver</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonnnes (Mt)</td>
<td>Grade (g/t Ag)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver (kzo)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver (Ag) only</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>57.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39,263</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39,263</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Attributable gold-eq

2.04 Moz

### KEY MEASUREMENTS – SAFE OPERATIONAL DELIVERY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total recordable injury frequency rate (TRIFR) (rate per million)</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>4.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatalities</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold production – attributable (koz)</td>
<td>2,036</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,160</td>
<td>2,146</td>
<td>2,159</td>
<td>2,219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue (US$m)</td>
<td>2,578</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,811</td>
<td>2,750</td>
<td>2,545</td>
<td>2,869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-in Sustaining Cost (US$/oz)</td>
<td>981</td>
<td></td>
<td>955</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>1,007</td>
<td>1,053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-in Cost (US$/oz)</td>
<td>1,173</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,088</td>
<td>1,006</td>
<td>1,026</td>
<td>1,087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average gold price received (US$/oz)</td>
<td>1,252</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,255</td>
<td>1,241</td>
<td>1,140</td>
<td>1,249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of sales before depreciation and amortisation (US$m)</td>
<td>1,375</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,404</td>
<td>1,388</td>
<td>1,456</td>
<td>1,678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headline earnings/(loss)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
<td>210</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>(33)</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash-inflow/outflow</td>
<td>(132)</td>
<td></td>
<td>294</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>235</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free cash-flow (FCF) margin (%)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **2018 performance improvement on 2017 or achievement in line with strategy**
- **2018 performance drop against 2017**
- **2018 performance on par with 2017**

### STRATEGIC GOALS

1. **Consecutive six years of exceeding or meeting cost and production guidance at our Australian, Ghanaian and Peruvian operations**

### RESULTS AND IMPACTS

**Strategic responses – Safe operational delivery**
- Eliminate fatalities and serious injuries
- Deliver South Deep, Gruyere and Damang
- Reduce energy and water costs and secure supply
- Ensure we have the right people in the right roles doing the right things

**Key initiatives**
- Implementation of organisational restructuring programme at South Deep
- Construction and engineering schedules at Damang and Gruyere closely monitored
- Establishment of a Group Safety Leadership Forum
- All operations certified to OHSAS 18001 health and safety standard
- Succession planning and talent review systems in place at mine, regional and Group level
- Implementation of the integrated energy and carbon management strategy

**Related risks**
- Non-achievement of South Deep restructuring plan
- Non-delivery of Damang Reinvestment and Gruyere projects
- Safety and health of our employees
- Attraction and retention of skills
- Cost of energy and security of power supply
- Increased geotechnical risk underground associated with mining at depth and evolving mining operations

### SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS

- Good Health and Wellbeing
- Decent Work and Economic Growth
- Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure
- Responsible Consumption and Production
- Climate Action

### KEY STAKEHOLDERS –

- EMPLOYEES
- COMMUNITIES
- GOVERNMENTS
- SHAREHOLDERS AND INVESTORS
Safe operational delivery

In order to deliver sustainable financial returns, meet our strategic promises and achieve our aim of zero harm, we need the right people in the right roles with the right skills, ongoing investments in technology, and an innovative approach to energy cost management.
Operational performance

**Introduction**

During 2018, Gold Fields continued to expand its international footprint with the acquisition of a 45% stake in the Asanko gold mine (AGM) in Ghana. The portfolio is geographically diversified, boasting eight mines in four regions, only one of which is in South Africa. In addition, investment into the Gruyere project in Australia continued as planned during 2018. The project remains on track to start contributing to the production profile during Q2 2019, and is set to reach steady state production towards the end of 2019 or early 2020. At name plate, Gold Fields’ share of Gruyere’s production is expected to be 150koz, bringing production in the Australian region to approximately 1Moz.

In another positive development, the feasibility study on Salares Norte in Chile was completed during the year, showing an internal rate of return of 25% at a US$1,300/oz gold price (for more details refer to p47). While there is more work to be done on the project, Salares Norte offers longer-term optionality to the production base.

The Group’s broader strategy is focused on reducing Group All-in costs (AIC) and improving cash generation. Our international operations (excluding South Africa) lived up to this mandate during 2018, with each mine meeting or exceeding production and cost guidance for the year. The solid operational and cost performances of our Australian, Ghanaian and Peruvian assets contributed to strong overall Group results and enabled Gold Fields to contain the net debt increase during a year in which US$295m in project capital was incurred.

Some of the key investments made during 2018 in order to bolster the longevity of our portfolio include:

- A$153m (US$115m) (2017: A$182m (US$139m)) spent on the Gruyere project in Western Australia (p44)
- US$125m (2017: US$115m) in project capital spent at our Damang mine in Ghana (p48)
- Near-mine exploration spending of A$85m (US$63m) (2017: A$95m (US$72m)) in Australia (including Gruyere) and US$14m (2017: US$11m) in Ghana (p50)
- US$51m (2017: US$53m) spent on feasibility study work and further exploration drilling at Salares Norte in Chile (p47)

**Group operational performance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2019 Guidance</th>
<th>2018 Actual</th>
<th>2018 Guidance</th>
<th>2017 Actual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019 Guidance</td>
<td>Prod (Moz)</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>2018 Actual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prod (Moz)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2018 Actual</td>
<td>Prod (Moz)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 2018, Gold Fields’ attributable gold-equivalent production decreased by 6% to 2.036Moz, driven predominantly by the underperformance at South Deep, which was compounded by a six-week strike on the mine during Q4 2018. The Group performance takes into account attributable production from AGM from 1 August 2018, with the acquisition having gone unconditional on 31 July 2018.

The Group achieved AIC of US$1,173/oz in 2018, which was lower than guidance (US$1,190/oz – US$1,210/oz), but higher than the US$1,088/oz recorded in 2017. The year-on-year increase in AIC was driven by an increase in non-sustaining capital and Salares Norte expenditure, coupled with the lower level of gold sold. Group All-in Sustaining Costs (AISC) increased to US$981/oz from US$955/oz in 2017, and were lower than the guidance of US$990/oz – US$1,010/oz.

During 2018, Gold Fields maintained the capital expenditure (capex) levels deemed critical to sustain the portfolio. With the focus on extending the life of our ore bodies at all of our international mines, Group capex remained elevated at US$814m (excluding Asanko) (2017: US$834m). This comprised sustaining capital of US$524m and project capital of US$290m.

Regional capex highlights included:

- **Australia**: Our Australian mines decreased capex to A$373m (US$279m) in 2018 from A$423m (US$324m) in 2017, with near-mine exploration spending amounting to A$85m (US$63m) in 2018 (2017: A$95m (US$72m))
- **South America**: At Cerro Corona, capex declined slightly to US$33m in 2018 from US$34m in 2017
- **West Africa**: Capex declined to US$290m (excluding Asanko) (2017: US$313m), mainly as a result of lower expenditure on the mining fleet at Tarkwa. Project capital at Damang increased to US$125m in 2018 from US$115m in 2017
- **South Africa**: Capex at South Deep decreased to US$58m in 2018 from US$82m in 2017, with project capital remaining stable at US$18m (2017: US$17m)
South Deep got off to a tough start in 2018, with production in Q1 2018 impacted by a slow build up after the seasonal holidays, two labour restructuring processes that took place at the end of 2017 and during Q1 2018, and a change in the underground working shift arrangements implemented to increase productivity. In addition, low mobile equipment reliability, the intersection of active geological features (faults and dykes) in the high-grade corridor 3 and poor ground conditions in the composites slowed production rates. The mine only produced 1,485kg (48koz) in Q1 2018.

Production was further impacted by a Department of Mineral Resources enforced safety stoppage during April. As a result of these factors, guidance for the mine was downgraded to 7,600kg (244koz) with the release of our Q1 2018 production update on 25 April, from the original guidance of 10,000kg (321koz).

As a result of the above factors, production for the full year decreased by 44% to 4,885kg (157koz) in 2018 from 8,748kg (281koz) in 2017. Cost of sales before amortisation and depreciation reduced by 12% to R3.586m (US$272m) in 2018 from R4.062m (US$305m) in 2017, mainly due to lower production exacerbated by the industrial action in 2018’s last quarter.

Capital expenditure decreased by 30% to R770m (US$58m) in 2018 from R1,099m (US$82m) in 2017. Sustaining capital expenditure decreased by 40% to R528m (US$40m) in 2018 from R874m (US$66m) in 2017, underpinned by lower spend on fleet and surface infrastructure. Non-sustaining capital expenditure increased by 8% to R242m (US$18m) in 2018 (2017: R225m (US$17m)) due to higher expenditure on new mine development infrastructure and an increase in development metres.

AISC increased by 41% to R807,688/kg (US$1,903/oz) from R574,406/kg (US$1,340/oz) in 2017, while AIC increased by 42% to R854,049/kg (US$2,012/oz) compared with R600,109/kg (US$1,400/oz) in 2017. The increase in AISC and AIC was driven mainly by the lower amount of gold sold.

South Deep recorded a net cash-outflow of US$141m in 2018.
Operational performance

**Americas region**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Production overview</th>
<th>2019 Guidance</th>
<th>2018 Actual</th>
<th>2018 Guidance</th>
<th>2017 Actual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gold-only production koz</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper production kt</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold-equivalent production koz</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIC/AISC US$/oz</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIC/AISC eq-oz US$/oz</td>
<td>802</td>
<td>699</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>673</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Gold Fields’* in Peru had another solid year in 2018, with total managed gold-equivalent production of 314koz (2017: 307koz). This was 12% higher than the 280koz gold-equivalent production guidance for the year, underpinned by the higher copper price ratio and increased copper production due to a increased copper head grade.

Cost of sales before amortisation and depreciation increased marginally to US$155m in 2018 from US$154m in 2017. The higher cost was due to higher mining expenditure resulting from increased tonnes mined in 2018, partially offset by a US$6m credit to costs of concentrate inventory in 2018 (2017: charge to costs of US$3m). Capital expenditure decreased by 3% to US$33m in 2018 from US$34m in 2017, due to lower expenditure on the tailings dam and waste storage facilities.

AISC and AIC were US$282/oz in 2018 compared with US$203/oz in 2017 and, on a gold equivalent basis, US$699/oz in 2018 (2017: US$673/oz). The increase in AISC and AIC was primarily due to lower by-product credits, lower gold sold and higher cost of sales before amortisation and depreciation. Both AISC and AIC comfortably beat guidance for the year of US$585/oz and, on a gold equivalent basis, US$810/oz.

The region reported net cash-inflow of US$114m during 2018 (2017: US$117m).

**Cerro Corona** in Peru had another solid year in 2018, with total managed gold-equivalent production of 314koz (2017: 307koz). This was 12% higher than the 280koz gold-equivalent production guidance for the year, underpinned by the higher copper price ratio and increased copper production due to a increased copper head grade.

Cost of sales before amortisation and depreciation increased marginally to US$155m in 2018 from US$154m in 2017. The higher cost was due to higher mining expenditure resulting from increased tonnes mined in 2018, partially offset by a US$6m credit to costs of concentrate inventory in 2018 (2017: charge to costs of US$3m). Capital expenditure decreased by 3% to US$33m in 2018 from US$34m in 2017, due to lower expenditure on the tailings dam and waste storage facilities.

AISC and AIC were US$282/oz in 2018 compared with US$203/oz in 2017 and, on a gold equivalent basis, US$699/oz in 2018 (2017: US$673/oz). The increase in AISC and AIC was primarily due to lower by-product credits, lower gold sold and higher cost of sales before amortisation and depreciation. Both AISC and AIC comfortably beat guidance for the year of US$585/oz and, on a gold equivalent basis, US$810/oz.

The region reported net cash-inflow of US$114m during 2018 (2017: US$117m).

**Australia region**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2019 Guidance</th>
<th>2018 Actual</th>
<th>2018 Guidance</th>
<th>2017 Actual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prod (koz)</td>
<td>AIC</td>
<td>Prod (koz)</td>
<td>AIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Ives</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>A$1,342/oz (US$1,007/oz)</td>
<td>367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agnew</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>A$1,538/oz (US$1,154/oz)</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darlot</td>
<td>Sold</td>
<td>Sold</td>
<td>Sold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gruyere (50%)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>A$3,178/oz (US$2,384/oz)</td>
<td>886</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gold Fields’ Australian operations delivered another strong operational performance in 2018. Gold production of 886koz at an AIC of A$1,262/oz (US$943/oz) was better than full year guidance of 865koz at an AIC of A$1,263/oz (US$1,010/oz), with Granny Smith, St Ives and Agnew all outperforming both production and cost guidance. Production was 5% lower than in 2017 (935koz), which included production from Darlot during three quarters in 2018. Stripping out Darlot’s production from 2017 (895koz excluding Darlot), production would have decreased by only 1% in 2018.

Cost of sales before amortisation and depreciation increased by 13% to A$690m (US$516m) in 2018, from A$613m (US$469m) in 2017. Capital expenditure decreased to A$373m (US$279m) from A$423m (US$324m), including near-mine exploration expenditure which was slightly lower at A$85m (US$63m) in 2018 compared to A$95m (US$72m) in 2017.

The Australia region reported a net cash-inflow of US$194m in 2018 (2017: US$188m).
Mine performances

St Ives continued its transition from being predominantly open pit to a predominantly underground operation during 2018. By end-December, 79koz had been mined from the Invincible underground mine. The Invincible open pit will be phased out during 2019, at which point Invincible Underground, Hamlet Underground and the Neptune open pit will be the main sources of ore.

Production increased marginally to 367koz in 2018 from 364koz in 2017, and came in slightly ahead of guidance of 360koz. Cost of sales before amortisation and depreciation increased by 20% to A$249m (US$186m) in 2018 from A$207m (US$159m) in 2017. The increase in costs was primarily due to increased underground mining cost of A$18m (US$14m) and a lower gold inventory credit to costs of A$20m (US$15m) in 2018, compared with A$38m (US$29m) in 2017.

In an important development for Agnew, Gold Fields made the decision to invest in a new camp (we previously rented rooms from BHP Billiton in Leinster) and a hybrid power station on site. The first buildings for the camp arrived on 15 December 2018 and construction commenced in January 2019. Commissioning of 450 rooms and the central facilities is targeted for May 2019. The new power station will entail a combination of gas, solar and wind power generation.

At Agnew, gold production decreased by 1% to 239koz in 2018 from 241koz in 2017, but was 4% higher than guidance of 230koz. Cost of sales before amortisation and depreciation increased by 10% to A$216m (US$162m) in 2018 from A$197m (US$150m) in 2017. The cost increase was driven by higher mining costs at Waroonga as a result of increased ground support and paste fill, as well as an increase in gold-in-process charge to costs of A$2m (US$2m) in 2018, compared with a credit to costs of A$6m (US$5m) in 2017.

At Granny Smith, production decreased by 3% to 280koz in 2018 from 290koz in 2017, but was 2% ahead of guidance for the year of 275koz. Cost of sales before amortisation and depreciation increased by 7% to A$225m (US$168m) in 2018 from A$210m (US$160m) in 2017, mainly due to increased mining costs on the back of increased ore tonnes mined from the deeper zones, and an 18% increase in ore development in 2018.

Capital expenditure was 8% lower in 2018 at A$105m (US$79m) (2017: A$114m (US$87m)), due to completion of the VR8 ventilation shaft in 2017. AISC and AIC increased by 6% to A$1,239/oz (US$925/oz) in 2018 from A$1,171/oz (US$896/oz) in 2017, mainly due to higher cost of sales before amortisation and depreciation and lower gold sold, partially offset by lower capital expenditure.

In addition to the camp and power station, Agnew put out a tender for an aviation contract, which was awarded on 30 January 2019.

A review of the three mines’ brownfields exploration activities in 2018 is detailed on p50 – 51.
Operational performance continued

West Africa region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tarkwa</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>949</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>951</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>970</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damang</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>1,506</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>1,520</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>1,827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asanko¹</td>
<td>108²</td>
<td>1,140³</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1,175</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td>838</td>
<td>1,102</td>
<td>751</td>
<td>1,098²</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>1,119</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ 45% stake, equity-accounted  
² Excludes Asanko contribution  
³ Gold Fields’ 45% share of the mid-point of Asanko 2019 guidance

The Ghanaian region is the second biggest producer in the Gold Fields portfolio, contributing 34% to Group attributable production in 2018. Gold Fields has a shareholding of 90% in both Tarkwa and Damang, with the Ghanaian government holding the remaining 10%. During 2018, Gold fields acquired 45% of AGM in August, with our joint venture (JV) partner Asanko Gold holding 45%, and the Ghanaian government the remaining 10%.

The Damang Reinvestment project, which commenced at the end of 2016, continued to track well against plan during 2018. Total tonnes mined were 45.5Mt against the project schedule of 41.5Mt, while gold produced was 181koz, compared with guidance of 160koz. There will be a material increase in Damang’s production in 2019, with guidance of 218koz. Encouragingly, AISC of US$813/oz and AIC (US$1,506/oz) both came in below guidance of US$860/oz and US$1,520/oz, respectively. For an update on the Damang Reinvestment plan, see p48.

Despite total managed gold production for the region falling 1% to 706koz (excluding Asanko) in 2018, it came in 4% ahead of guidance of 680koz, driven by the better-than-expected performance at Damang. Total managed production (including AGM’s contribution from 1 August 2018) increased to 751koz from 710koz in 2017.

Cost of sales before amortisation and depreciation for the region increased by 1% to US$433m in 2018 from US$428m in 2017. Capital expenditure decreased to US$295m in 2018 from US$313m in 2017, mainly due to lower expenditure on the mining fleet at Tarkwa. AIC for the region was US$1,098/oz, in line with guidance of US$1,100/oz and 2% lower than the US$1,119/oz reported in 2017.

Despite the significant amount of project capital incurred at Damang, the region as a whole reported a net cash-inflow of US$25m during 2018.

**Mine performances**

During 2018, Tarkwa transitioned from owner mining to contractor mining in an attempt to address cost inflation in the region. The mining contract was demarcated into two zones and awarded to two local contractors: BCM for Zone 1 (Pepe, Mantraim, Atuabo and Teberebe pits) and E&P for Zone 2 (Akontansi and Kottraverchy pits). BCM started operations in Zone 1 in March, with E&P following in April. As part of the tender process, the contractors undertook to purchase the fleet, which largely covered the retrenchment costs incurred through the process.

Tarkwa’s production decreased 7% to 525koz in 2018 (2017: 566koz). However, production beat guidance of 520koz, a notable achievement given the transition to contractor mining. The mine’s Carbon-in-Leach plant throughput increased slightly to 13.8Mt (2017: 13.5Mt), while its yield decreased to 1.18g/t (2017: 1.30g/t) due to the lower head grade mined and processed.

Cost of sales before amortisation and depreciation increased by 1% to US$309m in 2018 from US$306m in 2017, due to a gold-in-process charge to cost, partially offset by lower mining costs. Capital expenditure decreased 14% to US$156m in 2018 from US$181m in 2017. AISC and AIC increased by 1% to US$951/oz in 2018 from US$940/oz in 2017, and were comfortably below guidance of US$970/oz.

Tarkwa generated a net cash-inflow of US$92m during 2018.

Damang produced 181koz in 2018, which is 26% higher than the 144koz produced in 2017 and 13% higher than guidance of 160koz. While the reinvestment plan entailed an increase in both operating costs and capital expenditure, both AISC (US$813/oz) and AIC (US$1,506/oz) came in below guidance. This is a result of the strict cost controls and better than expected efficiencies from the contractors used.

Cost of sales before amortisation and depreciation increased by 2% to US$124m in 2018 from US$122m in 2017. This increase was mainly due to higher operating tonnes mined, partially offset by a gold-in-process credit to costs of US$19m in 2018. Capital expenditure was US$139m in 2018 from US$132m in 2017.

Damang recorded a net cash-outflow of US$67m in 2018, underpinned by the US$125m in project capital spent during the year.

Asanko produced 223koz in 2018, of which 45koz was attributable to Gold Fields for the five months from August to December. AISC was US$1,069/oz in 2018 and AIC US$1,175/oz for the five-month period.
Safety

Introduction

Gold Fields’ commitment to health and safety as our foremost priority reflects the importance of safeguarding and promoting the welfare of our employees and contractors, maintaining operational continuity and protecting our reputation. During 2018, we remained focused on improving our performance and entrenching the requirement to operate safely into all daily activities. Gold Fields’ target is the elimination of all fatalities and serious injuries, and our ultimate goal is zero harm.

Safety forms a fundamental component of performance management, and our annual performance bonus – for executives, managers and the wider workforce – contains a substantial safety component. Furthermore, maintaining safe and healthy working conditions is a key compliance issue.

Our first and most important value, “If we cannot mine safely, we will not mine”, remains critical to the sustainability of our organisation. As specified in our Occupational Health and Safety Policy Statement, updated in 2018, we endeavour to continually improve our occupational health and safety performance by providing a workplace that is conducive to health and safety.

Our Group Safety Leadership Forum, formed in 2017, is overseeing the development of the Group-wide safety strategy to further improve our safety performance, continually embed safety as a line management responsibility, and share learnings and good practices. The strategy comprises three pillars, namely systems and processes, safety leadership, and safe behaviour, that will direct our safety programmes.

The most important programmes focus on the elimination of material unwanted events (MUEs), fatalities and serious potential incidents. MUEs in health and safety, environment and community have been identified and prioritised in each region. Gold Fields’ MUEs in the safety and health area are dropped objects, light vehicles, working at heights, hazardous materials, particularly cyanide, confined spaces, slope stability, explosives and fires, tailings facility incidents, community activism and protests, and surface water pollution.

During 2017 Gold Fields adopted the International Council on Mining & Metals’ (ICMM) critical control management of MUEs, which entails listing MUEs, identifying controls that could prevent these incidents from occurring and reducing the impact should they occur, selecting those controls that are critical or essential and, finally, bedding down the controls and verifying their effectiveness. Our regions make quarterly presentations to the Board’s Safety, Health and Sustainable Development (SHSD) Committee on safety-related MUEs and their critical controls. Health, environmental and community MUEs and their critical controls are presented every alternate quarter. Critical controls will be independently verified during 2019.

All of the Group’s operations are certified to the OHSAS 18001 international health and safety management system standard. There are opportunities for us to improve these systems, including upgrading to the ISO 45001 standard over the next two years and increasing use of leading indicators.

Our safety leadership forum has initiated the development and roll out of a “Courageous Leadership” programme to align all employees to a common set of beliefs and attitudes to health and safety. This programme will be cascaded to every employee in the organisation. As a supporting and complementary initiative to the leadership programme, the “Vital Behaviours” programmes will be implemented in all regions, based on the success of this initiative at our Australian operations where we have seen fundamental shifts in the safety culture.

We are very conscious of major incidents in the mining industry globally and consequently implement mitigating actions to prevent the risk of similar incidents at our operations. We have benefited from greater sharing of information about fatal incidents between ICMM members.

The work on safety is integral to our operational discipline and is accepted as the foundation for improved operational performance. As such, pursuing safety and productivity at the same time are mutually reinforcing.

For details of our safety and health management approach, policies and guidelines go to www.goldfields.com/sustainability.php.
Group safety performance

Our generally improved safety performance during 2018 was overshadowed by a fatal incident in which our South Deep colleague, Ananias Mosololi, a load haul dump operator, was trapped between the door and the cabin of the dumper he was operating underground.

Following the incident, and the subsequent joint investigations with the regulator, South Deep conducted a comprehensive analysis to understand what took place and prevent its recurrence.

In a non-mining-related incident, a member of the Community Security Task Force, Francis Yeboah, drowned in a settling pond at our Tarkwa mine in Ghana. The local police did not suspect foul play in the incident.

During 2018, Gold Fields’ safety performance improved significantly from 2017. We recorded one fatal injury compared with three fatal injuries in 2017. Our TRIFR for the year improved by 18% to 1.83 injuries per million hours worked in 2018 from 2.24 in 2017, exceeding our target of a 12% reduction. The TRIFR among employees in 2018 was 1.94 (2017: 2.69) and among contractors 1.75 (2017: 2.16). The number of recordable injuries fell to 99 in 2018 (2017: 138). Of the 99 injuries, 43 were employee injuries (2017: 75) and 56 were contractor injuries (2017: 63).

The elimination of serious injuries, along with fatalities, is viewed as a safety priority. During 2018 we finalised the definition of a serious injury (see in table footnote below).

Gold Fields recorded 18 serious injuries in 2018 (2017: 28), which will serve as a baseline for future performance.

To further entrench safe behaviour in our workplace, the Board broadened the 2019 safety performance scorecards by adding a number of leading indicators to the current lagging indicators to measure safety performance. These leading indicators are the number of safety engagements (introduced to the LTIP in 2018), improved reporting of near-miss incidents, and timeous close-out of corrective actions on serious potential incidents. The elimination of serious injuries will be included in scorecards for the first time in 2019.

### Group safety performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TRIFR¹</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>4.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatalities²</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serious injuries³</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost time injuries (LTIs)⁴</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted work injuries (RWIs)⁵</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medically treated injuries (MTIs)⁶</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total recordable injuries</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ TRIFR = (fatalities + LTIs + RWIs + MTIs) x 1,000,000/number of hours worked.
² In both 2017 and 2018 we also recorded non-occupational fatalities at our mines. In 2017, a member of the protection services team at South Deep was shot and killed during a robbery at the mine, while in 2018 a member of Tarkwa mine’s Community Security Task Force drowned in a settling pond on the mine.
³ A Serious injury is an injury that incurs 14 or more days lost and results in:
   – A fracture of any bone (excluding hairline fractures and fractures of fingers, toes or nose)
   – Internal haemorrhage
   – Head trauma (including concussion, loss of consciousness) requiring hospitalisation
   – Loss of all or part of a limb (excluding bone dressing to facilitate medical treatment of injured fingers and toes)
   – Permanent loss of function and/or permanent disability such as hearing loss or damage to lung function
   – Permanent disfigurement where the injury has resulted in the appearance of a person being deeply and persistently harmed medically and that is likely to lead to psychosocial problems

Numbers exclude our projects.

⁴ An LTI is a work-related injury resulting in the employee or contractor being unable to attend work for a period of one or more days after the day of the injury. The employee or contractor is unable to perform any of his/her duties.

⁵ An RWI is a work-related injury sustained by an employee or contractor which results in the employee or contractor being unable to perform one or more of his/her routine functions for a full working day, from the day after the injury occurred. The employee or contractor can still perform some of his/her duties.

⁶ An MTI is a work-related injury sustained by an employee or contractor which does not incapacitate that employee or contractor and who, after having received medical treatment, is deemed fit to immediately resume his/her normal duties on the next calendar day, immediately following the treatment or re-treatment.
Regional safety performance

Americas region

Gold Fields’ external auditors recommended Cerro Corona for recertification to OHSAS 18001 in 2018. There were no non-conformances.

Our visible leadership programme obtained an SER of 1.14 for 2018, above the target of 0.73. Our behaviour-based safety programme had a 165% compliance, which was 65% above target. We trained 148 new employees to act as observers of critical tasks, of which 36 are Gold Fields employees and 112 contractors.

We continued to invest in the training and development of our employees and contractors to reinforce their safety knowledge and to motivate good behaviour. We conducted two-hour workshops throughout the year, where all Gold Fields employees and contractors completed awareness programmes on critical control management. Our Cerro Corona mine has developed a mobile phone app which allows managers and employees to capture safety-related information and share this immediately with their colleagues.

Coca leaf usage has an adverse impact on alertness levels, and progressive efforts to eradicate the consumption thereof through awareness programmes for employees and contractors to improve their safety, health and wellness, continued in 2018. These programmes are also part of our induction programmes for new employees. Furthermore, we completed an awareness programme with 130 families in our host communities.

Australia region

The region’s TRIFR reduced to an all-time low of 8.27. This represents a 67% improvement in the rate since the current safety strategy was introduced in 2013.

At the heart of Gold Fields Australia’s safety efforts are the ongoing “Visible Felt Leadership” and “Vital Behaviours” programmes, both of which were introduced in 2014. Our annual survey among employees indicated that the workforce believe that safety rules are carefully observed even if it means work is slowed down (82% agreement). These programmes have also been integrated into the construction activities at Gruyere.

Another important component of our strategy is the use of innovation and technology to reduce exposure to risk. A key project has been the deployment of the Newtrax system at our Granny Smith mine. This system allows for real time monitoring of personnel underground and also immediately notifies personnel of an emergency that requires them to move to a refuge chamber. In addition, the deployment of proximity detection has been completed for heavy equipment in the St Ives pits and underground at Granny Smith – it integrates with the Newtrax system and operates on a frequency that provides for better signal penetration around corners.

Remote loading at Granny Smith and at the Invincible underground at St Ives has also been introduced, which allows an operator to move material underground from a dedicated operating room on surface. The remote loading system at Agnew is still operated from underground with plans to move this activity to the surface. By moving personnel to a surface environment we are reducing employees’ exposure to risk. The system also includes guidance and automation technologies which will remove risks associated with human error.

A biannual review of all safety and environmental-related incidents at our sites identified that field-level risk assessments were not being undertaken to the extent and quality required. Based on the outcomes of our 2018 reviews, we will focus on the following in 2019:

- Refresher training to emphasise the importance of anticipating risk, and to ensure that field-level risk assessments are being conducted as required.
- The majority of incidents, though relatively minor in nature, are dominated by employees placing themselves, particularly their hands (50% of the injuries in 2019), at risk.
- Integration of safety performance into talent management protocols needs to be investigated.
- A renewed focus on safety among contractor workers.
- Aligning our operations to a common leading and lagging indicator scorecard.

The implementation of the SER continues to gain momentum at all sites, and a standard has been developed to ensure that appropriate governance protocols are in place.
Safety continued

West Africa region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fatalities</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRIFR</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recordable injuries</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SER</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>2.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Damang’s TRIFR in 2018 was 0.80 and Tarkwa’s 0.31, resulting in a combined rate of 0.49 for 2018 (2017: 0.50). Both mines accelerated their SERs, with Damang and Tarkwa recording 5.17 and 3.82, respectively. Both mines have observed improvements in safety behaviour since the introduction of this leading indicator.

37 near-miss incidents were investigated by the mines during 2018 to identify their main causes, which included:
- Maintenance culture and operation of defective equipment
- Supervision and risk assessments
- Limited fatigue management training for some contract drivers

All significant investigation findings were either rectified immediately or action plans were put in place to address them.

A focus of safety management in 2018 was our open pits at both mines. High-risk working areas were being cordoned off and access limited, potential water seepages through the pit high walls were re-engineered, and warning signs were erected at designated areas in the pits to caution all personnel of possible dangers of rock falls.

Tarkwa and Damang launched a joint “Take 5” safety campaign to reinforce the five steps required to ensure safety at the mine, being Stop and Think, Look for Hazards, Assess the Risk, Make the Changes, and Do the Job Safely.

South Africa region

All lagging safety indicators showed an improvement at South Deep during 2018. However, these were overshadowed by the fatal incident recorded in October (2017: two fatalities). The mine’s TRIFR improved by 29%, LTIFR by 41%, and serious injury frequency rate (SIFR) by 43%, due, in part, to the 45-day strike action at South Deep which halted operations.

The improvement in safety can be attributed to the Purposeful Visible Felt Leadership initiative launched during the year, which demonstrated safety leadership to all employees by focusing on engagement, key leading indicators and critical controls. The introduction of new shift arrangements resulted in improved supervision, which enabled employees and supervisors to focus more on safety-related issues.

Prior to the fatality in October, South Deep achieved over two million fatality-free shifts. After the fatal incident, an in-loci investigation was conducted by the DMR, in conjunction with organised labour and management, which led to a section 54 instruction being issued to halt all trackless mobile machinery.

The DMR issued an additional eight section 54 instructions during the year (2017: 15) for, among others, ineffective secondary support, poor housekeeping, inadequate dust suppression, poor water controls, and unsafe working conditions. These resulted in partial production stoppages. The mine seeks to address the underlying reasons for section 54 instructions as soon as feasible, and has over the year implemented mitigation strategies, such as a change in shift configuration to improve supervision, changes to tramming shift arrangements and dedicated operational maintenance teams.

Seven gravity-related fall-of-ground accidents occurred in 2018 compared to nine in 2017, amid a strong focus on primary and secondary ground support. Seismic-related events at South Deep occur frequently, but the mine is working with a number of academic institutions to achieve greater predictability of events. As a result, the number of seismic damage incidents in 2018 fell by 33% to 26. No injuries were reported during these events, but the resultant rock bursts did damage sidewalls and/or hanging walls. This required that the affected areas to be cleaned out and re-supported.

As part of South Deep’s effort to engineer-out safety risks, a proximity detection system (PDS) was rolled out which warns both pedestrians and drivers of railed and trackless vehicles of each other’s proximity. South Deep made steady progress on the implementation of the remedial action plan on the PDS for both rail-bound equipment and trackless mobile machinery. Our aim for this system is to stop and slow down vehicles, as well as warn of unauthorised positions and entries.
Health

Introduction
Occupational health is critical to Gold Fields’ operations and we are committed to reducing our employees’ exposure to occupational health risks, including those associated with silicosis, tuberculosis (TB), noise-induced hearing loss (NIHL), diesel particulate matter (DPM) and hearing loss.

Our Occupational Health and Safety Policy Statement, revised in 2018, sets out our approach and we endeavour to provide a workplace that is conducive to the health of our employees. The implementation of the ICMM’s critical controls guidelines (p63) is key to ensuring healthy workplaces and assists with the identification and mitigation of adverse health impacts on our employees.

Longer term, we are working in a collaborative initiative with the ICMM on Innovation for Cleaner, Safer Vehicles (p75). In addition, we are implementing new technologies that allow us to move material underground through remote loading via an operating room on surface, thus moving operations away from potential risks.

All of Gold Fields’ regions run dedicated health programmes, tailored to both the national and local context of each mining operation. These programmes aim to identify and manage chronic medical conditions within the workforce, while also maximising its productive capacity and reducing absenteeism.

Health programmes are a strong focus for our South Deep mine, due to the heightened health risks associated with deep-level underground mining, as well as the prevalence of many chronic diseases as a result of the relatively poor socio-economic conditions in the country. While there were no occupational health related deaths at our mines during 2018, seven contractors and employees in our service died as a result of wider health related issues: five from HIV/Aids-related complications, one from cerebral malaria and one from drug-resistant TB. Our condolences go out to the families and friends of our colleagues.

Regional performance

Silicosis and TB
As per the South African mining industry regulations for silica dust exposure, 95% of all personal silica dust samples taken must be below 0.05mg/m³ by 2024. By the end of 2018, 18% of the employee silica dust samples exceeded this level, compared with 24% in 2017. This was mainly attributed to the progress made in improving engineering controls, such as improved dust suppressing and footwall treatment in high risk areas. Installation of automated footwall treatment and upgrading of internal tip dust suppression systems will further improve conditions in 2019.

During 2018, the number of silicosis cases submitted to the health authorities decreased to eight from 11 in 2017, while the silicosis rate per 1,000 employees increased to 1.72 from 1.71 in 2017 because of the reduced workforce. All employees diagnosed with silicosis were initiated on a six-month course of TB prophylaxis. However, as per the 2014 Mine Health Safety Council milestones, no South Deep employee who joined the mine after 2008 and had previously not been exposed to silica dust has contracted silicosis. Continued focus is being placed on the dust mitigation strategy.

Since 2014, Gold Fields, along with five other companies in South Africa, has been involved in negotiations with the legal representatives of former mineworkers suffering from Silicosis in the so-called “Silicosis class action case”. In May 2018, the companies and legal representatives reached an historic settlement in this matter, whereby the gold companies will contribute over R5.2bn (US$400m) towards a settlement trust fund, which will be used to pay compensation to all former mineworkers who are confirmed to have contracted Silicosis during their time working on the mines. In instances where these workers may have passed away, their dependants will receive a benefit. Gold Fields has provided an amount of US$32m (R390m) for its share of the settlement cost.

The settlement also provides for compensation for workers who have been diagnosed with certain severe forms of TB. In December 2018, the Johannesburg High Court initiated the process by which the settlement could eventually be made an order of court and subsequently implemented.

The mine recorded 15 CRTB cases in 2018, compared to 21 in 2017, and the CRTB rate improved to 3.23 per 1,000 employees in 2018 from 3.26 in 2017. Due to the mechanised nature of our operation, this rate is significantly better than the 5.45/1,000 recorded for the rest of the mining industry and 5.67/1000 for South Africa in 2017.

Occupational diseases at South Deep (rate per 1,000 employees and contractors at year-end)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Noise Induced Hearing Loss (NIHL)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardio-Respiratory Tuberculosis (CRTB)</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>6.16</td>
<td>9.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicosis</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Obstructive Airways Disease (COAD)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Deep workforce</td>
<td>4,643</td>
<td>6,432</td>
<td>6,277</td>
<td>5,837</td>
<td>5,246</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Numbers are now presented per 1,000 employees and contractors. Comparatives have been restated
2. Based on the number of cases submitted for compensation
HIV/Aids
HIV/Aids management is integrated into Gold Fields’ mainstream health services at South Deep. Voluntary counselling and testing (VCT) is offered to prospective and permanent employees, including contractors, by the occupational health practitioners during regular employee health assessments. This has the added benefit of directly addressing the interaction of HIV/Aids with related health issues such as TB.

Gold Fields is committed to lowering the HIV/Aids levels at South Deep, where the prevalence rate is 5.6% (percentage of the workforce living with HIV/Aids). There was an increase in the number of employees who tested positive for HIV/Aids in 2018, from 45 in 2017 to 79 in 2018. From 2014 to 2018, approximately 5,786 employees have been counselled and tested for HIV. A total of 326 employees are currently on the highly-active anti-retroviral treatment (HAART) programme.

South Deep’s integrated HIV/Aids and TB strategy directly addresses interactions between these diseases. It has four key pillars:

- **Promotion:** This includes regular publicity campaigns and condom distribution at all workplaces.
- **Prevention:** VCT is provided to all mine employees and contractors on a confidential basis. In 2018, the mine’s VCT participation rate was around 17% (2017: 29%).
- **Treatment:** Free HAART is provided to HIV-infected employees through onsite, medical doctor-staffed clinics. In 2018, 31 employees joined the HAART programme (2017: 36). This takes the total number of active participants to 326 (2017: 336), with 605 cumulatively enrolled since the HAART programme began in 2004. Employees’ dependants can also receive HAART via the Company’s medical aid schemes. We do not provide treatment to employees from contracting firms, which provide their own support.
- **Support:** This includes doctor-based primary healthcare, psychological counselling and social services for all employees and contractors. South Deep also supports a number of community-based HIV/Aids projects.

Malaria
South Deep conducts quarterly wellness and wellbeing campaigns, during which employees and community members are informed about chronic medical diseases, including malaria, and are made aware of the risks associated with the discontinuation of medical treatment. During 2018, 19 employees were tested for malaria, of whom 10 tested positive.

Noise
There were four new cases of NIHL at South Deep mine (2017: five). Personal noise samples above the regulated occupational exposure limit of 85 dB(A) improved from 1.8% in 2017 to 0.9% in 2018 – by 2024 no noise samples should be above that level. In line with the industry regulators’ 2024 milestones, all noise emitting equipment should be below 107 dB(A) by then. Only 1.7% of South Deep’s equipment was still above that level at year-end.

A survey indicated 87% compliance among employees in terms of wearing hearing protection devices in the working places. In response, South Deep has rolled out earmoulded protection devices to all underground employees exposed to high noise levels. The mine is also working through the Minerals Council of South Africa to encourage equipment manufacturers to produce low noise emission equipment.

During 2018, the mine’s auxiliary fans were sound attenuated or retrofitted with silencers to ensure fan noise levels do not exceed 107dB(A).

DPM
Diesel Particulate Matter (DPM) is a critical health issue at underground mines in South Africa. Although the Occupational Exposure Limit (OEL) for DPM has not yet been promulgated by the regulator, an industry-best practice limit of 0.16mg/m³ has been adopted in South Africa. South Deep has set a benchmark to have 95% of all personal samples measured below 0.16mg/m³ by 2024. DPM results above the 0.16mg/m³ limit improved slightly from 11.54% in 2017 to 10.96% in 2018. In an effort to reduce DPM exposure, South Deep continues to drive compliance to maintenance schedules and utilises only tier 3 and 4 machinery running on lower sulphur content diesel. Drill rigs only use diesel when travelling and switch to electrical when drilling.

Substance abuse
During 2018, 6,206 cannabis (2017: 7,755) and 277,100 (2017: 273,500) alcohol tests were performed. Nine employees were tested positive for cannabis and 82 were tested positive for alcohol. All employees who tested positive for these substances were put through an employee assistance programme. Should an employee be tested positive for a second time, a formal hearing is conducted that could lead to dismissal.
There were no new cases of NIHL reported during 2018. Furthermore, due to the nature of our Cerro Corona operation, the exposure levels and concentration of personal and area DPM samples are insignificant.

Chewing coca leaves is a cultural practice in the high altitude areas of Latin America, but which has deleterious impacts on those who practice it. Cerro Corona’s ongoing programme to eradicate coca leaf consumption covers topics such as loss of insurance coverage, chronic fatigue and malnutrition. The entire workforce was taken through an awareness-raising refresher programme in 2018, which was incorporated into the new employee induction course. Six of the mine’s host communities and 130 family members of employees were also taken through the course.

The Chilean Ministry of Health inspected Salares Norte’s polyclinic, focusing on verifying compliance with hypobaric requirements and emergency response. The regulator verified the project’s compliance with health and emergency requirements. We also implemented the regulator’s occupational health protocols at the project.

No new cases of NIHL were reported during the year.

Our control strategies with regard to DPM are effective, with the majority of our samples yielding results substantially below the exposure limit recommended by the Australian Institute of Occupational Hygienists.

Mental health has been highlighted as a problem that is particularly acute among Fly-In, Fly-Out workers at mining camps. Historically, our operations have implemented a range of initiatives to promote mental health amongst our employees. However, additional initiatives have been identified for implementation:

- At St Ives, we have introduced “Mates in Mining” as part of our “Vital Behaviours” programme, through which 400 employees received training in mental health during 2018. This programme includes providing them with the necessary skills to identify and facilitate early interventions when mental health issues become evident.
- At Granny Smith, our “Vital Behaviours” programme absorbed an initiative known as LIVINWell, which aims to enhance employee wellbeing by encouraging them to speak up when challenged with mental health issues and to seek help. The intent is to abolish the stigma associated with mental health.
- Gold Fields Australia also support the national “RU OK day” initiative, which supports our mine-specific programmes. Our sites launched specific interventions on the day, and provided information sessions on mental health. For employees who are experiencing mental health problems, we are providing assistance in helping them address their problems.

Contact with silica dust is limited at our Ghanaian operations. Mitigation measures have been implemented to ensure efficiency of existing controls, which have proven to be effective in reducing silica concentration levels.

Our workforce in Ghana faces a high risk of exposure to malaria and we have a comprehensive malaria control strategy in place, which incorporates education, prevention, prophylaxis and treatment. It also includes provision of mosquito repellent for workers, support for community health facilities and rapid diagnosis and treatment. In 2018, 227 employees (2017: 392) tested positive for malaria after 1,405 individuals (2017: 2,460) were tested at both Tarkwa and Damang. None of the treated cases proved fatal. The lower treatment numbers were due to the move to contractor mining at Tarkwa. During the year, 279 company housing units were covered under the malaria vector control indoor spraying programme, and 700 insecticide-treated bed nets were distributed to community residents.

HIV/AIDS management is integrated into Gold Fields’ mainstream health services, and VCT takes place during regular employee health assessments. In Ghana, where the national HIV/AIDS rate is around 2%, employees and contractors have access to a confidential VCT programme which they receive free of charge. During 2018, about 60% of the workforce underwent the VCT, of whom two tested positive. By year-end, Ghana had 10 employees on HAART (2017: 34).

Both Tarkwa and Damang identified a number of personal noise exposures exceeding the regulated limit of 85dB(A). Employees were educated and counselled to use existing control measures to prevent hearing damage. On average, 43% of tested vehicles and machines exceeded the noise limit, but the use of hearing protection reduced the noise exposure by employees below regulated limits. Equipment that exceeds the limits is also being re-engineered to reduce noise levels.

During Tarkwa’s contract mining transition, employees underwent compulsory exit medical assessments. Two cases of silicosis, nine cases of NIHL and 67 musculoskeletal disorders were identified. Employees retired on medical grounds were duly compensated as per regulations and the collective agreement.

Drug and alcohol testing continued at both our Ghanaian operations, and 87 contractors and three Gold Fields employees tested positive either for alcohol or drugs at Tarkwa. In line with the region’s zero tolerance for drug and alcohol policy, all employees who tested positive have either been dismissed or banned from the site. Testing is compulsorily for all employees and contractors entering the mine.
Amid rising energy costs, increasing depth of our underground mines and longer hauling distances for our open pits, our energy strategy focuses on ensuring security of supply, improving energy efficiencies and reducing the cost of energy while, at the same time, minimising our contributions to and building resilience against climate change.

**Group energy performance**

Gold Fields’ energy spend, which combines our spending on electricity and fuels, accounts for a significant portion of our operating costs. During 2018, this percentage rose to 22% of operating costs from 17% in 2017, or 15% of our AISC (2017: 12%).

Given the importance of energy to the Group operations, we have set a number of aspirational goals for the year 2020:

- Maintain energy security outside the top 10 Group risks
- Achieve 5% to 10% energy savings off our annual energy plans each year
- Achieve 17% carbon emission reductions each year up to 2020, equivalent to 800,000t CO$_2$-e of cumulative carbon emission reductions over the two years

Gold Fields has developed integrated energy and carbon management strategies at both Group and operational level that are aligned with the global ISO 50001 energy management system standard. The key pillars of this strategy are to reduce our diesel usage, to switch from diesel-generated electricity to cleaner gas-generated, increasing the use of renewables and rolling out training and awareness programmes. During 2018, Cerro Corona in Peru became the first Gold Fields mine – and the first mine in Peru – to be certified to the ISO 50001 standard, and we aim to have all our operations aligned with the standard by 2020.

Total energy consumption decreased by 4% to 11,628 TJ in 2018, from 12,178 TJ in 2017, with 69% comprising fuel usage and 31% electricity, compared to a 67%/33% split in 2017. Fuel spend amounted to 52% (2017: 44%) of the total energy spend, and electricity spend accounted for the rest.

Total Group energy spend increased by 17% to US$302m (US$146/oz) in 2018 from US$258m (US$115/oz) in 2017, largely due to an average 23% increase in diesel prices paid by our Ghanaian and Australian mines. This was slightly offset by oil price hedges at our Ghanaian and Australian operations, which realised net gains of US$14m during 2018. In 2018, we invested US$3m in energy initiatives, which delivered 411 TJ of savings and resulted in long-term cost savings of US$29m (US$14/oz), compared with US$22m (US$10/oz) in 2017.

With the exception of our South Deep mine in South Africa, which is still heavily reliant on coal-fired electricity, all of our operations are using low-carbon gas, with grid and diesel generators as emergency supply. During 2018, our Group had 134 MW in installed gas capacity – about 54% of total electricity capacity – with an additional 16 MW of capacity being evaluated. The independent power producers (IPPs) supplying the gas are finalising the construction of the gas pipeline to our Tarkwa and Damang mines in Ghana (77km) and have completed the pipeline to the Grysyere project in Australia (200km). This is a safer and more reliable option for supplying gas than trucking it to these operations.

Renewable energy is also becoming a viable option for our operations, not only due to their positive impact on our carbon emissions but also because the cost of renewables is rapidly decreasing. At present, Gold Fields has 55 MW of solar capacity and 18 MW of wind capacity under study at our South African, Australian and Ghanaian mines. Two of our Australian mines, Granny Smith and Agnew, are also finalising the construction of battery storage facilities (p72). Our investments in renewables will result in solar and wind being added to our supply mix, initially at our Australian mines, where it is set to reach at least 10% of total energy usage by 2020. Several additional opportunities are being assessed at the rest of our operations. We also remain committed to our target of using renewables for 20% of the energy requirements of new projects over their life-of-mine.
Energy savings initiatives
Since 2013, the implementation of our integrated energy and carbon management strategy has realised cumulative savings totalling 1,685TJ, or 2.2%, of total energy consumption over the period. These savings amounted to US$92m and avoided 432kt CO$_2$-e in carbon emissions (6% of our total Scope 1 and 2 emissions over the same period). During 2018, Scope 1 and 2 carbon emissions, totalling 149kt CO$_2$-e, were abated. Our Scope 1, 2 and 3 emissions decreased to 1,852Mt CO$_2$-e from 1,959Mt CO$_2$-e in 2017.

While energy efficiency initiatives have a dual benefit of improving energy productivity and reducing our carbon footprint, a number of our energy initiatives have significant carbon footprint reduction impacts without necessarily reducing energy usage. These include fuel switching from diesel to gas-generated electricity or renewable energy technologies).

Gold Fields aims to deliver further energy savings through greater energy efficiencies and the use of new technologies. We implemented 16 new energy saving projects in 2018, which include:
- Changing lighting systems to new, efficient, light-emitting diode (LED) systems which, are expected to save 5.53TJ at Tarkwa, with emission reductions of 830t CO$_2$e and cost savings of US$338,000 a year, and an annual saving of US$60,000 at Cerro Corona
- Rolling out more fuel efficient drill rigs and hauling trucks
- Replacing diesel generators with gas and solar systems
- Optimising compressed air systems
- Replacing inefficient cooling fans
- Comminution circuit optimisation.

Eskom electricity supply to South Deep
South Deep’s electricity is supplied by Eskom, the state-owned utility which generates 95% of its electricity from coal-fired power stations. As a result, South Deep is Gold Fields’ electricity most carbon intensive operation.

Eskom remains a critical risk to our South Deep operations, both from a supply reliability and a cost perspective. Eskom’s financial and operational viability have significantly weakened over the past few years, given the utility’s inability to service its debt obligations and keeping its power plants running consistently and efficiently. Since June 2018, Eskom has issued several emergency notices and initiated rolling blackouts, calling on large power users to reduce demand. Eskom has been plagued with coal shortages at its coal power stations and frequent plant breakdowns, given the ageing fleet whose maintenance has been deferred over the years.

South Deep, like other large power users, has a curtailment agreement with Eskom under which the mine is expected to reduce power demand when called upon by Eskom, but preserving a minimum critical load to achieve hoisting of staff and water pumping. As a short-term response, we reschedule our operations by stopping our process plant, when possible, and reschedule hoisting of ore and equipment.

Our medium-term responses include running our own emergency diesel generators to ensure safe operations, but also continue investing in energy efficiency initiatives, to improve our energy productivity, reduce our carbon footprint and save energy costs. Our long-term solution is to increase our own supply capacity, including bringing in renewables into the power mix, including the solar photovoltaic plant currently in the review phase (p73).

On the cost side, Eskom tariffs have escalated sharply well ahead of inflation over the past few years and the tariff trajectory going forward remains uncertain. In 2010 a unit of electricity cost R0.36/kWh (in nominal terms); in 2018 the equivalent unit cost was R0.85/kWh for large industrial customers. In March 2019, Eskom received the go-ahead to raise its average tariff by 14% for 2019 followed by increases of 8% and 5% for 2020 and 2021 respectively. These will add significant cost pressures on South Deep and strengthen the case for reduced dependence on the utility.
Energy management continued

Regional performance

### Americas

**KEY RISKS**
- Stable electricity supply and pricing
- Limited renewable energy opportunities

**STRATEGIC RESPONSES**
- Long-term energy supply agreements
- Energy reduction target set

2018 KEY DEVELOPMENTS
Electricity to Cerro Corona is supplied by an independent power producer, generated from hydro (30%) and gas (70%), and supplied via a transmission line. This makes it the least carbon intensive electricity in our portfolio. Cerro Corona energy spend and consumption increased in 2018 compared to 2017, due to greater tonnages mined in 2018 and consequently higher diesel and electricity consumption.

Among efficiency initiatives, Cerro Corona recently upgraded the haulage fleet to higher capacity trucks to improve diesel usage intensity, has been applying a diesel additive in its mining fleet and rolled out an LED lighting initiative for its pit lighting. Cerro Corona is also evaluating battery-operated vehicles for the transport of personnel within the mine. A pilot project was initiated in Q1 2019, and, depending on the results, we will investigate gradually replacing the diesel bus fleet with electric buses.

### Australia

**KEY RISKS**
- Increase in oil and diesel prices
- Remote location of our operations
- Reliance on energy supplies from third parties

**STRATEGIC RESPONSES**
- Increased investment in energy self-sufficiency
- Investment in renewables commenced

2018 KEY DEVELOPMENTS
Our Australian operations run on gas-generated electricity. Diesel is used primarily for our fleet vehicles and machinery. To further embed energy management into operational activities, we implemented an energy steering committee during the year.

Energy spend at our Australian operations was lower in 2018 than 2017 due to the divestment from Darlot and operational adjustments. These included a 20% decrease in diesel usage as St Ives moved to increased underground operations. Hedging 50% of our diesel purchases during 2018, realised a net gain of US$4.6m in 2018, cushioned the impact of higher diesel prices.

At Granny Smith, we increased the generation capacity of the gas power station’s turbines and started development of a 8MW solar farm with 2MW battery storage facility, due for completion in Q4 2019. Both projects will address growing energy demand from the Wallaby underground mine, reduce gas consumption and cut carbon emissions.

Agnew is investing in a hybrid gas and renewable energy power supply with the capacity to expand to meet future mine growth. The gas supply and base load power plant is under construction and will start supplying energy to the mine in Q3 2019. Additional phases of the project are being investigated, with further development likely to occur during H2 2019.

At Gruyere, the 200km gas pipeline was completed, and gas introduced into the 45MW power plant. We are also piloting five solar power depressurisation pumps around the open pit.
Regional performance

2018 vs 2017 performance

### WEST AFRICA

**KEY RISKS**
- Costly, unreliable national grid
- Gas supply concerns
- Mandatory renewable energy targets

**STRATEGIC RESPONSES**
- Gas pipeline construction
- Energy reduction targets set
- Investigating renewable energy

#### 2018 KEY DEVELOPMENTS

Our Ghanaian mines completed their transition from the national grid to an independent power producer (IPP), Genser Energy, during 2018. The IPP commissioned the last of the gas units at the Tarkwa power plant in February 2018 and now supplies 40MW to Tarkwa and 18MW to Damang mine. We maintain nominal grid electricity consumption and our own emergency diesel generators ensure we have sufficient back-up infrastructure. Since the switch over, we have realised operational costs savings and processing efficiency gains.

During 2018, energy spend at our Ghanaian operations was higher than in 2017 primarily due to higher diesel prices. We hedged 50% of our diesel purchases against Brent crude prices, realising a net gain of US$7.9m in 2018. Heavy rainfalls and increased pit dewatering contributed to higher diesel consumption in 2018.

Genser Energy is advanced with construction of a 77km buried natural gas pipeline from the port of Takoradi to our mines, which is expected to be commissioned during Q2 2019. This will enable the IPP to convert both plants from propane to natural gas and discontinue transportation of gas on public roads, minimising road transportation risks.

Assessment for renewable energy is at an advanced stage, in support of the government efforts to increase the use of renewable energy by 2020, especially for mining.

### SOUTH AFRICA

**KEY RISKS**
- Eskom’s future electricity tariff increases
- Increased risk of load-shedding
- Uncertainty around renewable energy rules

**STRATEGIC RESPONSES**
- Industry pressure against hefty Eskom tariff hikes
- Implementing energy efficiency initiatives
- Finalisation of the solar photovoltaic power usage

#### 2018 KEY DEVELOPMENTS

South Deep’s electricity is supplied by Eskom, the state-owned utility which generates 95% of its electricity from coal-fired power stations. As a result, South Deep is Gold Fields’ most carbon intensive operation.

Total energy usage was down by 21TJ in 2018 due to lower production, primarily due to a halt in production as a result of the labour strike during the fourth Q4. Energy spend, however, did not decline at the same rate due to a high baseload demand profile and an average 5.2% electricity tariff increase during the year. (See p71)

Due to regulatory uncertainty around the use of private power purchase agreements, South Deep has delayed the signing of a 25-year power purchase agreement with an IPP for a 40MW solar photovoltaic facility at the mine. We are exploring ways to develop the facility incrementally in line with government’s recent Integrated Resource Plan, which for plants with a generation capacity above 10MW, requires both ministerial exemption and a power generation licence for IPPs.
Innovation and Technology

We need to operate as a low-cost gold producer in order to achieve our free cash-flow margin target. To this end we have set ourselves a medium-term aspiration of AIC of approximately US$900/oz by 2020. We need to do this within the context of a number of industry-wide challenges that include longer discovery to development times, a fall in average gold grades and a gold price that has declined around 30% since September 2011.

Advances in innovation and technology provide an opportunity for gold miners to digitise and automate their operations, which will help increase levels of efficiency, reduce costs, improve safety and further mitigate environmental impacts.

Gold Fields’ I&T strategy has five key objectives:
1. Grow reserve life: reduce discovery cost and cycle time from discovery to development handover
2. Sustain and grow cash margin: improve operational efficiencies to sustain cash margin by the use of automation, information, integration and innovation
3. Implement a future operating platform: develop a fully connected mine with a manufacturing culture of collaboration and innovation
4. Improve health and safety: distance people from active mining areas
5. Maintain social licence to operate: reduce mining waste/tailings and emissions

To guide the delivery of these objectives, clear execution principles have been defined, and the strategy will be selectively applied at each mine based on relevance and robust business cases. Other principles include that only proven technology will be deployed, a “fast follower” approach will be used and each region will have its Innovation and Technology champion.

We are following a staged approach that will progressively move our operations through the phases of modernisation, integration and ultimately automation, when appropriate and within strict cost parameters. These stages have been broken into three “horizons”.

**Horizon 1 – Modernisation phase:**
- Foundations are put in place to support the use of future technologies. This involves:
  - Increasing the use of sensors to improve the data we receive from our machines
  - Upgrading our data backbone to allow our IT systems to efficiently manage higher volumes of data

**Horizon 2 – Transformation phase:**
- Completing the integration and optimisation of our data and systems and developing an innovative culture. This will allow us to develop a single, real-time view of all operating aspects of a mine, which in turn enables more flexible and responsive production planning and scheduling, all of which can be managed from remote operating centres. To be successful and sustainable, the mine of the future needs to be supported by new ways of working and a culture of innovation – building this culture is an additional area of focus during Horizon 2.

**Horizon 3 – The Gold Fields mine of the future is delivered during this horizon, when and where appropriate.** A new level of productivity and safety is achieved through automation, robotics and autonomous operations that are connected through remote sensors.
GOLD FIELDS’ INNOVATION & TECHNOLOGY STRATEGY

Progress to date
During 2018 we advanced a number of important Horizon 1 projects:
- Fibre networks were installed underground and in some mining pits – these lay the foundation for connecting people and machinery at the face to employees and systems on-surface
- At Granny Smith we trialled new technology to improve the precision alignment of drills, and increased the number of tele-remote systems that control machinery at both this operation and St Ives
- Drones were deployed at Tarkwa and Damang to conduct surveying by remote
- Cerro Corona rolled out new fleet management software

■ Granny Smith, South Deep and St Ives improved their people and equipment tracking systems which are designed to improve man-machine interface safety.

Looking ahead
In the year ahead, each Region will define the operating platform, systems and technology required to achieve the strategic goals of Horizon 2, thereby laying the platform required for digital mining. These plans will also outline the technical design, resources, skills, funding and training required to support the successful roll-out of I&T projects at each site. Furthermore, the regions have been asked to bolster their I&T resources, appoint an I&T lead and roll-out workforce communication on their I&T programmes. Around US$9m has been set aside for I&T projects during 2019.

In the longer term, Gold Fields is working with the ICMM on a plan to make mining vehicles cleaner and safer. Under the Innovation for Cleaner Safer Vehicles (ICSV) programme, the ICMM is working with leading truck and mining equipment suppliers to accelerate innovation and develop a new generation of mine vehicles. The programme aims to:
- Introduce greenhouse gas emission-free surface mining vehicles by 2040
- Minimise the operational impact of diesel exhaust by 2025
- Make collision avoidance technology available to mining companies by 2025

Gold Fields CEO Nick Holland is one of three ICMM member CEOs on the ICSV advisory council.
A fit-for-purpose workforce

Workforce profile
Our workforce is structured to support the delivery of immediate and long-term strategic objectives. During 2018, the two most significant people-related developments were the restructuring exercise and related strike action at South Deep, as well
as the transition from owner to contractor mining at Tarkwa. The key people-related balanced scorecard objectives were driving diversity and inclusion, managing the talent pipeline and ensuring succession planning for critical roles, and strengthening a values-based culture that drives delivery.

Due to the workforce restructuring at South Deep, Tarkwa and Damang, overall workforce numbers dropped 5% from 18,594 (2017) to 17,611 (2018). The number of full-time employees declined by 37% from 8,856 to 5,601, while the number of contractors rose 23% from 9,738 to 12,010.

Workforce by region (end December)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total workforce by region</th>
<th>Total workforce</th>
<th>Employees</th>
<th>Contractors</th>
<th>Proportion of Nationals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Americas</td>
<td>2,322</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>1,949</td>
<td>1,669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>3,176¹</td>
<td>1,577</td>
<td>1,599²</td>
<td>888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>4,643</td>
<td>2,472</td>
<td>2,171</td>
<td>2,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Africa</td>
<td>7,370</td>
<td>1,079</td>
<td>6,291</td>
<td>4,761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>17,611</td>
<td>5,601</td>
<td>12,010</td>
<td>9,738</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key HR metrics (end December)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total workforce</td>
<td>17,611</td>
<td>18,594</td>
<td>18,091</td>
<td>16,850</td>
<td>15,440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDISA employees South Africa (%)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDISA employees South Africa – senior management (%)</td>
<td>43¹</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum wage ratio⁴</td>
<td>2.39²</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female employees (%)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of basic salary men to women</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee wages and benefits (US$m)</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average training hours per employee</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee turnover (%)</td>
<td>35¹</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Includes Gruyere
² High increase due to the employment of contractors for Gruyere construction phase
³ Excluding foreign nationals, but including white females; HDISAs - Historically Disadvantaged South Africans
⁴ Entry level wage compared to local minimum wage
⁵ Lower ratio due to South Deep restructuring
⁶ Excluding Ghana, as the region only employs management level employees with the move to contractor mining. Ratio is 3.39 if Ghana is included
⁷ High turnover due to South Deep restructuring and transition to Tarkwa contractor mining
Key developments in 2018
South Deep restructuring
Ongoing losses at South Deep during 2017 led to a restructuring exercise in Q4 2017 and Q1 2018 during which 261 employees and 47 managers (25% of management level employees) accepted voluntary severance packages. Despite these interventions the mine continued to experience a cash burn of around R100m (US$8m) a month, and, in August 2018, South Deep embarked on its most significant restructuring to date. In addition to operational interventions, the mine issued a section 189 notice in terms of South Africa’s Labour Relations Act to its trade unions, the National Union of Mineworkers (NUM) and UASA, to reduce the workforce by around 30%.

At the end of the 60-day consultation period and after receiving submissions from the unions, South Deep started implementing the retrenchments of 1,082 employees and 420 contractors. The NUM opposed these retrenchments and commenced a legal, “no work, no pay” strike action on 2 November 2018.

The strike was characterised by violence and intimidation, with protesters blocking access to the mine in contravention of the collective agreement and court interdicts served on the NUM and its members. Although critical essential services were maintained, employees who wished to return to work were prevented from doing so by a group of around 200 NUM branch members and supporters. The mine experienced a cash burn of around R6m (US$450,000) per day during the 45-day strike as no production was possible.

Amid the continued violence, and following representations from many NUM members wanting to return to work, the NUM National and Regional suspended the NUM branch and called off the strike on 13 December 2018. On 18 December 2018 a settlement agreement was signed with the trade unions, which included the retrenchment of the affected employees and contractors.

Retrenched employees received the agreed upon financial packages, portable skills training and financial advice.

As part of the settlement agreement, the NUM and management also agreed to renegotiate key aspects of the collective and other labour agreements. These were concluded in March 2019.

Tarkwa contractor mining
Our Tarkwa mine in Ghana made the transition from owner to contractor mining during 2018. As the mine matures it will incur increasing costs, which would have made the current owner mining model unsustainable. These costs include higher blasting costs as the pits deepen, increased fuel costs due to longer hauling distances, increasing cost of reagents and other input materials, high exploration costs, the cost of replacing an ageing fleet, and the year-on-year escalation of union-negotiated wage increases. A change to contractor mining at our Damang mine in 2016 has seen a significant turnaround in productivity and operational flexibility, with a potential upside in terms of the mine’s longevity.

The Ghana Mineworkers’ Union (GMWU) opposed the move to contractor mining on the basis that Gold Fields had no justifiable basis to change its business model. However, its application to the Labour Division of the High Court was dismissed, following which Gold Fields issued severance letters to 1,346 employees in the mining and heavy equipment (HME) department and 765 employees in other departments. Of these, 1,209 of affected HME employees were absorbed by the two mining contractors appointed and 505 of the other affected employees were re-engaged by the mine on fixed-term contracts. In Damang, a further 306 employees were moved from a full-time to a contractor basis. All affected employees received generous retrenchment packages – in line with Ghana’s labour laws – and were offered financial wellness training.

Balanced scorecard objectives
Driving diversity and inclusion
We continued to focus on building a more diverse and inclusive workforce, with particular emphasis on employing more women, residents from our host communities and, in South Africa, people from historically disadvantaged communities. This forms a key pillar of the HR strategy. A diversity policy was approved by the Board during the year – in addition to increasing employee representation from diverse groups, it also emphasises the importance of ensuring that all people are treated with dignity and respect.

Diversity training was rolled out to managers, with a particular emphasis on cultural awareness and how to identify and overcome unconscious bias. This training will support our efforts to increase and retain the number of people we employ from diverse backgrounds.
Managing talent pipeline and ensuring succession for critical roles

We continued to monitor succession planning and regional and operational level by tracking turnover rates with a focus on critical roles. The following figures indicate the extent of succession cover across the group:

- **Australia**: 73% of Regional Exco and 100% of Mine Exco roles
- **Ghana**: 10% of Regional Exco and 46% of Mine Exco roles
- **Peru**: 33% of Regional Exco and 91% of Mine Exco roles
- **South Deep**: 44% of management roles
- **Corporate**: 19% of all corporate roles

The reason for the low rate at Corporate level is that we have a lean corporate structure with few supporting roles. The low rate in Ghana reflects the move to contractor mining at both mines.

**Strengthening a values-based culture that drives delivery**

At the end of 2018, we rolled out an employee engagement survey as part of the ongoing work to drive a values-based, high-performance culture. Amongst other things, the survey measured employee satisfaction; understanding of strategy; and the extent to which the work environment supports employees in the achievement of their objectives. Its findings will feed into our HR work during 2019.

In 2019, initiatives are set to be implemented to address key areas of concern in each region and measurements of living the Gold Fields values will be incorporated into employees’ balanced scorecards.

**Workforce remuneration, benefits and wellness**

We successfully concluded wage negotiations in:

- **Ghana**: a 4% average salary increase was finalised with the GMWU, backdated for 2018 for all qualified active and ex-employees
- **Peru**: wage increases varying between 5.3% and 5.8% a year for 2017, 2018 and 2019 were awarded to the unionised contractor workforce
- **South Deep**: a three-year wage agreement for 2018 to 2020 was concluded, with an average annual compounded salary increase of 7.31% over three years for Category 4 to 8 employees, miners, artisans and officials. Other benefits included higher loco driver allowances, an increase in the housing allowance, introduction of a funeral benefit plan and improved maternity leave

High levels of employee indebtedness have resulted in the approval of just 47% of bond applications by our South Deep employees, equating to the sale of only 126 houses under the mine’s Tswelopele Homeownership Scheme. A review of the scheme considered employee willingness to invest in property close to the mine and the time it could take for employees to clear their debts. Alternative options to house our employees and their families are currently being considered.

A Group Flexible Work Guideline was approved by Exco and provides regions with a framework to implement flexible work practices where appropriate and suited to local conditions.

The mental health of Fly-In Fly-Out (FIFO) employees remains an industry-wide issue in Australia. Detail on how we are addressing this issue can be found on p69.

**Training and developing our people**

Gold Fields continued to focus on programmes and policies that develop and retain people who are skilled and motivated to deliver sustainable value creation. These programmes and policies are fundamental to ensuring we have the skills needed to keep our business agile, innovative and well-positioned to take on the challenges in our sector. They include:

- Our new diversity policy
- Our employee climate survey
- Disciplinary and grievance processes
- Talent management processes
- Learning and development approach
- Human rights
- Our approach to vulnerable people
- Focus on gender rights

**Looking ahead to 2019**

HR targets and focus areas for 2019 include the following:

- Achieve 5% increase in productivity beyond the business plan
- Further enhance leadership capability to align leadership skills with new competency framework
- Continue to entrench diversity by:
  - Accelerating the development of female employees
  - Training leadership to embrace multicultural diversity
  - Improving ranking in the Bloomberg Gender Equality Index
  - Implementing a transformation strategy
  - Developing a baseline for measurement of the employment of vulnerable people across regions
- Achieving >54% HDSA representation among South Deep management and <4% expatriate representation in Ghana
- Decrease turnover of critical roles to 5%
- Improve performance management through line manager coaching programmes
This is a summarised version of the Remuneration Committee’s Remuneration Report, the full version of which can be found in the Annual Financial Report on p28 – 60.

Our remuneration philosophy and practices
Gold Fields’ remuneration philosophy is underpinned by a pay-for-performance approach, in which people are rewarded for delivery against Balanced Scorecard (BSC) objectives.

There is a direct cascade of strategic objectives from the Group BSC — which is informed by the Group strategy — to the regional, operational, departmental and, ultimately, individual BSCs. This ensures that each individual’s objectives are aligned to the Gold Fields strategy and all employees play a role in contributing to the overall value creation of the Group.

During 2018, the overall framework of our Remuneration Policy remained unchanged and no changes were made to the remuneration mix for executives. We did, however, make a number of enhancements and refinements to the implementation, including:

- Enhancing the link between performance and strategy by:
  - Simplifying the Gold Fields strategy to a “strategy-on-a-page” to enhance communication

Gold Fields’ remuneration practices

We do:

- Provide pay for performance:
- 75% of CEO’s total remuneration is pay-at-risk
- A significant percentage of the CEO’s short-term incentive is based on corporate performance
- The CEO’s long-term incentive is entirely performance-based through performance shares
- Performance share awards are earned based on absolute and relative TSR and free cash-flow margin (FCFM)
- Threshold (partial) performance share payouts require relative TSR performance at least at the median when compared to the performance comparator group and absolute TSR to exceed the cost of equity
- Have a clawback policy
- Have executive director share ownership guidelines through the executive minimum shareholding plan
- Require a double-trigger for CEO and CFO upon a change of control
- Promote retention with equity awards that vest over three years
- Have an independent Remuneration Committee, with all members being independent directors
- Retain an independent remuneration consultant whose primary purpose is to advise the Remuneration Committee
- Conduct annual advisory votes on our remuneration policy and implementation report, as they appear in the Remuneration Report

We do not:

- Reprice ‘underwater’ share options
- Pay dividends on unearned performance shares
- Provide guaranteed bonuses
- Grant share awards to non-executive directors
- Allow the use of unvested LTI awards as collateral, or protect the value of unvested awards, or the value of any shares and securities held as part of meeting the MSR provisions
- Provide financial assistance to directors or prescribed officers

– Implementing the cash-settled LTI plan for management-level employees, complete with localised targets
– Refreshing the four drivers of the strategic objectives to maximise total shareholder return (TSR) sustainably
– Ensuring strategic alignment between Group, regional and personal scorecards

■ Clarifying policies, where appropriate, to remove ambiguity and to cater to the numerous jurisdictions in which Gold Fields operates
A fit-for-purpose workforce continued

Our remuneration mix

**BASE PAY**

**Guaranteed Pay**

- **Link to strategy**
  - A competitive salary for executives to ensure that their experience, contribution and appropriate market comparisons are fairly reflected. This also allows us to attract and retain the skills required to deliver on our strategic goals.

- **Implementation**
  - Base pay for all employees is reviewed annually after considering benchmarks against comparator group, Group performance, economic circumstances, affordability, individual performance, changes in responsibility and levels of increase for the broader employee population are also taken into account. Changes are effective from 1 March each year.
  - The CEO makes Exco recommendations, excluding his own base salary, to the RemCo for approval by the Board.

- **Policy / practice**
  - We seek close alignment between executive salary increases and increases for all non-bargaining unit employees, where practical. This is informed by inflation, which can be matched directly or above/below consumer price index (CPI).
  - The guaranteed pay benchmark is the market median, with a significant proportion of performance-related variable pay comprising STIs and LTIs, especially for senior employees.

- **Performance measures**
  - Both Company performance and individual performance against the BSC informs the individual base salary review. This is in addition to economic circumstances, affordability, changes in job responsibility and alignment across employee group.

**BENEFITS AND ALLOWANCES**

- **Link to strategy**
  - Market-related benefits are guided by local legislation and internal policies, and aim to strengthen the employee value proposition. This provides an additional level of competitiveness in line with Gold Fields’ strategy to attract and retain highly skilled and motivated employees.

- **Implementation**
  - Based on local market trends and can include items such as group life insurance, disability and accidental death insurance, etc.
  - The Expatriate Policy provides that special allowances may be made in respect of, among others, relocation costs, cost of living, and the cost of education for children and their families.

- **Policy / practice**
  - In line with the approved policy, the provision of benefits complies with legislation across the jurisdictions in which we operate, and benchmarking ensures that there are competitive benefits aimed at attracting and retaining key employees.

- **Performance measures**
  - Not applicable
**VARIABLE PAY**

**SHORT-TERM INCENTIVE (STI)**

*Link to strategy*

This is a performance-based Group annual incentive scheme that supports value creation and motivates our people to help us achieve success.

*Implementation*

All Group executives, regional executives and management-level employees (Patterson D-band and above) categories are eligible to participate in the STI, subject to the achievement of applicable performance conditions.

*Policy / practice*

Employees can receive up to 200% of their target bonus, based on their personal performance rating in their BSC. No bonus is paid for a performance rating between 0 and 1.9, 100% of the bonus is paid for a performance rating of 3 and 200% of target is awarded for a rating of 4.7 to 5.

### Performance measures

- **Individual BSC performance (35%)**
- **Company performance conditions 2018 (65% or 45% for RexCo):**
  - Safety (TRIFR 20%) (changed for 2019)
  - Gold production (20%)
  - AIC (40%)
  - Development and waste stripping (20%)
- **Regional performance conditions (20% for RexCo)**

**LONG-TERM INCENTIVE (LTI)**

*Link to strategy*

The long-term incentive plans award shares and/or cash to participants. This instills a sense of ownership among employees and executives, enabling:

- Alignment of executive rewards with shareholder interests
- Retention of key people
- Alignment of people costs with business results

*Implementation*

Previously, all eligible management-level employees who participated in the LTI plan received performance shares. From 2018 onwards, the following changes apply:

- **Exco members:** 100% of LTI award under the Share Plan
- **Regional Exco:** 30% of LTI award under the Share Plan and 70% under the cash-settled LTI plan
- **Other participants under the LTI:** receive 100% under the cash-settled LTI plan

Both cash and equity-settled plans have 3-year vesting periods and annual awards with performance conditions.

### Performance measures

- **Performance shares and Group cash-settled:**
  - **Absolute US Dollar Total Shareholder Return (33% weighting)**
  - **Relative US Dollar TSR (33% weighting)**
  - **Free cash-flow Margin (34% weighting)**

**MINIMUM SHARE REQUIREMENTS (MSR)**

*Link to strategy*

Executives are required to hold shares in Gold Fields, in line with best practice. This ensures alignment between executive and shareholders’ interests.

*Implementation*

CEO required to hold 200% of GRP by 31 December 2020. All other Exco members to hold 100% of GRP/BRP within five years of entry.

*Policy / practice*

RemCo makes matching shares available on a ratio of 1:3, which vest at the end of a 5-year period.

Capped at 67% of GRP for CEO, 33% for others.

Excs may elect to defer certain cash or equity awards to increase their MSR holdings.

*Performance measures*

- **Not applicable**
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**Executive directors' and prescribed officers' remuneration**

The table of remuneration for executive directors and prescribed officers based on the total single-figure remuneration prescribed by King IV is displayed below. King IV requires the disclosure of a total single figure of remuneration, received and receivable for the reporting period that ties remuneration to the individual's performance for the period.

The definitions used in the adoption of these remuneration reporting requirements under King IV follow below. These should assist in a clearer understanding of the values and related terminology used in the table of remuneration.

**Reflected**

In respect of the LTI plans, remuneration is reflected when performance conditions have been met during the reporting period. If the only remaining vesting condition is continued employment, the remuneration is reflected in the period when all other performance conditions have been met. Remuneration included may not have legally transferred to the individual, and the individual may not yet have the unconditional right to enjoy the benefits thereof.

**Settlement**

This refers to remuneration that has been included in the total-single figure remuneration in respect of any prior period but has only been unconditionally transferred to the individual concerned in the current period.

### Remuneration for executive directors and prescribed officers – All figures in US$’000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Salary1</th>
<th>Pension fund contribution</th>
<th>Cash incentives2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N Holland</td>
<td>Executive Director</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>1,251.6</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>661.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>1,186.9</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>1,002.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P Schmidt</td>
<td>Executive Director</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>626.6</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>306.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>588.6</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>542.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L Rivera⁸</td>
<td>Prescribed Officer</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>668.6</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>134.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>626.3</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>270.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Baku⁹</td>
<td>Prescribed Officer</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>808.0</td>
<td>185.8</td>
<td>634.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>784.7</td>
<td>180.5</td>
<td>719.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R Butcher</td>
<td>Prescribed Officer</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>384.5</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>192.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>353.0</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>278.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N Chohan</td>
<td>Prescribed Officer</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>367.2</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>213.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>342.8</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>288.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Mattison¹⁰</td>
<td>Prescribed Officer</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>453.6</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>271.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>426.7</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>369.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T Harmse</td>
<td>Prescribed Officer</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>369.7</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>215.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>344.7</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>290.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Nagaser</td>
<td>Prescribed Officer</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>243.3</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>131.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>228.1</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>192.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S Mathews¹¹</td>
<td>Prescribed Officer</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>438.2</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>289.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>397.5</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>326.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M Preece</td>
<td>Prescribed Officer</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>541.7</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>168.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>338.2</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R Bardien¹²</td>
<td>Prescribed Officer</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>274.3</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>150.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average exchange rates were US$1 = R13.20 for FY2018 and US$1 = R13.33 for FY2017. No termination payments during the year.

1. The total US$ amounts paid for 2018, and included in salary were as follows: NJ Holland US$406,700, P Schmidt US$124,150 and BJ Mattison US$86,200. The total US$ amounts paid for 2017, and included in salary were as follows: NJ Holland US$396,500, P Schmidt US$121,000 and BJ Mattison US$86,000
2. The annual bonus accruals for the year ended 31 December 2017 and 31 December 2018, paid in February 2018 and February 2019, respectively
3. The values of the 2015 LTI Plan with a performance period ending 31 December 2017 is reflected in the 2017 figures
4. The values of the 2016 performance shares with a performance period ending 31 December 2018 is reflected in the 2018 total single figure of remuneration based on a US$3.29 price as at 31 December 2018. The vesting date is 1 March 2019 and will be reflected in the 2019 cash value equivalent on settlement
5. The 2017 total single figure of remuneration includes the cash equivalent value of matching shares awarded in terms of the MSR policy in 2017
6. Other includes special bonuses and incidental payments unless otherwise stated
7. Includes cash incentive, cash LTI plan and matching shares reflected for the year

---
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**Not yet settled**
This refers to remuneration that has been included in the total single figure of remuneration in the current period but has not been unconditionally transferred to the individual concerned in the current period, or where an election has been made by the individual to defer the settlement thereof in fulfilment of their minimum shareholding requirement.

**Unconditional transfer**
Means that the individual now enjoys full right to the remuneration (excluding any applicable clawback), and it is no longer subject to any further service, employment or other conditions.

For the two executive directors, the 2018 total single figure of remuneration reported is lower than was reported for the 2017 period. The reasons are as follows:
- Matching shares awarded (US$942,800 and US$157,500 for the CEO and CFO respectively) were included in the reporting for the 2017 period as required, with none in 2018
- Both the CEO and CFO have lower cash-incentives in 2018 than in 2017 due to these being performance-related outcomes as described in Section 2

---

### Remuneration for executive directors and prescribed officers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Salary1</th>
<th>Pension fund</th>
<th>Total single figure of remuneration</th>
<th>Less: amounts not yet settled6</th>
<th>Add: cash value on settlement7</th>
<th>Total cash equivalent remuneration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N Holland</td>
<td>Executive Director</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>1,251.6</td>
<td>2,966.8 (1,688.7)</td>
<td>1,475.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,753.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>1,186.9</td>
<td>3,621.7 (2,408.5)</td>
<td>677.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,890.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P Schmidt</td>
<td>Executive Director</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>626.6</td>
<td>1,629.4 (352.6)</td>
<td>1,011.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,688.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>588.6</td>
<td>1,002.2 (1,159.2)</td>
<td>891.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,532.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L Rivera</td>
<td>Prescribed Officer</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>668.6</td>
<td>1,261.3 (519.7)</td>
<td>481.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,229.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>626.3</td>
<td>1,002.2 (486.7)</td>
<td>111.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>822.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Baku</td>
<td>Prescribed Officer</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>808.0</td>
<td>2,318.6 (1,256.8)</td>
<td>1,237.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,299.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>784.7</td>
<td>2,350.6 (1,235.2)</td>
<td>924.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,039.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R Butcher</td>
<td>Prescribed Officer</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>384.5</td>
<td>858.2 (462.7)</td>
<td>403.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>799.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>353.0</td>
<td>840.7 (468.3)</td>
<td>417.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>789.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N Chohan</td>
<td>Prescribed Officer</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>367.2</td>
<td>1,198.4 (385.7)</td>
<td>481.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,229.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>342.8</td>
<td>1,002.2 (253.3)</td>
<td>111.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>822.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Mattison</td>
<td>Prescribed Officer</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>453.6</td>
<td>2,318.6 (1,256.8)</td>
<td>1,237.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,299.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>426.7</td>
<td>2,350.6 (1,235.2)</td>
<td>924.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,039.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T Harmse</td>
<td>Prescribed Officer</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>369.7</td>
<td>950.8 (331.6)</td>
<td>548.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>951.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>344.7</td>
<td>929.9 (331.6)</td>
<td>483.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>862.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Nagaser</td>
<td>Prescribed Officer</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>243.3</td>
<td>526.6 (7.8)</td>
<td>245.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>515.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>228.1</td>
<td>536.1 (6.8)</td>
<td>221.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>475.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S Mathews</td>
<td>Prescribed Officer</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>438.2</td>
<td>1,036.3 (7.8)</td>
<td>514.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>986.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>397.5</td>
<td>754.8 (10.0)</td>
<td>428.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>428.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R Bardien</td>
<td>Prescribed Officer</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>274.3</td>
<td>354.8 (4.9)</td>
<td>586.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>354.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1. The 2018 figure includes the bonus related to the 2017 financial year paid in February 2018 and the 2015 cash LTIP vested and settled in March 2018. The 2017 figure includes the bonus related to the 2016 financial year paid in February 2017 and the 2014 cash LTIP vested and settled in March 2017.
2. L Rivera – other payments for 2018 relate to cash in lieu of 2016 share award payable upon vesting in March 2019. His appointment package and conditions were approved by RemCo but the LTI award was inadvertently not executed hence he was never physically awarded. This value reflects the equivalent cash compensation in this regard. Cash Incentives include legislated bonus portion. Company contributions to pension erroneously not reported previously.
3. A Baku – other payments for 2018 relate to approved profit share bonus payment approved and 2017 relates to leave allowance in line with related policy.
4. BJ Mattison – other payments for 2018 relate to a service award in line with Company practice.
5. S Mathews – other payments for 2018 relate to bonus payment in lieu of most improved operation bonus scheme.
6. R Bardien – Appointed on 1 February 2018. Other payments relate to sign on bonus.
## Key Measurements – Capital Discipline and Financial Performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018 Status</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US$/A$ (average)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R/US$ (average)</td>
<td>13.20</td>
<td>13.33</td>
<td>14.70</td>
<td>12.68</td>
<td>11.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average US$ gold price received (US$/oz)</td>
<td>1,252</td>
<td>1,255</td>
<td>1,241</td>
<td>1,140</td>
<td>1,249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average A$ gold price received (A$/oz)</td>
<td>1,694</td>
<td>1,640</td>
<td>1,675</td>
<td>1,541</td>
<td>1,404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Rand gold price received (R/kg)</td>
<td>531,253</td>
<td>538,344</td>
<td>584,894</td>
<td>478,263</td>
<td>441,981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue (US$m)</td>
<td>2,578</td>
<td>2,811</td>
<td>2,750</td>
<td>2,545</td>
<td>2,869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-in Sustaining Costs (AISC) (US$/oz)</td>
<td>981</td>
<td>955</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>1,007</td>
<td>1,053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-in Costs (AIC) (US$/oz)</td>
<td>1,173</td>
<td>1,088</td>
<td>1,006</td>
<td>1,026</td>
<td>1,087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of sales¹ (US$m)</td>
<td>1,375</td>
<td>1,404</td>
<td>1,388</td>
<td>1,456</td>
<td>1,678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total capital expenditure (US$m)</td>
<td>814</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>634</td>
<td>609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash-flow² (US$m)</td>
<td>-132</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free cash-flow margin (%)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net debt (US$m)</td>
<td>1,612</td>
<td>1,303</td>
<td>1,166</td>
<td>1,380</td>
<td>1,453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net debt:adjusted EBITDA ratio³</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total dividend payment (R/share)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. All figures are for total operations (continued and discontinued)
2. Cost of sales before amortisation and depreciation
3. Net cash-flow = cash-flow from operating activities less net expenditure, environmental payments and finance lease payments
4. This measure is defined and reconciled in note 39 of the consolidated financial statements

### Strategic Goals

1. Strong balance sheet maintained while investment in future growth continued

### Results and Impacts

#### Strategic responses – Financial discipline
- Allocate capital in line with strategic priorities as per capital ranking
- Focus on cash-flow margins

#### Key initiatives
- Technology strategies to improve safety, efficiencies and costs
- Gold and copper production and oil price hedging for various regions
- Cash generation to pay down debt
- Debt refinancing being considered

#### Related risks
- A sustained and significantly lower gold price and currency exchange rate volatility
- High debt levels

### Sustainable Development Goals

#### Decent Work and Economic Growth

#### Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure

### Key Stakeholders – Shareholders and Investors

- Decent Work and Economic Growth
- Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure
We focus on growing our margins and FCF for every ounce of gold produced to deliver sustainable financial returns to our investors and shareholders.
Financial performance

**Introduction**

The focus of Gold Fields’ business strategy is to grow margin and free cash-flow (FCF) for every ounce of gold produced, and to sustain this FCF in the long term. In this regard, our target is to generate a FCF margin of at least 15% per region at a notional long-term planning gold price of US$1,200/oz. However, to ensure the sustainability of this cash-flow, the Group had to reinvest in the portfolio during 2017 and 2018, spending project capital of US$502m over this period. Importantly, Gold Fields spent this capital on projects that will improve the overall quality of its asset base and enhance FCF generation in the future.

After two years of elevated levels of project capital, 2019 looks set to be an inflection point for Gold Fields in terms of FCF generation. During 2018, the Group spent US$64m at Salares Norte and incurred project capital of US$277m (US$125m at Damang, US$134m at Gruyere, and US$18m at South Deep), underpinning a net cash-outflow of US$132m (2017: US$2m).

Further project capital of US$143m will be spent at Damang and Gruyere in 2019, with the majority scheduled for the first half of the year. The project capital is then expected to decrease significantly in H2 2019, at which point an increase in FCF is anticipated. Once the capital bill has rolled off, Gold Fields does not envisage spending material growth capital in the short to medium term. The objective is to reap the rewards of the capital invested through an increase in FCF, which will go towards decreasing debt and, potentially, increased dividends.

The Group’s FCF margin, which is adjusted for share-based payments, Salares Norte exploration expenditure and Damang and Gruyere project capital, remained steady at 16% in 2018 (2017: 16%). Encouragingly, this is in line with our targeted 15% FCF margin at a US$1,200/oz gold price.

Despite the significant project capital incurred, our priorities for the cash we generated have remained unchanged during the reinvestment period:

- **Rewarding our shareholders with dividends**
  Our policy is to pay out between 25% and 35% of normalised earnings

- **Funding growth projects**, which will improve the quality of the Gold Fields portfolio. The bulk of the project capital is being spent on Damang in Ghana and Gruyere in Western Australia. Once these two mines reach full production, which is anticipated by 2020, they are set to significantly improve Group AIC and, subsequently, cash generating ability

- **Maintaining the strength of the balance sheet** and limiting the increase in debt through the peak capital expenditure years. Gold Fields ended 2018 on a net debt:EBITDA of 1.45x. Once we have incurred all project capital expenditure on Damang and Gruyere, our target is to once again reduce our net debt:EBITDA to 1.0x.
2018 financial performance

Gold Fields’ financial performance was underpinned by the strong operational performance of the Ghanaian, Australian and Peruvian assets in 2018, with South Deep presenting a drag on Group results. The outperformance of these operations (relative to guidance), coupled with a US Dollar gold price received that was higher than our business planning price, enabled the Group to contain the cash-outflow, limit the increase in net debt and maintain a healthy balance sheet during the year. Net debt increased to US$1,612m during 2018 from US$1,303m at end 2017, resulting in a net debt:adjusted EBITDA of 1.45x at 31 December 2018 (December 2017: 1.03x). A large portion of this increase was due to the US$165m upfront payment relating to the Asanko Gold acquisition.

Net revenue declined by 7% to US$2,578m in 2018 from US$2,762m in 2017, driven by the decrease in production coupled with a slightly lower gold price received.

Cost of sales decreased by 3% to US$2,043m in 2018 from US$2,106m in 2017. The bulk of Gold Fields’ costs in Australia and South Africa are incurred in local currencies. As such, the slight strengthening of the Australian Dollar and South African Rand had a negative impact on costs in US Dollar terms – and ultimately profits – in these geographies during 2018.

The Group AISC of US$981/oz and All-in Costs (AIC) of US$1,173/oz in 2018 compared with AISC of US$965/oz and AIC of US$1,088/oz in 2017. Encouragingly, costs came in below guidance (AISC: US$990/oz – US$1,010/oz; AIC: US$1,190/oz – US$1,210/oz) for the sixth consecutive year. The increase in AIC was driven by an increase in Salares Norte expenditure and project capital incurred at Gruyere.

Other salient features during 2018 included:

- Royalty of US$63m in 2018 compared with US$62m in 2017
- Total capital expenditure of US$814m in 2018 versus US$834m in 2017
- A decrease in the normal taxation charge to US$146m in 2018 (2017: US$205m)
- Asset write-downs and impairments of US$502m were recognised in 2018 (2017: US$200m), comprising mainly a US$482m impairment of South Deep.

Taking into account all of the above, the net loss attributable to Gold Fields’ shareholders amounted to US$349m in 2018, compared to a net loss of US$19m in 2017. Headline earnings were US$61m in 2018 compared to US$210m in 2017.

A detailed analysis of our financial performance is provided in the management’s discussion and analysis of the financial statements in the 2018 Annual Financial Report on p61 – 124.


Free Cash-Flow

Gold Fields recorded a net cash-outflow (see footnote 3 on p2) of US$132m in 2018 compared with an outflow of US$2m in 2017. Included in the 2018 number is project capital of US$125m (2017: US$115m) and US$134m (2017: US$81m) for Damang and Gruyere, respectively. South Deep recorded a net cash-outflow of US$141m compared with an outflow of US$80m in 2017. US$143m in project capital is budgeted for 2019, with the majority scheduled to be spent during H1 2019.

At a mine level, cash generation remained strong in 2018. Excluding project capital and off-site exploration expenditure, mine cash-flow was US$334m (US$195m in Australia, US$114m in Peru, US$149m in Ghana and a negative US$123m in South Africa) versus US$441m in 2017. Our Australian, Ghanaian and Peruvian mines collectively generated a net cash-flow of US$457m (2017: US$484m), demonstrating again the quality of this portfolio of assets.

FOC margin, which is adjusted for share-based payments, Salares Norte expenditure, and Damang and Gruyere project capital, remained unchanged at 16% in 2018 compared to 2017.

Dividends

Gold Fields has a long and well-established policy of rewarding shareholders by paying out between 25% and 35% of normalised earnings as dividends. This policy is viewed as an important element of Gold Fields’ investment case, and we have consistently honoured this commitment with an average pay-out of approximately 30% of earnings every year over the past nine years.

Despite recording a net cash-outflow in 2018, the Group maintained its dividend policy and declared a final dividend of R0.20/share for the year. Together with the interim dividend of R0.20 per share (for the six months ending on 30 June 2018), this brings the total dividend for 2018 to R0.40/share. In 2017 we paid a total dividend of R0.90 per share.

Reducing debt

A strategic objective of management during the peak capital expenditure years (2017 and 2018) has been to maintain a healthy balance sheet and minimise the increase in net debt through limiting the cash-outflow.

Net debt increased by US$309m during 2018 to end the year at US$1,612m (end December 2017: US$1,303m). This includes US$165m related to the Asanko Gold acquisition, which closed on 31 July 2018. The outperformance of the international portfolio and a higher gold price received than budgeted enabled Gold Fields to end 2018 on a better than expected net debt:adjusted EBITDA ratio of 1.45x (2017: 1.03x).
During 2018, the Group successfully extended the maturity of its US$380m term loan by 12 months to 6 June 2020 (from 6 June 2019). As such, the first material debt maturity is now due in June 2020. In addition, having entered into an A$500m revolving credit facility in June 2017, Gold Fields’ balance sheet is in a comfortable position with regards to solvency and liquidity. At the end of 2018, the Group had committed and uncommitted loan facilities totalling US$2.5bn, A$500m and R4.2bn, of which US$976m, A$50m and R2.2bn respectively, are unutilised. Our debt is currently rated BB+ by Standard & Poor’s and Baa3 (investment grade) by Moody’s, the latter being an upgrade from 2017.

During the course of 2019, Gold Fields will look to refinance and extend the maturities of its syndicated bank facilities (US$1.3bn) and US$1bn bond (US$852m outstanding).

**Hedging**

Given the high levels of project capital expenditure incurred during the year, together with the volatility in commodity prices and exchange rates, as well as our higher net debt position, management continued with the short-term, tactical hedging programme that was implemented in 2017. These hedges were put in place to protect cash-flows during the investment phase, and Gold Fields will look to continue the hedging programme during the first half of 2019, whilst the remainder of capital is spent on Damang and Gruyere. Hedges during 2018 and those put in place in Q1 2019 are in the table below. Net realised gains from these hedge positions were US$17m in 2018, compared with US$13m in 2017.

### 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hedge</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Quantity hedged</th>
<th>Hedging instrument and price</th>
<th>Hedge term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gold hedge</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td>453koz (51% of production)</td>
<td>Zero-cost collars; Average (Ave) floor price of A$1,703/oz, Ave cap price of A$1,767/oz</td>
<td>Apr 2018 – Dec 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td>221koz (25% of production)</td>
<td>Swaps; Ave strike price of A$1,714/oz</td>
<td>June 2018 – Dec 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td></td>
<td>489koz (69% of production)</td>
<td>Zero-cost collars; Ave floor price of US$1,300/oz, Ave cap price of US$1,418/oz</td>
<td>Jan 2018 – Dec 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td></td>
<td>64koz (41% of production)</td>
<td>Zero-cost collars; Ave floor price of R600,000/kg, Ave cap price of R665,621/kg</td>
<td>Jan 2018 – Dec 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Copper hedge</strong></td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>29.4kt (92% of production)</td>
<td>Zero-cost collars; Ave floor price of US$6,600/t, Ave cap price of US$7,431/t</td>
<td>Jan 2018 – Dec 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oil hedge</strong></td>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>126 million litres</td>
<td>Swaps; Equivalent Brent crude swap price US$49.80/bbl</td>
<td>June 2017 – Dec 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td>78 million litres</td>
<td>Swaps; Equivalent Brent crude swap price US$49.92/bbl</td>
<td>June 2017 – Dec 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hedge</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Quantity hedged</th>
<th>Hedging instrument and price</th>
<th>Hedge term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gold hedge</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td>283koz (31% of guidance)</td>
<td>Swaps; Ave strike price of A$1,751/oz</td>
<td>Jan 2019 – Dec 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td>173koz (19% of guidance)</td>
<td>Zero-cost collars; Ave floor price of A$1,720/oz, Ave cap price of A$1,789/oz</td>
<td>Jan 2019 – Dec 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td>456koz (51% of guidance)</td>
<td>Zero-cost collars; Ave floor price of A$1,800/oz, Ave cap price of A$1,869/oz</td>
<td>Jan 2019 – Dec 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td></td>
<td>113koz (59% of guidance)</td>
<td>Forwards; Ave strike price of between R615,103/kg and R620,000/kg</td>
<td>Between June 2019 – Dec 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A$ Forex hedge</strong></td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>US$366m</td>
<td>Average strike price between US$0.7075 – 0.7330/A$</td>
<td>Jan 2019 – Dec 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oil hedge</strong></td>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>126 million litres</td>
<td>Swaps; Equivalent Brent crude swap price US$49.80/bbl</td>
<td>June 2017 – Dec 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td>78 million litres</td>
<td>Swaps; Equivalent Brent crude swap price US$49.92/bbl</td>
<td>June 2017 – Dec 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Consolidated income statement
for the year ended 31 December 2018

**United States Dollar**

*Figures in millions unless otherwise stated*

#### CONTINUING OPERATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenue</td>
<td>2,577.8</td>
<td>2,761.8</td>
<td>2,666.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of sales</td>
<td>(2,043.0)</td>
<td>(2,105.1)</td>
<td>(2,001.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment income</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance expense</td>
<td>(88.0)</td>
<td>(81.3)</td>
<td>(78.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain on financial instruments</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign exchange gain/(loss)</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>(3.5)</td>
<td>(6.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other costs, net</td>
<td>(44.8)</td>
<td>(19.0)</td>
<td>(16.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share-based payments</td>
<td>(37.5)</td>
<td>(26.8)</td>
<td>(14.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term incentive plan</td>
<td>(1.1)</td>
<td>(5.0)</td>
<td>(10.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploration expense</td>
<td>(104.2)</td>
<td>(109.8)</td>
<td>(86.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of results of equity accounted investees, net of taxation</td>
<td>(13.1)</td>
<td>(1.3)</td>
<td>(2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restructuring costs</td>
<td>(113.9)</td>
<td>(9.2)</td>
<td>(11.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicosis settlement costs</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>(30.2)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain on acquisition of Asanko</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impairment, net of reversal of impairment of investments and assets</td>
<td>(520.3)</td>
<td>(200.2)</td>
<td>(76.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit on disposal of investments</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Loss)/profit on disposal of assets</td>
<td>(51.6)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>48.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Loss)/profit before royalties and taxation</td>
<td>(348.2)</td>
<td>214.4</td>
<td>435.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royalties</td>
<td>(62.5)</td>
<td>(62.0)</td>
<td>(78.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Loss)/profit before taxation</td>
<td>(410.7)</td>
<td>152.4</td>
<td>357.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining and income taxation</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>(173.2)</td>
<td>(189.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Loss)/profit from continuing operations</td>
<td>(344.8)</td>
<td>(20.8)</td>
<td>167.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Profit from discontinued operations, net of taxation</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Loss)/profit for the year</td>
<td>(344.8)</td>
<td>(7.7)</td>
<td>169.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Loss)/profit attributable to:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owners of the parent</td>
<td>(348.2)</td>
<td>(18.7)</td>
<td>158.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Continuing operations</td>
<td>(348.2)</td>
<td>(31.8)</td>
<td>157.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Discontinued operations</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-controlling interests</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Continuing operations</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Loss)/earnings per share attributable to owners of the parent</td>
<td>(344.8)</td>
<td>(7.7)</td>
<td>169.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic (loss)/earnings per share from continuing operations – cents</td>
<td>(42)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic earnings per share from discontinued operations – cents</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diluted basic (loss)/earnings per share from continuing operations – cents</td>
<td>(42)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diluted basic earnings per share from discontinued operations – cents</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Statement of financial position

at 31 December 2018

**United States Dollar**

**Figures in millions unless otherwise stated**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSETS</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-current assets</td>
<td>5,183.2</td>
<td>5,505.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property, plant and equipment</td>
<td>4,259.2</td>
<td>4,892.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodwill</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>76.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories</td>
<td>133.3</td>
<td>132.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity accounted investees</td>
<td>225.1</td>
<td>76.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments</td>
<td>235.3</td>
<td>104.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental trust funds</td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>55.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred taxation</td>
<td>269.5</td>
<td>72.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current assets</strong></td>
<td>921.1</td>
<td>1,114.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories</td>
<td>366.2</td>
<td>393.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade and other receivables</td>
<td>153.2</td>
<td>201.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>399.7</td>
<td>479.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets held for sale</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total assets</strong></td>
<td>6,104.3</td>
<td>6,620.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EQUITY AND LIABILITIES</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equity attributable to owners of the parent</td>
<td>2,586.1</td>
<td>3,275.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stated capital</td>
<td>3,622.5</td>
<td>3,622.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other reserves</td>
<td>(2,110.3)</td>
<td>(1,817.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retained earnings</td>
<td>1,073.9</td>
<td>1,471.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-controlling interests</td>
<td>120.8</td>
<td>127.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total equity</strong></td>
<td>2,706.9</td>
<td>3,403.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-current liabilities</td>
<td>2,781.9</td>
<td>2,363.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred taxation</td>
<td>454.9</td>
<td>453.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borrowings</td>
<td>1,925.3</td>
<td>1,587.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provisions</td>
<td>319.5</td>
<td>321.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance lease liabilities</td>
<td>80.1</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term incentive plan</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current liabilities</strong></td>
<td>615.5</td>
<td>854.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade and other payables</td>
<td>503.0</td>
<td>548.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royalties payable</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxation payable</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>77.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current portion of borrowings</td>
<td>86.3</td>
<td>193.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current portion of finance lease liabilities</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current portion of long-term incentive plan</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total liabilities</strong></td>
<td>3,397.4</td>
<td>3,217.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total equity and liabilities</strong></td>
<td>6,104.3</td>
<td>6,620.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Cash-flow statement
for the year ended 31 December 2018

**United States Dollar**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figures in millions unless otherwise stated</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash flows from operating activities</strong></td>
<td>557.8</td>
<td>762.4</td>
<td>917.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash generated by operations</td>
<td>998.0</td>
<td>1,286.5</td>
<td>1,245.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash generated by operating activities</td>
<td>988.5</td>
<td>1,222.2</td>
<td>1,250.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest paid</td>
<td>(91.0)</td>
<td>(90.4)</td>
<td>(81.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royalties paid</td>
<td>(65.5)</td>
<td>(66.0)</td>
<td>(76.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxation paid</td>
<td>(217.2)</td>
<td>(239.5)</td>
<td>(155.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash from operations</td>
<td>614.8</td>
<td>826.3</td>
<td>936.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividends paid/advanced</td>
<td>(57.0)</td>
<td>(70.7)</td>
<td>(40.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Owners of the parent</td>
<td>(45.5)</td>
<td>(62.8)</td>
<td>(39.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Non-controlling interest holders</td>
<td>(9.8)</td>
<td>(6.4)</td>
<td>(0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– South Deep BEE dividend</td>
<td>(1.7)</td>
<td>(1.5)</td>
<td>(1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash generated by continuing operations</td>
<td>557.8</td>
<td>755.6</td>
<td>896.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash generated by discontinued operations</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash flows from investing activities</strong></td>
<td>(886.8)</td>
<td>(908.6)</td>
<td>(867.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additions to property, plant and equipment</td>
<td>(814.2)</td>
<td>(833.6)</td>
<td>(628.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase of Gruyere Gold project assets</td>
<td>78.9</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase of Asanko Gold joint venture investment</td>
<td>(165.0)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase of investments</td>
<td>(19.3)</td>
<td>(80.1)</td>
<td>(12.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds on disposal of investments</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds on disposal of APP</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds on disposal of Darlot</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions to environmental trust funds</td>
<td>(7.7)</td>
<td>(16.7)</td>
<td>(14.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash utilised in continuing operations</td>
<td>(886.8)</td>
<td>(901.8)</td>
<td>(846.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash utilised in discontinued operations</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(6.8)</td>
<td>(21.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash flows from financing activities</strong></td>
<td>257.3</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>37.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shares issued</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>151.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loans raised</td>
<td>691.7</td>
<td>779.7</td>
<td>1,298.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loans repaid</td>
<td>(431.9)</td>
<td>(695.5)</td>
<td>(1,413.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment of finance lease liabilities</td>
<td>(2.5)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash generated by continuing operations</td>
<td>257.3</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>37.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash generated by discontinued operations</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net cash (utilised)/generated</strong></td>
<td>(71.7)</td>
<td>(62.0)</td>
<td>86.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of exchange rate fluctuation on cash held</td>
<td>(7.6)</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the year</td>
<td>479.0</td>
<td>526.7</td>
<td>440.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash and cash equivalents at end of the year</strong></td>
<td>399.7</td>
<td>479.0</td>
<td>526.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Total value distribution

US$2.7bn

### Key Measurements – Licence and Reputation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018 Status</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total value distribution (US$m)</td>
<td>2,711</td>
<td>2,650</td>
<td>2,425</td>
<td>2,505</td>
<td>2,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SED spending (US$m)</td>
<td>25,71</td>
<td>17.40</td>
<td>16.20</td>
<td>13.70</td>
<td>17.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workforce from host communities (%)</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-country procurement (US$m)</td>
<td>1,542</td>
<td>1,440</td>
<td>1,270</td>
<td>1,360</td>
<td>1,626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Host community procurement (US$m)</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental incidents (Level 3 and above)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water recycled/reused (GL)</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>42.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water withdrawal (GL)</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>31.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity purchased (TWh)</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diesel (TJ)</td>
<td>7,974</td>
<td>6,066</td>
<td>6,960</td>
<td>6,608</td>
<td>6,765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ emissions (1000 tonnes)</td>
<td>1,852</td>
<td>1,694</td>
<td>1,753</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>1,959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining waste (million tonnes)</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross closure costs provisions (US$m)</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>381</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. The numbers disclosed only include our operations, as head offices are not considered material
2. The CO₂ emission numbers include head offices and comprise Scope 1, 2 and 3 emissions
3. Scope 1 emissions are those arising directly from sources managed by the Company. Scope 2 emissions are indirect emissions generated in the production of electricity used by the Company. Scope 3 emissions arise as a consequence of the activities of the Company
4. Significant drop due to change of definition of water withdrawal to exclude diverted water
5. The decline is due to a change in the definition of host communities by our Australian operations to only include communities in their area of influence (previously Perth was included in the definition due to the FIFO nature of our mines)
6. South Deep’s host community definition was changed in 2018 to align with the 2016 municipal boundary change which amalgamated the Westonaria and Randfontein municipalities. It now includes all individuals who reside in the Rand West City Local Municipality. This number also excludes the Perth office and Gruyere project
7. Procurement spending by mines only, not projects

### Strategic Goals

1. We continued to enhance our social licence to operate through ESG focused initiatives

### Results and Impacts

#### Strategic responses – Licence and reputation
- Total value creation for stakeholders
- Enhance governance and compliance
- Enhance reputation through community investment
- Shared Value initiatives
- Environment stewardship – improving water and climate management practices

#### Key initiatives
- Strengthening engagement with key stakeholders
- Increased host community employment and host community procurement
- Working directly and through industry associations to engage on resource nationalism
- Growth opportunities in stable mining destinations
- Increased investment in low-carbon and renewable energy sources
- Comprehensive climate change risk assessment conducted at all mines with remedial action plans being implemented
- Align to ICMM water and tailings management standards
- Maintain Top 5 position in Dow Jones Sustainability Index

#### Related risks
- Resource nationalism and political uncertainty
- Water pollution, supply and cost
- Impact on social licence to operate
- Cost of energy and security of power supply
- Failure to implement climate adaptation measures

### Key Stakeholders – Communities

- Shareholders
- Governments and Regulators
Through environmental stewardship we protect and enhance relationships between our operations and the communities in close proximity to it. These relationships are built on a commitment to good corporate governance, corporate citizenship, and sharing wealth with our stakeholders. It is critical that we nurture our relationships with a number of key external stakeholders that determine both our regulatory and social licences to operate, as well as the reputation we have with these stakeholders. As such, protecting our reputation and our licence to operate therefore remains a key pillar of our scorecard.
Overview

Sustainable gold mining is imperative for Gold Fields’ longevity and key to being the company of choice for all our stakeholders – our workforce, government, businesses, capital providers and our communities. This means developing mines across the world, operating responsibly and profitably over lives-of-mine and creating shared value for stakeholders.

Through environmental stewardship, we protect and enhance relationships between our operations and the communities in close proximity. By minimising the impact of our operations on these communities, ensuring ongoing meaningful engagement with stakeholders, and implementing sustainable development policies, we create Shared Value and deliver clear economic, social and environmental benefits to them.

The ability to fulfill our commitment to stakeholders requires that we operate sustainably and profitably. Above all, we require the highest levels of corporate governance and compliance. This is essential given the long-term, capital-intensive nature of our mining projects, as well as the, at times, challenging social and political contexts in which we operate.

In this section we deal with our licence and reputation, a pillar of our balanced scorecard. The section is divided into two parts, environmental stewardship and stakeholder relations.

Regulatory licences are issued by all levels of governments of the countries we operate in, and requires, first and foremost, good corporate citizenship from Gold Fields in terms of adherence to all relevant legislation. This includes the payment of taxes and other levies, as well as a robust governance and compliance approach. By building strong relationships with our stakeholders, we ensure that we operate beyond pure compliance.

During 2018, Gold Fields’ total value distribution to our stakeholders was US$2.71bn (2017: US$2.85bn), in the form of payments to governments, capital providers, communities, businesses and our workforce. Over 90% of the value created remains in the countries of operation and increasingly in the communities that host our mines and projects.

The five key elements of our sustainable development strategy are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Our objectives</th>
<th>Priorities</th>
<th>More info</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Energy and climate change</strong></td>
<td>■ Maintain security of supply  ■ Stabilise energy costs  ■ Drive renewables and a lower carbon energy mix  ■ Start managing climate change adaptation risks</td>
<td>p70 – 73  p97 – 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social acceptance</strong></td>
<td>■ Build strong community and government relationships  ■ Drive impact through Shared Value  ■ Enhance stakeholder engagement and communications</td>
<td>p106 – 124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Water stewardship</strong></td>
<td>■ Set and achieve water withdrawal and recycling/reuse targets  ■ Achieve water security through catchment approach</td>
<td>p100 – 102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Integrated mine closure and progressive rehabilitation</strong></td>
<td>■ Business-wide integrated approach  ■ Liabilities optimised through progressive closure and rehabilitation  ■ Address social transition at closure</td>
<td>p105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Integrated approach</strong></td>
<td>■ Achieve collaboration across disciplines  ■ Regional leadership  ■ Integrated planning</td>
<td>p29 – 39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Environmental stewardship

Introduction
Gold Fields seeks to enhance the environments in which it operates and limit the impact that mining can cause on the surrounding areas. To manage this, we remain committed to responsible environmental stewardship.

Gold Fields has three Group environment-related policy statements, on environmental stewardship, climate change and materials stewardship, and five environmental guidelines, on energy and carbon management, water management, tailings management, mine closure and biodiversity. Furthermore, all regions are aligning processes to our critical control management approach (p63).

Our approach to environmental stewardship is guided and informed by several external standards as well as local legislation, supported by risk management, internal policies and priorities. Additional local priorities are identified through stakeholder consultation.

All our mines are certified according to the International Cyanide Management Code (ICMC), which prescribes how to manage, treat, transport, store and dispose of cyanide. Our operations work to prepare for recertification audits every three years by helping to identify and address potential gaps in advance. South Deep, Damang and Tarkwa were successfully recertified during 2018 and Q1 2019. Gruyere was recommended for pre-operational certification. However, we are still awaiting confirmation by the International Cyanide Management Institute. Gold Fields does not use mercury for the beneficiation of gold or in any of its processes.

In 2018, we completed the process of recertifying our operations in terms of the new ISO 14001 (2015) environmental management standard. During the year, Agnew, St Ives, Damang, Tarkwa, Cerro Corona and South Deep secured recertification, while an audit will be conducted at Gruyere in 2019. Other than Gruyere, no operation is due for recertification in 2019.

During 2018, risk assessments were completed in all regions on the transport of hazardous materials. No material risks were identified. Opportunities for improvement were found, the most important of which was the construction of a pipeline to deliver gas to our Ghana operations instead of by road (p73).

For details of our environmental management approach, policies and guidelines go to www.goldfields.com/sustainability.php.

Environmental incidents
A scale of Level 1 (most minor) is used to 5 (most severe) to report environmental incidents. We have not experienced any Level 4 or 5 environmental incidents over the past ten years.

During 2018, we experienced two Level 3 environmental incidents (2017: two):

- During the commissioning of Damang’s Far East tailings storage facility (FETSF) in April, supernatant water leaked into the external environment. Permeable waste rock overburden, originally believed to represent natural ground during construction, led to the transfer of the liquid to underlying waste rock fill, which had not been identified and from there to an adjacent water body. Deposition was immediately returned into the East TSF (ETSF) and downstream monitoring initiated. The incident was communicated to relevant regulatory bodies and communities adjacent to affected water courses. Drinking water was provided to these communities, though monitoring showed that their water supplies were safe to drink. No lasting environmental impacts were identified, and, after the permeable rock burden was removed, the area was rehabilitated to the original design with a final clay tie-in. The storage facility was recommissioned.

- On 16 December, over a period of three hours, approximately 180m³ of water containing tailings from the Cerro Corona TSF flowed through an authorised diversion pipe into a creek leading to the Tingo river. A nearby fish farm on the bank of the river was affected. The incident did not compromise the dam’s integrity or physical stability. Gold Fields immediately communicated the incident, and subsequently sent a full report, to the environmental authorities. An emergency response team was activated and corrective measures were taken immediately to stop the discharge; within 24 hours the environmental parameters in the river had returned to normal. Rehabilitation of the affected area also started immediately and was completed within 20 days. No fines nor sanctions have as yet been formalised.

Group environmental incidents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Level 2 incidents</th>
<th>Level 3 incidents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Environmental stewardship continued

Supporting biodiversity

Our Biodiversity Conservation Practice guideline ensures that we integrate biodiversity conservation into all aspects of mine life, from pre-feasibility to closure. We aim to contribute to the conservation of biodiversity where opportunities arise. Furthermore, we subscribe to the International Council on Mining & Metals (ICMM) Position Statement on Mining and Protected Areas, which includes a commitment to respect protected areas and an undertaking not to explore or mine in World Heritage listed sites. Biodiversity considerations are incorporated into our integrated mine closure and progressive rehabilitation processes.

Two examples indicating our commitment to biodiversity are:

- During 2018 we invested around US$2.2m in environmental programmes at our Salares Norte project in the Atacama Desert of northern Chile, including US$700,000 on initiatives to protect the endangered Short-tailed Chinchilla found in the area. During 2018, with the help of environmental experts, we continued improving our the baseline information on the Chinchilla and worked on a detailed plan and protocol to relocate them if the EIA is approved.

- The St Ives operations in Western Australia extend over a large salt-lake system known as Lake Lefroy. In recent years, the riparian (bank) zones of such salt lake systems have been recognised as areas of sensitive biodiversity. The current mining disturbance of the Lake Lefroy riparian zone by St Ives and other mining companies is limited to 90ha or 2.5% of the riparian habitat. St Ives has undertaken numerous ecological studies and monitoring programmes in the area. The studies indicate that, outside of the physical disturbance of a small portion of the riparian zone, mining and related activities have no discernible impact on the area’s biodiversity. Nonetheless, as part of the Beyond 2018 project at St Ives, regulatory approval of which is still awaited, we have included protection measures for Lake Lefroy’s fauna.
Gold Fields’ climate change programme focuses on the assessment and mitigation of climate change-related risks, including the development and implementation of action plans and energy management programmes to reduce emissions (p70 – 73), while at the same time ensuring water security (p100 – 102). Gold Fields’ objectives are to minimise the Company’s contribution to climate change and to build resilience to impacts of climate-related risks on our operations and host communities. It is increasingly clear that the negative physical impacts of climate change are real and immediate, due to:

- The long-term risks posed by climate change to the Group’s operations and surrounding communities
- Increasing efforts to regulate carbon emissions in most of our jurisdictions
- Taxes increasingly imposed by governments on non-renewable energy consumption

Climate change-related regulations, comprising carbon emission and renewable energy targets, continue to evolve across our regions, and we consistently assess and investigate how these changes will affect our operations. These are detailed in the regional reports on p98 – 99.

**Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)**

Business impact on the climate, and companies’ ability to withstand climate change, are issues of increasing global importance, and vital to our stakeholders. In 2018, Gold Fields became the second Johannesburg Stock Exchange Limited (JSE)-listed company in South Africa (and the first mining company) to publicly back the United Nations (UN)-endorsed recommendations of the TCFD. The recommendations have been adopted by many national financial regulators.

By following the TCFD, we will be reporting our climate-related performance in a more targeted and practical way than before, linking it to financial risks and opportunities. In 2019, we will release our first TCFD report, which will replace our annual submission in terms of the CDP, formerly the Carbon Disclosure Project. The report details aspects of governance and climate-related risks, as well as our risk management framework, our strategic approach in adapting to and mitigating impacts of climate change, and presents trends in our key climate change-related metrics.

Gold Fields has been disclosing emissions, risks and opportunities for more than 10 years through the CDP. Key energy and carbon emissions data are assured externally. Gold Fields maintained its A- score for its 2018 CDP performance, ranking it among the leaders in the mining sector for both our disclosures and management practices.

**Group performance and strategies**

The 2018 Group risk register includes the impact of climate change among the top 20 Group risks. Furthermore, the Board’s Safety, Health and Sustainable Development (SHSD) Committee reviews the performance of energy and climate change programmes on a quarterly basis. Every five years we review our vulnerability to climate change and develop Group-wide strategies and programmes in response to these.

During 2017 our Ghanaian operations’ piloted use of an ICMM climate-data viewer tool, which provides insight into physical changes in precipitation, temperature, wind and water stress levels. These outcomes were used in developing adaptation plans, such as reviewing design flood lines and inclusion of climate change impacts in our project standards. The ICMM tool is in the process of being rolled out to our other operations.

Our carbon emission performance mirrors the energy usage trends at our operations. These are detailed on p70 – 73. Gold Fields’ disclosures cover all three carbon emission scopes, Scope 1 – 3, both in absolute figures and intensities. Total Scope 1 – 3 CO₂-e emissions during 2018 amounted to 1.88Mt, a significant drop from 1.96Mt in 2017, reflecting the decrease in total energy usage to 11.62TJ in 2018 from 12.18TJ in 2017. Emission intensity was unchanged from the 0.66t CO₂-e/oz in 2017, due to a decline in Group gold production. Our aspirational target is to reduce cumulative carbon emissions by 800kt CO₂-e between 2017 and 2020. Cumulative carbon emission reductions from 2017 – 2018 totalled 265kt CO₂-e.

Our commitment to low-carbon and renewable energy is a significant contributor to our efforts in reducing carbon emissions. All our operations, other than South Deep, are largely powered by LP gas, a low carbon energy source. In Q1 2019, Granny Smith and Agnew announced significant renewable energy projects to be operational later in 2019 or early 2020 (p72). South Deep, Tarkwa and Damang are also investigating developing renewable energy assets in the near future.

Given the water security impact of climate change to our operations, we also closely monitor our water usage and spending and invest in water security and efficiency initiatives.

---

**Gold Fields Scope 1 – 3 CO₂ emissions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Scope 1</th>
<th>Scope 2</th>
<th>Scope 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For details of our climate change management approach, policies and guidelines go to www.goldfields.com/sustainability.php
### Regional performance

#### Americas

**KEY RISKS**
- Water shortages during drier months
- Ability to deliver concentrate for shipping during severe weather events

**STRATEGIC RESPONSES**
- Seek approval for water abstraction in regular Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) updates
- Ensure that an alternate route to the port is ready for use
- Dynamic and predictive water balances
- Increase storage capacity at the port and Cerro Corona

**2018 KEY DEVELOPMENTS**
In April, Peru released a Climate Change Framework law, seeking collaboration between government and the private sector on the government’s commitments to:
- Reduce emissions by 20% to 30% below business-as-usual by 2030
- Meet 20% of carbon reduction goals through the energy, industry, transport, resources and waste sectors

The mine is looking at ways in which it can contribute to the achievement of these targets.

As part of Cerro Corona’s climate resilience plan, the mine:
- Commencing the permitting process for withdrawing additional water from the Tingo river in preparation for a low rainfall year
- Evaluated the key risks of route disruptions as a result of flooding or landslides for transporting ore concentrates to the Salaverry port
- Constructed an additional storage building for ore concentrate at the Salaverry warehouse.

#### Australia

**KEY RISKS**
- Adequacy of flood management measures
- Declining water availability
- Tailings dam stability
- Increased cooling costs
- Legislative changes

**STRATEGIC RESPONSES**
- Flood management plans and critical hazard standards
- Trialling site-based weather modelling at Gruyere
- Maintenance of water balances
- Implemented energy management plans, with a savings target of up to 10%
- Conversion to renewable energies at Agnew, and the assessment thereof at Granny Smith
- Dynamic and predictive water balances

**2018 KEY DEVELOPMENTS**
Australia’s government is reviewing the safeguard mechanism (SGM) introduced in 2016, which applies to facilities emitting more than 100,000 tonnes CO₂-e emissions each year. We expect Gruyere, once operational, to also be governed by the SGM with the baseline determined by its production plan. Penalties are applied for exceeding emission baselines, or domestic carbon offsets must be purchased to make up the difference. Our Agnew and Granny Smith mines have not exceeded their baseline, but St Ives did so in 2017; emission credits from the Granny Smith gas power were used to offset the penalties. The main impact of the SGM review, which is expected to be implemented by mid-2019, will be the transition from historic to calculated baselines, which will better reflect our operations’ current production profiles.

We continue to manage the lack of certainty regarding the government’s climate change policy through efforts to improve energy efficiencies, as well as taking advantage of the government’s carbon abatement initiatives. During 2018, this initiative at Granny Smith generated 21,032 Australian Carbon Credits Units (ACCUs), with a positive balance of 13,450 ACCUs for use against future liabilities or trading in the open market.
**West Africa**

**KEY RISKS**
- Increased operational costs linked to road maintenance, replacement of tyres and dewatering
- Increased volumes of contaminated water requiring treatment
- Short-term impacts to mining during intense rainfall events

**STRATEGIC RESPONSES**
- Staggering of pit floors to aid drainage and dewatering
- Review catchment mapping
- Implement a control process for maintaining road quality for long haulage routes
- Dynamic and predictive water balances
- Provision made for rain delays in operational plan

**2018 KEY DEVELOPMENTS**
Ghana experienced abnormally heavy rainfalls, which impacted both Tarkwa and Damang, and resulted in production delays, the Tarkwa pits being flooded, and additional diesel usage for dewatering. In response, we modified our pumping, storage and pit dewatering strategies.

In 2018, we implemented recommendations of the climate change risk and vulnerability assessment conducted in 2017, including increasing pumping capacity for pit dewatering, reduced reliance on the national power grid, which is reliant on hydro power, and engaging communities on climate change impacts. We also started a new water treatment facility at Damang, which includes adding chemicals to reduce nitrate levels to approved standards, while improving water treatment costs and effectiveness.

To meet the requirements of the Renewable Energy Act of 2011, proposals for renewable power, amounting to 6MW for Damang and Tarkwa, are currently being investigated.

---

**South Africa**

**KEY RISKS**
- Variability in rainfall intensity increasing costs of alternative water sources
- Temperature increases affect surface cooling plant efficiency and causes heat stress for surface employees
- Climate change-related regulatory uncertainty

**STRATEGIC RESPONSES**
- Dynamic and predictive water balances
- Reduce freshwater withdrawals
- Reduce potential Scope1 and Scope2 emissions through improved diesel efficiencies and renewable energy

**2018 KEY DEVELOPMENTS**
South Deep continues to work with an independent power producer (IPP) to finalise the construction of a solar photovoltaic (PV) plant at the mine, though the approach may be more incremental than originally envisaged, taking cognisance of more recent financial and regulatory requirements. In terms of the plan, the IPP will raise funding for the plant in return for a long-term purchase power agreement with South Deep. Funding issues are currently being finalised. The IPP is consulting with the Department of Energy on regulatory clarity around the licensing, technical and other requirements of the plant.

Legislation to levy taxes on companies’ Scope 1 CO\textsubscript{2} emissions will come into effect on 1 June 2019. South Deep’s exposure to the tax is minimal as its Scope 1 emissions, largely related to diesel usage, were only 5,504t CO\textsubscript{2}-e in 2018. A carbon tax levy of R0.10/l was announced by the Finance Minister in early 2019, which amounts to an exposure of around R197,000 (US$15,000) for South Deep. However, should Eskom, the state utility, be allowed to pass on the cost of the tax on diesel usage to customers, their electricity tariffs could rise significantly.
Access to clean water is a fundamental human right and a vital resource for Gold Fields’ mining and ore processing activities. We are committed to responsible water stewardship as it enables security of supply to our own operations. Managing our impact on and access to water is also essential to maintaining our licence to operate, as water is a critical resource for many of our host communities.

We have adopted an integrated approach to water management, including alignment to the ICMM Water Position Statement, baseline water assessments at the operations, and the adoption of a catchment approach to water management based on risk and opportunity analyses. Through careful management, we are able to reduce our environmental impact through responsible use, storage and release of water, while also reducing our costs. Furthermore, we aim to develop our water management policy by Q2 2019.

The ICMM Position Statement on water stewardship commitments was adopted by Gold Fields in 2017. Gaps in terms of our alignment with the ICMM statement were assessed and closed-out by each of our operations in 2018. During this year, we also engaged an external company to conduct a third-party review to verify this alignment to the position statement. This company confirmed our overall alignment rating and found a strong commitment to water stewardship at both corporate and operational levels, with transparent communication and disclosure of our water performance statistics both internally and externally. They also found a need for greater alignment of Gold Fields’ operations’ water balances within the context of the water requirements of the wider catchment area, particularly adjacent communities.

For details of our water management approach, policies and guidelines, as well as our adoption of the ICMM Water Stewardship Position Statement, go to www.goldfields.com/sustainability.php

### Group performance

All our operations have predictive and dynamic water balances in place. During 2018, Gold Fields spent a total of US$32m on water management and projects (2017: US$29m). Our operations are investing heavily in improving water management practices, including pollution prevention, recycling and water conservation initiatives.

Water withdrawal\(^1\) across the Group decreased to 21.2GL (2017: 32.9GL), including a total of 14.5GL relating to freshwater usage. The main reason is a change in the definition of water withdrawal to align with the ICMM Water Reporting Guideline. Dewatered and diverted water\(^2\) was previously reported as withdrawn water by our Australian operations, but has been reclassified as water diverted in alignment with the ICMM definitions as it is not used in the mine processes. Water withdrawal per tonne processed declined to 0.64KL (2017: 0.96KL) and per ounce produced to 10.3KL in 2018 (2017: 14.8KL), in line with the significant drop in water withdrawal.

Water recycled\(^3\) or reused\(^4\) amounted to 41.4GL (2017: 43.3GL). The ICMM has recommended a recycling/reuse target of 65% for mining operations, which we adopted in 2018 and we achieved 66% (2017: 57%).

We benchmark our water usage by participating in the CDP water disclosure programme. The CDP’s water score is an indicator of a company’s commitment to transparency around its water risks, and the sufficiency of its response to them. During 2018, Gold Fields achieved a B score, down from the A-score in its 2017 CDP water assessment. This is a notch below the top mining performers and reflects broader assessment criteria for the mining sector, many of which are not relevant to Gold Fields.

---

\(^{1}\) Water withdrawal – The sum of all water drawn into Gold Fields’ operations from all sources (including surface water, ground water, rain water, water from another organisation or state/municipal provider) for any use at the mine

\(^{2}\) Recycled water – water/waste water that is treated before being recycled and reused

\(^{3}\) Reused water – water/waste water that is re-used without treatment at the same operation

\(^{4}\) Diverted water – water pumped from our underground operations or pits that is discharged into the environment with regulated limits so as to ensure continued and safe mining

\(^{5}\) Total water used in process = water withdrawal + water recycled/reused
Regional performance

### Americas

**KEY RISKS**
- Poorly developed public water infrastructure
  - Cerro Corona being blamed for ongoing or perceived water quality pollution by neighbouring mines
  - Leakage of polluted water from our mine into neighbouring rivers
  - Water-related activism at local and regional levels

**STRATEGIC RESPONSES**
Cerro Corona has a water management strategy in place that includes:
- Permits for water use
- Water balance to control the volume of run-off water stored in the TSF
- Rainwater storage and recycling
- Community water supply programmes
- Water monitoring and quality controls at discharge points
- Proactive engagements with community organisations and local government
- Diversion channels for clean water
- Water permits for the mine, plant and tailings dam

**2018 KEY DEVELOPMENTS**
Cerro Corona remains committed to providing local communities with potable water and implements projects focusing on water provision and improvement of municipal water systems.

The Health Authority approved the registration of Cerro Corona’s water systems used for human consumption.

On 16 December 2018, approximately 180m³ of water containing tailings from the Cerro Corona TSF in Peru, flowed through a creek and reached the nearby Tingo river. This has since been rectified (p95).

We continued negotiations to purchase land for a water treatment plant for the Haulgayoc drinking water system, improving the availability of drinking water for about 4,000 beneficiaries.

Two water efficiency projects, which will reduce the consumption of drinking water in the accommodation camp and reduce the evaporation from water ponds, are planned for 2019/2020.

### Australia

**KEY RISKS**
- The limited availability of fresh water
- Impacts of flooding from extreme events

**STRATEGIC RESPONSES**
Water management strategies are in place, including the development and maintenance of appropriate water balances, linked to operating strategies and post-closure water management plans.

The operations have a long history of using saline to hypersaline water

Nano-filtration water treatment technologies are being evaluated at St Ives to remove magnesium from the water, improving the quality of recycled water

**2018 KEY DEVELOPMENTS**
Granny Smith implemented an initiative to improve surface water through harvesting rain and surface water and reinjecting this water into an aquifer, improving recharge rates and yield. This reduces the reliance on the Mt Weld borefield and supplements the water required for the process plant and Wallaby underground mine.

St Ives has two water agreements in place: the first with the Water Corporation, terminating in 2050, which provides for the majority of the potable water, as well as an agreement with a neighbouring mine, which provides for declining entitlements until 2021 when it will be replaced in full by provisions from the Water Corporation.

Agnew receives water from a number of sources, including a range of pits filled with rainwater.

At the Gruyere project, two borefields will supply the mine and the Gruyere village. The Yeo borefield will serve as the main water source for Gruyere’s processing plant. To date, 21 boreholes have been drilled and installation of a 95km water pipeline to the processing plant has commenced.
Water management continued

**West Africa**

**KEY RISKS**
- Intense periods of precipitation during Ghana’s rainy seasons
- Water pollution affecting communities adjacent to both operations
- Providing potable water in the event of possible water pollution
- The impact of illegal mining on water sources
- Mining landforms affecting natural surface water flow

**STRATEGIC RESPONSES**
Water management strategies include:
- Water storage and reuse
- Water volume and quality monitoring
- Controlled water releases to external water bodies
- Landform reviews to ensure adequate surface water drainage
- Expanded cut-off trenches
- Enhanced pit dewatering strategies

An inaugural Regional Water Working Group was held in Q4 2018, seeking to identify and further incorporate water management opportunities at our Ghanaian operations.

Brine recirculation from the Tarkwa northern heap leach pads continued in 2018. Tarkwa is also examining contracting external companies to provide more advanced treatment options for brine and increase treatment capacity. Damang implemented the treatment of nitrate-laden water from the open pits. The mine also established a water monitoring team, comprising members of the local community, to enhance transparency and communication of water results.

Both operations now have fully functioning water balance software, with teams trained in developing water management models.

---

**South Africa**

**KEY RISKS**
- Growing concerns around water scarcity in South Africa
- Seepage plumes at South Deep’s Doornpoort TSF

**STRATEGIC RESPONSES**
Usage of a number of water sources, including recycling and conservation initiatives, water treatment plants, boreholes and access to the public water system
- Ongoing water monitoring, containment in storage facilities, water treatment and purification, to ensure water security and mitigate water pollution. Undertaking studies of the mine’s impact on the wider catchment area, including a post-closure water management plan and the Leeuspruit legacy study
- Participation in the existing catchment forum
- Environmental educational lectures and tours for local communities

**2018 KEY DEVELOPMENTS**
The Department of Water and Sanitation (DWS) issued South Deep’s water licence in December 2018.

South Deep and Sibanye-Stillwater jointly undertook a study of the impact of historical mining pollution in the Leeuspruit stream, which flows through the operational area of both companies. The Leeuspruit Legacy Project report was presented to the DWS and an environmental NGO, the Federation for a Sustainable Environment. Both parties supported the study and recommended that further work be undertaken. A risk assessment and development of a remediation and closure plan with costs are under way.

Seepage plumes have previously been identified at South Deep’s Doornpoort TSF. As part of the project to contain and reduce these plumes, 13 boreholes and two seepage sumps were equipped with a pumping and monitoring system, which is monitored on an ongoing basis.
The most significant waste materials produced by our operations are tailings, waste rock, chemical waste and hydrocarbon waste. By managing these wastes responsibly, we minimise the environmental and potential social impact, so as to maintain our licence to operate.

All of our operations have tailings management plans in place, including closure and post-closure management plans. In total, as at end-2018, our ten operations (including three JV sites) contained 33 tailings dams, of which 14 were active and one under construction. With regards to active TSFs, Gold Fields currently has two in-pit tailings dams operating at Agnew and St Ives, five downstream/centreline tailings dams and seven upstream tailings dams.

Gold Fields operations with active downstreams/centreline tailings dams are Cerro Corona, Damang and Tarkwa. The new Gruyere TSF, currently in construction, is also a downstream TSF. Gold Fields has only three operations where upstream tailings are being used, being South Deep, Tarkwa and Granny Smith.

As two of our sites, South Deep and Granny Smith, are located in relatively dry regions, limited amounts of water need to be stored on the facilities, significantly reducing the risk of saturation on the dams. Tarkwa’s upstream tailings dams in Ghana have been constructed from imported fill materials, and are designed assuming worst-case scenario conditions, to ensure the embankments remain stable throughout both the wet and dry seasons, and also for the life of the facility.

**METHODS OF TAILINGS CONSTRUCTION**

**Upstream**

- Supernatant pond

**Downstream**

- Supernatant pond

The two most common designs for a raised tailings embankment are upstream and downstream TSFs. A downstream tailings facility is one where the new embankment raise is constructed and supported beyond the downstream slope. Downstream TSFs may have supernatant water ponded against the embankment, as shown in the diagram, or they may have a tailings beach.

In upstream tailings dams, each new embankment raise is constructed partially on the embankment immediately below and partially on the consolidated tailings beach adjacent to the embankment.

**Source:** Jon Engels www.tailings.info/disposal/conventional.htm

**TSF management**

The mining industry’s TSFs are in the spotlight following the catastrophic tailings failure at Vale’s Feijão iron ore mine in Brumadinho, Brazil, in January 2019, which resulted in over 300 deaths. This follows the 19 fatalities during the Samarco TSF failure in 2015, and significant environmental damage after the Mt Polley tailings dam collapse in 2014.

After the Samarco accident, the ICMM members developed a Tailings Position Statement in 2016 and approved a tailings aspirational goals roadmap in late 2018. Gold Fields’ Group Tailings Management Guidelines are aligned to the ICMM Tailings Position Statement. The guidelines were strengthened during 2017 with the inclusion of additional performance guidance and minimum assessment criteria. Subsequent to the Brumadinho tragedy, the ICMM agreed to establish an independent panel of experts to develop an international standard for tailings facilities for its member companies.

All Gold Fields’ TSFs, as well as associated pipeline and pumping infrastructure, are subject to an independent, external audit every three years – or more frequently where required by local circumstances or regulations – as well as regular inspections and formal annual Engineer of Record reviews.

A number of improvement areas were recommended, including:

- Seismicity design considerations
- Appointment of an Engineer of Record for each TSF
- Dam break assessments
- Update of emergency response plans
- TSF seepage management and control

In addition to closing out these identified gaps during 2018, Gold Fields also embarked on a programme to further improve operational safety of its TSFs, including moving away from the construction of upstream facilities.
to centre-line or downstream designs, consideration of filtered and dry stacked tailings, as well as in-pit tailings disposal.

These are in line with the main areas of work under the ICMM’s aspirational goals: improving critical controls and reducing tailings water content.

The following actions have been implemented or are currently in process at our operations:
- The use of a new downstream TSF for the Damang Reinvestment project
- The use of filtered and dry stacked tailings for the planned Salares Norte mine
- The increased use of in-pit tailings disposal in Australia (Agnew and St Ives)
- Increased use of tailings for underground backfill at the Granny Smith and St Ives Invincible mines
- Improved governance over seepage control at TSFs through the installation of liners. All new TSFs recently constructed at Tarkwa, Damang and Gruyere are lined

In February 2019, the Gold Fields Board also requested strengthened governance of the Group’s TSFs through among others, quarterly TSF update reports, continuous environmental monitoring, including satellite monitoring scans, and increased external and independent verification. These are currently being investigated by management with a view to rapid implementation.

Gold Fields is also working with Lepanto Mining, its majority partner in the Far Southeast project in the Philippines, on enhancing risk mitigating measures for the TSF used by Lepanto for tailings disposal from its nearby gold mine. Gold Fields and Lepanto have commissioned external consultants to undertake detailed hydrological, seismic and geotechnical reviews and make recommendations on strengthening the TSF. The TSF is located in a region with high seismic activity and frequent typhoons.

Our technical teams are also working with Asanko Gold to further strengthen risk assessment and governance of the lined and upstream-designed TSF at the Asanko gold mine (AGM) JV in Ghana.

During 2018, two new TSFs were commissioned at our West African operations: the FETSF at the Damang mine and TSF 5 at Tarkwa.

### Waste management

#### Group mining waste

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Million tonnes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Group waste rock volumes mined decreased to 149Mt in 2018 from 171Mt in 2017, due to lower volumes moved at our Tarkwa and St Ives mines. Tailings depositions were at 41Mt in 2018, unchanged from 2017 and despite a sharp fall in depositions at South Deep, due to lower production.

Gold Fields has set a target to maintain the general landfill waste mass (non-hazardous waste other than tailings and waste rock) at 2015 levels of 11.2Mt, by ensuring a reduction in the waste that reaches landfill through greater use of on-site waste separation and recycling. During 2018 the Group reduced landfill waste by 19% to 9Mt as a result of lower waste volumes at Damang, Cerro Corona and St Ives.
Sustainable and integrated mine closure remains one of Gold Fields’ five key sustainability focus areas. We aim to reduce our environmental, community and social impacts, optimise our closure liabilities and, where possible, enhance asset values. Integrated mine closure planning and progressive rehabilitation are a crucial part of our mine closure management programme.

The Group’s focus on progressive rehabilitation during mining operations was advanced in 2018. Progressive rehabilitation presents many opportunities for mining operations, including building credibility with regulators and stakeholders, reducing closure liabilities and achieving cost savings through:

- Utilising available equipment
- Eliminating the need for contractor mobilisation costs
- Utilising current resources such as the environmental management team
- Potential tax savings
- Improving the rehabilitation knowledge base

Progressive rehabilitation opportunities, as identified in mine closure plans, have been embedded in our mines’ 2019 business plans. Our operations have identified practical progressive rehabilitation activities and costs that are aligned to regulatory requirements and which can be implemented in 2019. The 2020 objective is to identify integrated mine closure opportunities to reduce the Group’s long-term closure liabilities. This means planning for post-closure and long-term sustainability in consultation with our communities and other stakeholders. Ongoing participation in the ICMM Mine Closure Working Group and Social Guidance for Closure Taskforce is supporting the Gold Fields focus on social transitioning.

All mining operations have closure plans and closure cost estimates in place, which are reviewed and updated annually. Noteworthy was that the Western Australian Department of Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety informed the St Ives mine in 2018 that its closure plan had been approved for implementation and would be used as a benchmark for other Western Australian mines. The mine closure plan has established a platform for the site’s progressive rehabilitation and has realised an 11% reduction of the closure liability of A$14m (US$10.5m) through improved closure planning and practices.

All operations updated their 2018 closure cost estimates, which were externally assured. The funding methods used in each region to make provision for the mine closure cost estimates are:

- Peru – bank guarantees
- Australia – existing cash and resources
- Ghana – reclamation security agreements and bonds underwritten by banks along with restricted cash
- South Africa – contributions into environmental trust funds and guarantees

The total gross mine closure liability for Gold Fields rose by 5% to US$400m in 2018. A breakdown is provided in the table below.

### Group closure estimates 2018 (US$m)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Africa</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Americas</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group total</strong></td>
<td>400</td>
<td>381</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Due to legislative changes introduced in Western Australia, there is no longer a legal obligation to have unconditional performance bonds in place for mine closure liabilities. Such liabilities for continuing operations are now self-funding. In addition, companies are now required to pay a levy to the state based on the total mine closure liability. This levy is 1% of the total liability per mine, paid annually. This levy goes into a state administered fund known as the Mine Rehabilitation Fund. Capital and interest from the fund will be used to rehabilitate legacy sites or sites that have prematurely closed or been abandoned.

2 Includes 50% of the total Gruyere closure cost estimate.
Stakeholder relations

The quality of our relationships with our stakeholders, those individuals and organisations who have a substantial influence on our ability to create value, are integral to our licence to operate. We consistently balance the needs and expectations of our stakeholders with the best interests of Gold Fields, and therefore cultivate relationships that are open, transparent and constructive. Gold Fields has well established stakeholder engagement practices, and we actively engage our key stakeholders continuously on material issues and publicise these engagements. We consider the following to be our key stakeholders: shareholders and other investors, employees and contractors, communities, governments and business partners (suppliers).

Our Stakeholder Relationship and Engagement Policy, approved by the Board in February 2018, was rolled out during the year as part of the alignment with King IV “to adopt a stakeholder-inclusive approach that balances the needs, interests and expectations of material stakeholders in the best interests of the company”. We further developed an internal portal to register material engagements with our key stakeholders. Our management teams have been incentivised to enhance the number and quality of their engagements with these stakeholders during 2019.

We create and distribute value for all stakeholders in the countries in which we operate. Our total value distribution, graphically depicted on p6, highlights the economic value we created at Group level during 2018, as well as value created in our individual regions. Gold Fields’ total value distribution during 2018 amounted to US$2.71bn (2017: US$2.85bn), in the form of payments to governments, business partners, our workforce, host communities and capital providers.

**Investor relations**

Gold Fields has positioned itself as a globally diversified gold mining company with a portfolio that is characterised by mechanised underground and open-pit mining. Central to our vision of leadership in sustainable gold mining, is the objective of positioning the Group as a focused, lean and globally diversified gold mining company that generates significant free cash-flow, and provides investors with leverage to the price of gold.

Mining is a long-term game. As a business, we need to balance investing for future growth of our portfolio whilst generating cash today. Through our investment projects and strategic decisions, we aim to sustainably extend the life of Gold Fields’ overall portfolio at lower costs than today. We believe that this is also a prerequisite for improving the confidence with which long-term investors as well as buy-side and sell-side market participants view Gold Fields.

For a full analysis of our stakeholder relationship with investors, see the Capital Discipline section on p84 – 90 and the Portfolio Management section on p40 – 55.

**Employee relations**

Our workforce is critical to safe operational delivery. We remain focused on ensuring we have the necessary skills, culture and workforce profile required to meet our objectives and that our workforce is structured to support the delivery of immediate and long-term strategic objectives.

During 2018, the two most significant people-related developments were the restructuring exercise and related strike action at South Deep, as well as the transition from owner to contractor mining at Tarkwa. The key people-related balanced scorecard objectives were driving diversity and inclusion, managing the talent pipeline and ensuring succession planning for critical roles, and strengthening a values-based culture that drives delivery.

For a full analysis of our stakeholder relationship with our workforce see p76 – 78.

**Government relations**

As the issuers of mining licences, developers of policy and implementers of regulations, host governments are among Gold Fields’ most important stakeholders. This requires first and foremost good corporate citizenship from Gold Fields in terms of adherence to all relevant legislation, including the payment of taxes and other levies. We are committed to working with governments at national, regional and local level in establishing sound and transparent working relationships that benefit the countries and host communities.

Gold Fields does not provide financial contributions to political parties and lobby groups unless explicitly approved by the Gold Fields Board of Directors in accordance with the Company’s Code of Conduct. No political donations were made in 2018.

A worrying development over the past few years has been a strong resurgence of resource nationalism in many leading mining jurisdictions. During 2018, these have been particularly pertinent in our South Africa and West Africa regions.
Our engagement in Peru is focused at local, regional and national government levels to address operational, social and sustainability matters. A business-friendly national government is in power in Lima and our engagement with the relevant departments is largely carried out via the National Chamber of Mines, Oil and Energy, especially on regulatory matters. Gold Fields Peru’s legal stability agreement, signed with the Peruvian government in 1997 to facilitate the build-up of our Cerro Corona mine, expired during 2017 and Gold Fields is now subject to the same taxation regime as the rest of the mining sector.

Traditionally, regional and local level officials in the Cajamarca province, which is home to Cerro Corona, have adopted anti-mining strategies and policies, reflecting wider public sentiment among communities. During 2018 there were a number of socio-economic conflicts related to mining in the Cajamarca province, but a more business-friendly government was elected which has stressed the need to build trust between mines and communities. This will make it easier for our social and environmental policies as well as our extensive engagement with all stakeholders, to gain further traction and support not only from community members but also with regional and local authorities.

Our engagement activities will be intensified during 2019 following our tailings leak in December 2018 which, while having a negligible environmental impact, received wide publicity in Cajamarca province and led to protest action at the mine (p.116). The extension of Cerro Corona’s life-of-mine to 2030 will also require more long-term community investment programmes and strategies.

From a regulatory perspective, Gold Fields’ South Deep mine is guided primarily by the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act (MPRDA) of 2002. In 2014, critical amendments to the MPRDA were tabled by the government in the MPRDA Amendment Bill, but the bill has still not been signed into law amid opposition to some of the proposed changes. Among other things, the proposed MPRDA grants the Minister of Mineral Resources discretionary powers which we believe go beyond the original intent of the Act and are unconstitutional, such as the ability to unilaterally set the terms of the Mining Charter at his/her discretion.

One of the key requirements of the MPRDA, which Gold Fields supports, is to facilitate meaningful and substantial participation of Historically Disadvantaged South Africans (HDSAs) in the mining industry. To provide guidance on this open-ended requirement, the Mining Charter, as revised in 2010, was published by the DMR, providing for a range of empowerment actions and a corollary time frame. In terms of the Mining Charter, all mining rights holders are required to submit an annual compliance assessment to the DMR on progress made against meeting the annual targets in the Charter. Gold Fields continues to comply with this process.

The DMR published Mining Charter 3 in September 2018 after consultation with the industry through the Minerals Council South Africa (MCSA, formerly the Chamber of Mines). The MCSA considers most aspects of the Charter a framework within which the industry can live. There are, however, critical areas over which Gold Fields and the industry has very deep concerns, namely that the Charter does not fully recognise the black economic empowerment (BEE) ownership credentials of previous BEE transactions. This is the case in respect of mining right renewals and transfers of these rights. Such a requirement has a severely dampening effect of the attractiveness of South African mining in the eyes of investors and appears also a breach of a Court declaratory order – handed down in April 2018 – which supported the so-called “once empowered, always empowered” principle. The MCSA continues to engage with the Minister and the DMR in an effort to resolve these concerns, and may also follow due process in this regard.

Gold Fields supports achieving a solution that is viable to support economic growth and economic transformation while at the same time fostering a sustainable mining industry in South Africa in which investment is encouraged and rewarded.

While the renewal of South Deep’s mining licence is only due in 2040, we are concerned by the prospect of having to renegotiate our licence under completely different circumstances to those that prevailed when our licence was awarded in 2010. We believe that our current BEE ownership level of 35% meets the principles and spirit of the original Mining Charter, and has created the framework for the ongoing transformation of South Deep.
Stakeholder relations continued

### Mining Charter Scorecard

All mining rights holders in South Africa (including South Deep as the mining rights holder) are required to submit an annual compliance assessment to the DMR on progress made against meeting the annual targets in the Mining Charter.

Gold Fields has reviewed its 2018 performance against the 2014 Mining Charter, South Deep’s 2018 scorecard is shown on this page and illustrates Gold Fields achievements against the provisions of an online scorecard created by the DMR in 2015. In aligning with Mining Charter 3, South Deep has conducted a gap analysis against the Mining Charter 3 scorecard guidelines released by the DMR in December 2018, though there are still some areas of uncertainty and ongoing consultations between the DMR and the MCSA.

As part of its obligations under its mining licence, South Deep also submits a five-year Social and Labour Plan (SLP). The SLP includes projects benefiting communities that are impacted by mining, both in host communities and labour-sending areas. An SLP requires the mining industry to develop and implement comprehensive local economic development, skills and human resource programmes (including employment equity plans and facilitated home ownership) and mine community development.

Under its 2013 to 2017 SLP, South Deep spent approximately R750m (US$53m) in terms of its various SLP commitments. A draft SLP for the period 2018 to 2022 was submitted to the DMR in December 2017 – and resubmitted in August 2018 – for approval. The draft SLP outlines future financial commitments of over R283m (US$20m), with the bulk of this – R258m (US$18m) – being dedicated to human resource development programmes, including learnerships, bursaries and skills development.

#### Element Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reporting</td>
<td>Report on the level of compliance with the Revised Charter for the calendar year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ownership</td>
<td>Minimum target for effective HDSA ownership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and living conditions</td>
<td>Conversion and upgrading hostels to attain the occupancy rate of one person per room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conversion and upgrading hostels into family units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procurement and enterprise development</td>
<td>Procurement spent on BEE entity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multinational suppliers’ contribution to the social fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment equity</td>
<td>Diversification of the workplace to reflect the country’s demographics to attain competitiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human resources development</td>
<td>Developing requisite skills, including support for South Africa-based research and development initiatives intended to develop solutions in exploration, mining, processing, technology, mining, beneficiation, as well as environmental conservation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine community development</td>
<td>Conduct ethnographic community consultative and collaborative processes to delineate community needs analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable development and growth</td>
<td>Improvement of the industry’s environmental management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improvement of the industry’s mine health and safety performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Utilisation of South Africa-based research facilities for analysis of samples across the mining value chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beneficiation</td>
<td>Contribution towards beneficiation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Includes members of the SA Regional Executive Committee and the South Deep mine Executive Committee
2 Core skills include A, B and C graded employees in the miner and artisan categories as well as officials with core skills for mining and/or working in a core mining area(s)
## Measure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>2018 Mining Charter compliance target</th>
<th>Progress against targets as at 31 December 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Documentary proof of receipt from the DMR</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td>South Deep annual submission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meaningful economic participation</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage reduction of occupancy rate towards 2014 target</td>
<td>Occupancy rate of one person per room</td>
<td>0.49 person per room ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage conversion of hostels into family units</td>
<td>Family units established</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital goods</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumable goods</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual spend on procurement from multinational suppliers</td>
<td>0.5% of procurement value</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top management (Board)</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior management¹</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle management</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior management</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core and critical skills²</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human resources development expenditure as a percentage of total annual payroll (excluding mandatory skills development levy)</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>7.7% (R123m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implement approved community projects</td>
<td>Up-to-date project implementation</td>
<td>The two remaining 2013 – 2017 SLP projects, brought forward from 2017 – a poultry farm and a bakery – were fully implemented during 2018 with total spend of R4.8m. Regarding the 2018 – 2022 SLP, effective 1 January 2018, for which DMR approval is still pending – project implementation commenced with a focus on project plans and Memorandums of Understanding with project partners. Actual implementation as at 31 December 2018 was therefore at 0%. This will accelerate once DMR approval has been received. R44.1m was spent on SED (including community trusts), with 0.05% spent on training 14 small medium and micro-sized enterprises (SMMEs).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation of approved environmental management programmes (EMPs)</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The implementation of the two remaining programmes of the five culture transformation pillars (elimination of discrimination and risk management) is still in progress. An EMP performance assessment was undertaken in Q1 2018. The assessment was conducted by ECO Partners Consulting in terms of NEMA Regulation. The results of the assessment were submitted to the DMR in April 2018. South Deep is also ISO 14001:2015 certified, which assists tracking the implementation of the EMP commitments. In addition, the mine commissions annual reviews of the mine closure cost estimates, using independent experts.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation of tripartite action plan on health and safety</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The implementation of the two remaining programmes of the five culture transformation pillars (elimination of discrimination and risk management) is still in progress.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of samples in South African facilities</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Added production volume contribution to local value addition beyond the baseline</td>
<td>Section 26 of MPRDA (% of above baseline)</td>
<td>Current regulations and guidelines are not clear in relation to the baseline levels and targets. However, Gold Fields has made a capital intensive investment in our smelting facility at South Deep, which adds significant value to the gold being mined as well as creating jobs. Gold Fields also owns 2.76% of Rand Refinery, which has established the “Gold Zone”. The aim is for the Gold Zone to become a major hub for precious metals fabrication in South Africa for global export, while at the same time assisting local communities with skills development (including beneficiation).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In March 2016, Gold Fields Ghana entered into a Development Agreement (DA) with the government of Ghana for both the Tarkwa and Damang mines. The highlights of the agreement include a reduction in the corporate tax rate from 35% to 32.5% and a sliding scale royalty tax based on the gold price. The US$1,251/oz average gold price our mines received during 2018 attracted a royalty of 3%, the lowest in terms of the formula.

The DA applies if Gold Fields spends US$500m at each of the two mines for an 11-year period for Tarkwa and a nine-year period for Damang. The DA can be extended by a further five years should additional investments of US$300m each be made.

The DA was a critical consideration for Gold Fields Ghana to commence with the US$341m capital reinvestment programme at Damang during 2017. This investment has significant socio-economic benefits for communities around Damang. The DA will also lead to cost and cash-flow benefits for the Tarkwa mine. The mine has accelerated its near-mine exploration activities, which, if successful, will enable it to invest in future expansion when required.

Another DA commitment by Gold Fields was funding the construction of the 33km road between Tarkwa and Damang at an estimated cost of US$26m. This project is set to be completed in early 2019. The DA does not apply to the Asanko gold mine, in which we acquired a 45% stake during 2018, but our investment illustrates the confidence we have in Ghana’s fiscal and regulatory framework.

The DA has cemented our status as one of the largest contributors to the country’s fiscus. In 2018, Gold Fields paid US$90m in direct taxes, royalties and dividends to the government of Ghana (2017: US$105m). The government holds a 10% interest in the legal entities controlling our Tarkwa and Damang mines.

During 2018 the Ghanaian government issued a letter to the mining sector requiring all gold companies, including Gold Fields, to sell 30% of their gold production to the government with a view to refining it and adding value to the metal locally. The Chamber of Mines is continuing to engage with the government through a joint committee which is looking at mutually beneficial strategies to add value to the country’s gold resources.
Community relations
We recognise the importance of solid relations with our host communities to our social licence to operate. Host communities are identified by each of our operations for the purpose of securing our mining licences – both legal and social. These communities reside in the vicinity of our operations, have been directly affected by exploration, construction or operations, and have a reasonable expectation regarding the duties and obligations of the mining operator. We aim to avoid and minimise negative impacts of our operations on our host communities while maximising the positive benefits.

In 2018, all operations prepared community relations and stakeholder engagement strategies and three year plans focused on maintaining the social licence to operate in their host communities. The regions are progressing with implementation of their plans. Progress on highlights for all of our mines is outlined in the infographics on p114 – 121.

We remain focused on social investment that results in a meaningful and sustainable impact on our host communities, and therefore strive to create Shared Value through host community employment and procurement.

Grievance mechanism
We are committed to addressing community issues and concerns timeously and effectively. Therefore, we rely on a grievances system to maintain confidence and transparent communication with our stakeholders. Our grievance mechanism enables and encourages community members to freely put forward their complaints, while obligating our mines to address the grievances within an agreed period.

Not managing a complaint can lead to further conflict and discontent within our host community. In certain instances we engage members from our local communities to act as mediator should our teams not be able to resolve the grievance. During 2018, our operations dealt with 127 economic, social, and environmental grievances lodged by our host communities (2017: 76). 88 of these grievances were resolved and 39 are still being dealt with.

Measuring our impact and relationships
We conduct independent assessments to gauge the strength of our relationships with our host communities. In South Africa and Ghana, we use the ICMM Understanding Company Community Relations (UCCR) tool, while in Peru we have used the IPSOS research tool to assess our mine-community relationships.

Reflecting a positive upward trend in company community relationship at our operations, the headline findings of these assessments are reflected below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>Community support rose from 33% in 2015 to 52% in 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Community acceptance improved from 5% in 2012, to 7% in 2014, to 32% in 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>Strong community support with a relationship index of 73% at Damang and 78% at Tarkwa in 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We plan to commission independent assessments of our community support again in 2019. Beyond the relationship assessment, Gold Fields has also instituted socio-economic impact assessments and piloted a social return on investment study of South Deep’s community investment projects. These assessments focused on:
- The South Deep host community socio-economic baseline study, using available secondary economic and social data to measure quality of life and contribution indicators for South Deep host communities in 2011 and 2016

Community investment drives integrated development. We focus on socio-economic development (SED) initiatives and Shared Value programmes to create and share value with our host communities. These projects create positive socio-economic impacts for host communities by targeting their priority needs, which we have identified as:
- Employment, particularly for youth
- Skills and enterprise development
- Environmental rehabilitation
- Access to water

These investments are detailed for each region on p114 – 120.

For details of our community relations and stakeholder engagement approach, policies and guidelines go to www.goldfields.com/sustainability.php.

An infographic detailing how we create value for our communities is on p7.
A social impact measurement and valuation study that provides measurable indicators of the effectiveness, impact of and Social Return On Investment (SROI) of South Deep’s 15 most critical community investment projects. The assessment showed that 10 projects had a SROI greater than the money spent.

A presentation on the South Deep measures can be found at www.goldfields.com/presentations.php.

An SROI guide has been developed and we plan to roll it out at our other operations.

**Shared Value programmes**

Shared Value is created when we take a proactive role in simultaneously addressing business and social needs, and benefit both communities and our mines. To achieve synergies of sustainable development with the communities, understanding Shared Value as an opportunity for mutual development is the generator of positive opinion for our work with the communities surrounding our operations, as well as the generator of solid ties of coexistence with our neighbouring communities.

Gold Fields continues to focus on maximising in-country and host community economic impact wherever it operates. In order to obtain this, we increase the proportion of sustainable host community procurement and employment to drive Shared Value.

Group guidance on host community procurement spend and job creation, which are our most critical Shared Value projects, was developed during the year and was implemented in all our regions.

Both the communities and Gold Fields benefit from host community employment and procurement programmes.

**Benefits to the community**

- Build the capacity of local companies to take advantage of mining industry spend
- Provide employment and enhance the livelihoods of host communities through increased incomes
- Enhance the development of small and medium-scale business nodes in host communities
- Improve skills of the youth in host communities to meet the current and future skills needs of our mines

**Benefits to Gold Fields**

- Increase supply base and reduce risks related to supply of critical inputs
- Reduce inventory and, as such, the locking up of capital
- Reduce cost and lead time in procuring inputs
- Develop a pipeline of skilled personnel in host communities
- Secure and enhance social licence to operate

**Host community employment**

We consistently strive to maximise local opportunities and employ host community members at our operations. We build a skills base in our communities through investments in education and skills development. We make our community a priority when employment opportunities arise and encourage our contractors and suppliers to also employ from the community. Job creation is further promoted through our SED initiatives.

A multi-disciplinary team at Cerro Corona works to increase host community employment by using host community employees for seasonal labour requirements.

Australia has a strategy to increase employment of Indigenous Peoples through growing a pipeline of work-ready persons, developing a culturally-inclusive workplace and creating broader opportunities for service provisions to the mines.

Both Tarkwa and Damang have community employment committees in place, comprising representatives from the community, to increase host community workforce employment – with a specific focus on youth employment. At Tarkwa, the community employment committee co-ordinated job vacancies with the mine contractors, who then recruited 130 youth from our host communities in 2018.

At South Deep, employment declined due to the restructuring process at the mine. Local economic development projects enabled 258 jobs.

In the table below we set out the number of host community members – including both employees and contractors – working at each of Gold Fields’ regions in relation to our total workforce.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>633</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>5,411</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia2</td>
<td>647</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Deep3</td>
<td>2,568</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td>9,259</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Workforce comprises total employees and contractors
2. Australia’s 2017 and 2018 performances are based on its new host community definition which is aligned with the Group’s host community definition where communities are those living within an operations’ direct area of influence. These numbers exclude the Perth head-office and the Gruyere project. Previous years’ numbers have not been restated.
3. South Deep’s 2018 performance is based on its revised host community definition which is aligned with needs of the regulator, local government and community stakeholders as well as wit the Group’s guidance. Previous years’ numbers have not been restated.
In 2018, our operations set targets to increase their host community workforce employment. At the end of 2018, 56% of our workforce, or 9,259 people, were employed from our host communities. The sharp increase reflects the prioritisation of host community employment by our Ghanaian operations and the expansion of our South Deep host community to reflect the 2016 municipal boundary change. It now includes all individuals who reside in the Rand West City Local Municipality. The previous definition required individuals to own property in or have been born in the area. We seek to maintain the current levels of host community employment during 2019. Our management teams at the mines are incentivised to achieve long-term host community job creation targets.

**Host community procurement**

We focus on host community procurement to create sustainable community jobs and supply opportunities. We achieve this by supporting areas where community suppliers can participate, identify community suppliers with the ability to supply the mine and providing skills development to close capability gaps. It is key that we procure goods and services from the countries and host communities, where feasible, given the remote locations of several of our mines.

The Group has made good progress on preferential host community procurement with all regions exceeding their 2018 targets. We spent a total of US$441m on host community procurement, which was 27% of our total spend.

Of our total procurement spend of US$1.81bn for 2018, 85%, or US$1.54bn, was spent by our mines on businesses based in countries where Gold Fields has operations (2017: US$1.62bn/88%). US$441m, or 27%, was spent on suppliers and contractors from the mines’ host communities (2017: US$774m/45%). In 2019 we seek to sustain 2018 host community procurement spending levels.

### LOCAL AND HOST COMMUNITY PROCUREMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Local (in-country) spend</th>
<th>Host community spend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Deep</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Australia’s 2018 performance is based on its new host community definition which is aligned with the Group’s host community definition where communities are those living within an operation’s direct area of influence. Previous years’ numbers have not been restated

2. South Deep’s 2018 performance is based on its revised host community definition which is aligned with needs of the regulator, local government and community stakeholders as well as with the Group’s guidance. Previous years’ numbers have not been restated

### In the regions

#### West Africa

Both Tarkwa and Damang significantly exceeded their host community procurement targets of 15% by achieving 40% and 27% of total procurement spend respectively in line with the redefinition of host community procurement. The two mines spend a combined US$229m on procuring from host community suppliers. Following the transition to contractor mining at Tarkwa, the two mining contractors committed to the established procurement model, including procuring from established host community suppliers.

#### Americas

In Peru, host community procurement spend for 2018 was US$24m, 16% of total procurement spend, against a target of 9%. A steering committee was established to align host community procurement with Group guidance, deliver on spend targets and identify work opportunities to shift purchasing from non-host community companies to those enterprises within our host community, and to focus on host community employment by non-host community businesses.

#### South Africa

The definition of host community has been reworked in 2018 to include the Rand West City Local Municipality and all those that reside in it, and the 2018 host community procurement spend has been restated in line with the revised definition. South Deep’s host community procurement spend for 2018 was R518m (US$39m), 29% of total spend and ahead of its 20% target for the year. In 2017 the spend was R448m (US$34m), 18% of total spend.

Beyond the relationship assessment, Gold Fields has also instituted socio-economic impact assessments and piloted a social return on investment study of South Deep’s community investment projects. These assessments focused on:

- The South Deep host community socio-economic baseline study, using available secondary economic and social data to measure quality of life and contribution indicators for South Deep host communities in 2011 and 2016
- A social impact measurement and valuation study that provides measurable indicators of the effectiveness, impact of and Social Return On Investment (SROI) of South Deep’s 15 most critical community investment projects.

The assessment showed that 10 projects had a SROI greater than the money spent

We plan to roll out similar studies at our other operations.
Community relations in Australia

Build relationships and trust

Indigenous People strategy
Because of their traditional rights and important connection to land, Indigenous People are key stakeholders whose support is critical in achieving social acceptance. The relationship with our indigenous stakeholders has been focused in recent years on management of cultural heritage in our areas of operation. The current status of our relationships are:

- At Gruyere, we have built our relationship with the Yilka People (who are the determined Native Title holders for the area, together with the Sullivan Edwards family) through a formal Native Title agreement. Through regular engagement we have been able to pursue opportunities for employment and contracting, as well as manage environmental and cultural heritage issues throughout the construction phase.
- At St Ives, we engage with the Ngadju People, the determined native holders for the area, with a focus on the conduct of heritage surveys. We also participate in community activities in the nearby town of Kambalda and the city of Kalgoorlie.
- At Agnew, we have relationships with the Tjiwarl People (determined Native Title holders for part of the land) and the Wutha People (Native Title claimants over the remaining part of the Agnew property). We regularly engage with both groups in relation to the conduct of heritage surveys, and have been exploring opportunities to formalise these arrangements with both groups.
- At Granny Smith, our key relationship is with the local community at Laverton. We continue to build on our relationship with Indigenous People in the area through the conduct of heritage surveys and cultural awareness programmes.

During 2018, we launched Gold Fields’ Aboriginal Participation Strategy, based on three pillars – societal staging, employment attraction and retention, and value chain participation – and identified actions to be completed under each pillar. Many of these had a focus on the employment of and procurement from Indigenous People (refer to “Create and share value”) with some early successes. We identified a need to bring our existing initiatives and planned programmes together under a consolidated strategy. This strategy was launched in Q1 2019 and seeks to ensure that Gold Fields’ Australian region has a strategy that is aligned with key internal Group policies, as well as external guidelines.

The key steps under this strategy are to:
- Engage to build trusted relationships and resolve conflict
- Deliver benefits that have real impact
- Demonstrate respect

Manage risks and impacts

MATERIAL UNWANTED EVENTS AT OUR SITES

Material unwanted events (MUEs) at our sites can affect our social licence to operate. This is how we addressed them:

- Completed a bow-tie analysis for 15 safety-related, and 13 consolidated, health, environment and community MUEs.
- Developed audit tools to address the 15 health, environment and community MUEs.
- Aligned our sites to the critical control management methodologies.
- Developed a regional heritage management standard.
Create and share value

**HOST COMMUNITY PROCUREMENT**
- During 2018, our supply chain department commenced development of a host community vendor programme to generate greater opportunities for local businesses to supply to our operations. This was achieved by investing A$198m (US$147m) – 24% of total spend – in host community procurement, exceeding our 2018 target of 18%, through 279 host community suppliers.
- Pursuing procurement initiatives to enable host community and Indigenous people to participate in the value chain.
- The engagement of Indigenous People in the conduct of heritage surveys and cultural awareness training of our workforce.
- Supporting our mining contractor, Downer EDI Mining, to identify and develop opportunities for Indigenous contracting opportunities at Gruyere.

**HOST COMMUNITY EMPLOYMENT**
Our Australian operations have a strategy in place to increase employment of Indigenous People by growing a pipeline of people who are work-ready, developing a culturally inclusive workplace and creating broader opportunities for service provisions to the mines. During 2018, we achieved the following:
- We exceeded our target for employment of Indigenous People through additional job opportunities.
- We continued discussions with key contractors at Gruyere to increase the jobs for and employment of Indigenous People.
- We engaged with training companies to assist with the identification of potential traineeship programmes for members or our host communities.

For more details of our host community programmes see p7 and p112 – 113.

**OTHER MATERIAL VALUE CREATION PROJECTS**
The following projects were funded by the Gold Fields Australia Foundation:
- Our St Ives operation provides a three-year, A$150,000 (US$112,000) grant to the East Kalgoorlie Primary School to support around 150 Aboriginal students and their families.
- Annual funding of A$30,000 (US$22,000) provided to Teach, Learn Grow, a programme that addresses educational inequality at schools in our host communities.
- We contributed A$50,000 (US$37,000) to the Lions Outback Vision programme, which will allow eye specialists to perform diabetic retina screening in the Goldfields region, particularly for Aboriginal people.

Gold Fields Australia funds Football West, the governing body for fast-growing soccer in Western Australia, through an annual sponsorship of A$100,000 (US$75,000) for three years. Football West has a strong commitment to the region, inclusiveness and cultural and gender diversity.

**Measure actions and impacts**

**Australia SED spend**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total Spend (US$m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Australia SED by type 2018**

- Economic diversification: 4%
- Infrastructure: 5%
- Health and wellbeing: 49%
- Conservation and environment: 44%
- Education and training: 3%

**Engagement with communities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Value Creation Projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Grievances**

One grievance was carried over from 2017, which was lodged on behalf of the Sullivan Edwards Native Title group and related to the Gruyere project. While not formally closed, Gold Fields has received no further communication regarding the issue. The Sullivan Edwards families were found to hold Native Title by the Federal Court of Australia in 2016, together with the Yilka People. However, prior to this determination, the Sullivan Edwards Native Title claim was being run as a separate and unregistered claim, which meant that they did not have the same procedural rights as the Yilka People, whose Native Title claim was registered. The Yilka People and the Sullivan Edwards families are currently engaged in a process to appoint a single corporation to represent them. We continue to engage with the Yilka and Sullivan Edwards families together, wherever possible.

Beyond this grievance, in 2018 we had one further community-related grievance, which was resolved.
Community relations in Americas

Context

Cerro Corona is located in the district of Hualgayoc in Peru’s northern Cajamarca province, where agriculture and cattle raising are the main economic activities. The mine’s direct area of influence, which has around 5,100 inhabitants, includes the city of Hualgayoc and five rural villages – El Tingo, Pilancones, Coymolache Alto, Coymolache and La Cuadratura (2014).

Poverty among the Cajamarca region’s 1.3m residents is high and education levels low by national standards. While 90% of the district’s population now has access to electricity, only 53% have access to potable drinking water in their homes.

Cerro Corona’s latest perception study (2016) indicates that the main needs of our local communities are access to drinking water, employment and support for their economic activities.

Mesías Guevara, a candidate with a neutral stance towards mining, was elected as Cajamarca regional governor during 2018. Previous governors have been strongly anti-mining and the province has seen anti-mining protests by communities.

During 2018, we changed our main mining contractor from San Miguel to MUR-WY. While there were initially some protests against this decision by affected workers, MUR-WY prioritised the hiring of host community personnel.

In 2017, Cerro Corona announced an extension of its life-of-mine by six years to 2030. Our community engagement strategies have been adjusted to the new timescales.

The majority of our community projects are funded by our Gold Fields Peru Foundation APPD.

Build relationships and trust

- Cerro Corona hosts a monthly Dialogue Roundtable, in which progress on several development projects is provided and future work discussed with the Hualgayoc district local government and community organisations. We also meet regulatory with representatives from our villages, local business organisations and worker representatives.
- We regularly engage with and support events hosted by our communities near Cerro Corona. Among others, we sponsored the Cajamarca Book Fair, the El Tingo Agricultural and Livestock Fair and supported the Patronage Festival in Honour of Our Lady of Carmen in Hualgayoc, one of the most important religious festivals in the province.
- We host regular school and community visits to our mine, as well as visits from other interested organisations and institutions.
- We trained 29 journalists from community media on investigative journalism to build a better understanding of responsible mining.

Manage risk and impact

POTENTIAL PROTESTS AS A RESULT OF ALLEGED IMPACTS OF BLASTING ON HOST COMMUNITIES

Risk: Possible social protests set off by the collapse of houses with structural damage in Hualgayoc, as well as houses allegedly affected by in-pit blasting in the Pilancones hamlet.

Action

- Engagement with the Pilancones community to address housing claims and joint monitoring of vibrations and noise.
- We submit weekly blasting schedules to the local municipal authorities and place notices announcing blasting times at the Gold Fields office in Hualgayoc.
- Close coordination with communities for temporary evacuation of families and their cattle before blasting.
- An assessment carried out during 2016 and 2017 identified 22 houses to be rebuilt in Hualgayoc because of a high risk of collapse. So far ten houses have been rebuilt and the demolition and reconstruction of six others is currently ongoing.
- A reconstruction committee has been set up in Hualgayoc, comprising community representatives, the municipality and other authorities.

2018 spend: US$471,000

COMMUNITY ACTION AGAINST TAILINGS SPILLAGE

Risk: Community action against Cerro Corona in protest of the 16 December 2018 tailings discharge into the Tingo river (p95).

Action

- Regulator, local authorities and communities notified and activated immediately on news of the leakage and environmental parameters returned to normal within 24 hours.
- Rehabilitation of the affected area started immediately and was completed within 20 days – community members were used in clean-up operation.
- Compensation of US$36,000 paid to a nearby trout farmer.
- About US$132,000 paid in restoration activities.
- Engagement with regional environmental activists through government mediation.
Create and share value

HOST COMMUNITY EMPLOYMENT AND PROCUREMENT

- By end-2018, we achieved a 27% host community employment representation – above our target of 25% – meaning that 633 members of our host community now work at Cerro Corona. The mine is working to increase host community employment by using host community employees for seasonal labour requirements. The new mining contractor, MURI-WY, has also focused on employing community members in line with our policies.
- In 2018, we successfully focused on increasing the proportion of sustainable host community procurement spend. This totalled US$24m, 16% of our total procurement spend, against a target of 9% from 233 host community suppliers.
- A steering committee was established to deliver targets, as well as align with new guidance, and to identify work opportunities to shift purchasing from non-host community companies to host community enterprises.

For more details of our host community programmes see p7 and p112 – 113.

WATER SUPPLY

During 2018, we renewed our goal of bringing permanent, high-quality water to our host community for domestic and agricultural purposes. The following projects were completed or are in development under this strategy:

- Construction of the water systems for the Kiwillas and Lipiag hamlets was completed in early 2018. The construction involved 19km of distribution piping, 134 house connections, three water reservoirs and three water catchments. An additional 15 pylons were added to the project.
- The Cuadratura water project commenced in 2017 and was set to be completed in mid-2018. However, the project has been delayed as negotiations continue with a private landowner. Alternatives are being identified.

Benefits to the community: The water systems for the Kiwillas and Lipiag hamlets have provided 1,494 families access to water at a low cost.

Benefits to Gold Fields: These projects further solidify our social licence to operate and reputation in a region where many mining companies have experienced water-related conflicts with their host communities.

2018 spend: US$370,000

LIVESTOCK DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME

- In Cerro Corona’s direct area of influence this programme benefits 599 cattle breeders. During 2018, agricultural experts made 550 visits to farmers during which cattle were given medical treatment and 160 artificial inseminations completed.
- 260ha of pasture were improved or installed in the Pilancoones and Alto Coymolache hamlets.
- Within the wider district, we funded 329 inseminations as part of our genetic improvement project for local cattle. Almost 400 new cows were provided to local farmers.
- Milk production improvement programmes were rolled out to more than 750 farmers in the wider district.
- Sheds for guinea pigs and hens were donated to 67 families.

Benefit to the community: Our livestock development programmes have improved the income and economic welfare of over 1,000 families in our communities over the years.

Benefit to Gold Fields: With this programme, which supports the most critical economic activity of our communities, Gold Fields further solidifies relationships with the farmers in the area, contributing to strengthening our social licence to operate.

2018 spend: US$1.3m

OTHER MATERIAL VALUE CREATION PROJECTS

- We completed the 4km access road to the Cuadratura hamlet at a cost of US$310,000, giving its 1,000 residents easier access to the surrounding area.
- We built and equipped a modern community centre for the El Tingo hamlet at a cost of US$45,000.
- We spent US$45,000 on constructing a Kindergarten and upgrading a children’s shelter in two of our host communities.
- 260ha of pasture were improved or installed in the Pilancoones and Alto Coymolache hamlets.
- 134 house connections, three water reservoirs and three water catchments. An additional 15 pylons were added to the project.
- The Cuadratura water project commenced in 2017 and was set to be completed in mid-2018. However, the project has been delayed as negotiations continue with a private landowner. Alternatives are being identified.

Benefits to the community: The water systems for the Kiwillas and Lipiag hamlets have provided 1,494 families access to water at a low cost.

Benefits to Gold Fields: These projects further solidify our social licence to operate and reputation in a region where many mining companies have experienced water-related conflicts with their host communities.

2018 spend: US$370,000

Measure actions and impacts

Peru SED spend

Peru SED by type 2018

Total spend in 2018: US$6.39m

Engagement with communities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>325</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grievances

There was a marked increase in grievances at Cerro Corona in 2018. However, this is an anticipated outcome of the awareness raising about the grievance mechanism in the communities. A total of 69 grievances (2017: 12) were recorded during the year, of which 21 were employment- and procurement-related grievances, 29 were related to claims for property limits and two related to concerns with the results of the livestock development project. 33 of these grievances were resolved in 2018, 36 grievances are in the process of being addressed.
Community relations in Ghana

Build relationships and trust

- In 2018, we further solidified relationships with our host communities by engaging regularly with local government, community organisations and residents, as well as dealing transparently with grievances submitted.
- During 2018, 130 community youth gained employment in Tarkwa with the mining contractors through the host community employment committee, comprising Gold Fields, the contractors and community leadership.
- A similar committee oversees host community employment at Damang.
- The Tarkwa mine collaborates with local government to implement community projects and programmes.
- Gold Fields celebrated 25 years in Ghana during 2018. The communications campaign included the launch of the Gold Fields Ghana Facebook page to communicate with employees and community members. Details can be found at www.goldfields.com/gold-fields-in-ghana.php.

Manage risk and impact

DAMANG – SEEPAGE AND FLOODING

Risk: The Damang mine experienced a Level 3 environmental incident during the commissioning of the its new tailings storage dam (p95). A farming community, Togbe Junction, raised concerns when they found dead fish in the river. This could potentially impact the mine’s social licence to operate.

Action
- Intensive engagements with leaders and members of the community.
- Provided the community with potable water even though there was no contamination of any of the water sources used by the community.
- Implemented three-year livelihood enhancement projects that were agreed with members of the community.
- Ensured that surrounding communities were not impacted.

Spend to date: US$80,200

TARKWA – VALUATION OF CROPS AND STRUCTURES

Risk: During 2016, a group of farmers near the Tarkwa mine’s Kottraverchy waste dump area disputed previously paid compensation and petitioned the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to mediate. The farmers argued that their crops and structures were not accurately assessed and valued. Various proposals by the mine and the EPA have been rejected by the farmers.

Action
- Independent valuation to re-evaluate the crops and structures carried out in 2017.
- Meetings between Gold Fields and the Land Valuation Board (LVB) to determine the appropriate compensation to be paid.
- Submission of relevant documents to the LVB.
- Investigation by the Ministry of Lands and Natural Resources ongoing.

TARKWA – BRAHABOBOM COMMUNITY DISPUTE

Risk: A group of residents within the Brahhabobom host community, whose structures are close to the Tarkwa mine’s Atuabo-Mantraim pits, petitioned the Commission on Human Rights and Administrative Justice (CHRAJ), alleging infractions by the mine, and demanding resettlement. After its investigation, CHRAJ recommended the following:
- Resettlement of those in the Brahhabobom community who are located within 500m of the pits.
- The adoption of sound blasting practices.
- Operate within the provision of minerals and mining regulations.

Action
- Measures that have been taken by the mine to reduce blast impacts include:
  - Introduction of electronic blasting.
  - Reduction in the number of blast holes.
  - Creation of noise barriers.
  - Planting of vegetation between the pits and the community.
  - Engagement of an independent body to monitor blasts.
  - Suspension of mining in the area closest to the Brahhabobom community.

Following CHRAJ’s recommendations, the Minerals Commission subsequently granted approval for blasting activities within 400m of the community, subject to the implementation of blast controls and blasting protocols. This has been officially communicated to CHRAJ. Tarkwa continues to engage on a final and amicable resolution.

Context

- Tarkwa and Damang are located in the western region of Ghana, which is also home to other gold mines.
- The Tarkwa mine is located in the Tarkwa/Nsuaem municipality, which has a total population of 90,477 (2010 census), though the mine’s host communities only have a population of 47,861. The working population are mainly engaged in agriculture, the informal sector, industry and services provision.
- Damang is in the Prestea/Huni valley district, which has a total population of 159,304 (2010 census). Damang’s nine host communities have a population of 36,231 people. Over half of the working population in the district are engaged in crop farming and almost 30% in livestock rearing.
- During 2018, Gold Fields acquired 45% in the Asanko gold mine, about 100km north of our existing mines. However, Asanko Gold remains the manager and has responsibility for community relations (p49).
- Illegal miners continue to encroach on the Tarkwa and Damang mining concessions. Encroachers are usually arrested by the patrol team (comprising the mines’ protection services team and the local police) and arraigned before court for prosecution. The patrol teams have been trained in applying the Voluntary Principles on Safety and Human Rights in handling encroachers.
- The Tarkwa mine changed its business operating model from owner mining to contractor mining, necessitating the retrenchment of over 2,500 employees. The majority of the retrenched employees were absorbed by the mining contractors. Qualified community residents were given preferential job opportunities during the transition to contract mining.
- Gold Fields Ghana’s community investments are managed by the Gold Fields Ghana Foundation, which receives 1.5% of our mines’ pre-tax profits and US$1 for every ounce of gold sold by them. During 2018, the Foundation invested US$15.3m in community development (2017: US$6.5m). For more details on the foundation go to www.goldfields.com/societal-stakeholders.php.
Create and share value

We set and monitor quarterly and yearly host community procurement and employment targets. At both Tarkwa and Damang, we exceeded our 2018 host community employment targets of 70%. This is attributed to focused efforts to hire or retain host community members during the transition to contractor mining at Tarkwa and during the retrenchments at Damang. Furthermore, our host community procurement spend at Tarkwa increased from 12% in 2017 to 27% in 2018 and, at Damang, increased from 14% to 40% in 2018, exceeding our targets of 15%.

For more details of our host community programmes see p7 and p112 – 113.

REHABILITATION OF THE 33KM ROAD BETWEEN TARKWA AND DAMANG

During 2016, Gold Fields began a comprehensive rehabilitation of the 33km public road that links the Tarkwa and Damang mines, and serves several communities along the corridor. Due for completion in Q1 2019, the road will have an asphalt finish, with safety features to prevent speeding and accidents. The total cost is US$26m.

Benefits to the community: The road is being constructed by local contracting companies who were asked to source workers mostly from our host communities. The improved road will reduce travel time, increase access to social amenities and markets, reduce the cost of transportation, and increase economic activities along the route. Dust pollution will be eliminated, and safety will improve.

Benefits to Gold Fields: A reduction in the cost of transportation and maintenance, improvement in safety, and reduction in travel time and fatigue, which will positively impact productivity. Many Damang employees live in and around Tarkwa, and commute by this road on a daily basis.

YOUTH EMPLOYMENT IN AGRICULTURE

In 2016, we started the Youth in Horticulture Production (YouHoP) programme in collaboration with the German government aid agency GIZ, which is aimed at creating employment opportunities and improving incomes for youth in our host community.

The second phase of the YouHoP programme started in 2018. During phase 2, 323 farmers were selected, bringing the total number of farmers engaged by the programme to 498. Other allied community employment created through YouHoP includes credit officers (12 youth), off-takers (18) and extension officers (9). During the year, farmers were training in disease and pest identification, green label certification, proper harvesting of produce, and off-take agreements.

Benefits to the community: The YouHoP programme reduces youth unemployment in our host communities and improves agricultural production in the area, which leads to increased income that can be reinvested in the community.

Benefits to Gold Fields: The YouHoP programme successfully reduces tension between the mines and the communities, maintains our social licence to operate and improves our reputation within the community.

Spend to date: US$584,000

OTHER MATERIAL VALUE CREATION PROJECTS

- During 2018 we awarded 110 new scholarships and bursaries to tertiary students from our host communities, valued at US$271,000
- Following practical assessments carried out for applicants of the apprenticeship programme at Tarkwa, 50 young men and women were selected in October for training on how to operate dump trucks and excavators. Furthermore, 55 applicants received training in associated professions
- A medical outreach programme was held in Tarkwa and Sekondi-Takoradi. Over 1,000 residents from nearby communities received free health screening and medication
- A cocoa support programme, aimed at assisting at least 100 cocoa farmers each year, was introduced and piloted during 2018

Measure actions and impacts

Engagement with communities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>153</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grievances

There was a decrease in grievances submitted during 2018. 49 grievances were received by both mines through their formal mechanisms during 2018 (2017: 54), relating to social issues (7), compensation (6), and environmental issues (36). All 49 grievances received in the year, and eight unresolved ones from 2017, were resolved. The 2016 grievance relating to disputed compensation by a group of farmers near Tarkwa’s Kottraverchy waste dump remains under mediation. We will migrate our grievance mechanism to an electronic system in 2019.
Community relations in South Africa

Context

- The South African region comprises one mine, South Deep, situated about 45km from Johannesburg in the Rand West City Local Municipality of Gauteng. South Deep operates alongside mines, many of whom have gone through restructuring and retrenchment processes in recent years.
- South Deep embarked on a section 189 process in 2018, and approximately 1,084 employees and 420 contractors were retrenched by the end of the year (p46).
- South Deep has re-evaluated its definition of host communities and recognises all communities within the expanded Rand West City Local Municipality as host communities.
- The local municipality has around 272,000 residents, and struggles with high levels of unemployment, poverty, food insecurity and crime.
- The mine has delivered on its last Social and Labour Plan (SLP) projects, which ended in 2017, and has started on a new SLP cycle for 2018 – 2022 (p108).
- South Deep embarked on a new communication strategy, including launching a Gold Fields South Deep Facebook page to engage with employees and community members.
- During 2017, South Deep conducted a Westonaria socio-economic baseline study as well as a SROI study of the impact of South Deep’s 15 most critical community investment projects. These influenced the choice of 2018 – 2022 SLP projects.

Build relationships and trust

- South Deep hosted environmental visits to the mine, facilitated by the Federation for a Sustainable Environment, a local NGO, as well as open days for communities and schools aimed at increasing awareness of environmental rights and the impacts of gold mining.
- South Deep collaborated with the DMR on the Learners Focus Week, which targeted 300 Grade 9-12 learners from previously disadvantaged communities to address the shortage of skills in the mining industry.
- The development round table established in 2016 conducted three sessions in 2018, with representatives from the mining companies (Gold Fields and Sibanye-Stillwater), the local and district municipalities and the West Rand community stakeholder forum. A formal Memorandum of Agreement governs the round table.
- South Deep extensively engages the Department of Mineral Resources on issues relating to community investments, particularly around the Mining Charter and SLP.

Manage risks and impact

COMMUNITY SAFETY

South Deep’s commitment to safety extends further than the borders of its operation, and the mine therefore works closely with the South African Police Service (SAPS) in Westonaria, Bekkersdal and Randfontein, as well as the Community Policing Forums (CPF’s), to improve the safety of its host communities.

In 2018, there were three community incidents which resulted in the deaths of nine community members, most of them in the Thusanang informal settlement on the boundary of the mine. South Deep undertook a community risk assessment with SAPS, a number of government departments and Thusanang stakeholder groups. The risk assessment focused on empowering local stakeholders to identify risks to community safety and formulate mitigation measures.

The community safety project focuses on crime prevention through increasing visible policing, training, information sourcing and improved crime reporting. Our investments in the CPFs, which were done in conjunction with an NGO, Qhubeka, included a donation of bicycles and winter jackets to patrollers who work as volunteers in their communities. The CPFs have increased its crime prevention awareness campaigns, and are reporting an improved impact of their campaigns since the donation.

Investment in the safety of our host communities solidifies and fosters relationships between South Deep and community members.

Spend to date: R251,000 (US$18,000), including spending by the South Deep Community Trust

Partnerships with South Deep trusts

South Deep Community Trust
- Spend 2018: R3.4m (2017: R3.1m)
- Spend to date (2010 – 2018): R21.9m
- Key projects during 2018:
  - Community safety
  - Enterprise development
  - SMME development

South Deep Education Trust
- Spend 2018: R19.1m (2017: R15.0m)
- Spend to date (2010 – 2018): R112.8m
- Key projects during 2018
  - Westonaria TVET College (using funds from the now-disbanded Westonaria Community Trust)
  - 25 scholarships (2017: 71) for high school students
  - 58 bursaries (2017: 37) for tertiary education students
  - School food garden projects

For more details on the South Deep Trusts see www.sdtrusts.org.za.
Create and share value

South Deep can make a tremendous impact on the employment rate and local economy through its work in host community employment and host community procurement. The host community definition now includes all individuals who reside in the Rand West City Local Municipality. As a result the host community workforce rose to 55% of our total workforce during 2018, though the overall number of host community employees and contractors came down as a result of the retrenchments during Q4 2018.

At the end of 2018, our host community procurement spend was 29% of total spend, or R517m (US$39m). This exceeded the target of 20% and the minimum spend of R500m a year. Host community jobs from mine employment, procurement and community projects totalled 2,569 at the end of 2018.

For more details of our host community programmes see p7 and p112 – 113.

FOOD SECURITY

Food security at household levels is a challenge in our host communities. We therefore initiated food security projects by planting vegetable gardens in four high schools in Westonaria. The infrastructure includes a tunnel, irrigation system, water tank and gardening equipment.

**Benefit to the community:**
- The planned food gardens in four high schools in Bekkersdal, Simunye and Poortjie will feed about 5,000 children
- South Deep employed a local enterprise for the clean-up of school grounds and preparation of soil for the food gardens
- Eight school gardeners have been appointed and receive mentoring

**Benefit to Gold Fields:** Investment in the food security of our host communities will lead to increased school attendance and decreased drop-out rates.

**Spend to date:** R645,000 (US$45,000), including spending by the South Deep Education Trust

OTHER MATERIAL VALUE CREATION PROJECTS

- South Deep entered into a new partnership with Sibanye-Stillwater to close out legacy projects from the former Gold Alliance partnership, and to align social investment projects for optimal benefit to our host communities
- South Deep continues to work with the Rand West City Local Municipality, the Gauteng Department of Agriculture and Rural Development, and Sibanye-Stillwater to employ 35 people collectively as business owners of small agriculture enterprises
- We continue to support two small enterprises – a bakery and poultry project – until these have developed into sustainable businesses. The bakery has an outlet in Westonaria, supplying the local market, and also has a contract with the mine. The poultry project has successfully sold live chickens and continues to grow its profit margin
- South Deep has advanced the planning of the construction of the Simunye Secondary School, which will be completed during 2019 and will benefit more than 1,200 learners who are currently being taught in a prefabricated structure
- South Deep, in partnership with the Department of Agriculture and Rural Development, supported 65 households in the Bekkersdal and Westonaria host communities with the implementation of homestead gardens, by supplying seedlings, agricultural equipment, manure, compost and basic agricultural training

Measure actions and impacts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>South Africa SED by type 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic diversification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and wellbeing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservation and environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education and training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**South Africa SED spend**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total spend in 2018: R46.1m (US$3.49m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>4.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>4.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>3.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Engagement with communities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grievances

During 2018, seven grievances were logged, of which four were employment and procurement-related and three were of a social nature. Four grievances have been resolved, one resurfaced from 2017 grievances, one is outstanding and one is ongoing.
Human Rights

Introduction
Gold Fields’ activities from exploration through to mine closure have the potential to impact the human rights of our workforce and communities, which in turn could negatively affect our ability to create value for our shareholders. Respect for human rights is a baseline expectation for all our operations and fundamental to sustainable development and responsible mining.

Gold Fields’ commitment to respect the rights and dignity of its stakeholders is described in our Human Rights Policy Statement. The policy statement, which is embedded in our Code of Conduct, is aligned to the ICMM Principles on Human Rights and guided by the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights as well as the conventions of the International Labour Organisation. The policy statement also supports the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the Voluntary Principles on Security and Human Rights. The policy statement and the Code of Conduct can be found on our website at www.goldfields.com/policies.php and www.goldfields.com/code-of-conduct.php respectively. Our engagement and relationships with our key stakeholders - our workforce, our communities, governments and shareholders are discussed on p106-121.

Under the policy statement, Gold Fields commits to, among others:

- Not interfering with or curtailing others’ enjoyment of their human rights
- Defending, where possible, employees and external Gold Fields stakeholders, such as community members, against human rights abuses by third parties
- Taking positive action to facilitate the entrenchment and enable the enjoyment of human rights

The Code of Conduct, which is fully endorsed by the Gold Fields Board of Directors, guides our business ethics and values. The human rights policy statement applies to all directors, employees and third parties (including, among others, suppliers and contractors) and regular training and awareness are offered to all stakeholders.

Given the nature of Gold Fields’ footprint and activities, our human rights activities are currently managed through the following functions: legal and compliance, sustainable development, human resources, procurement, community relations, risk and security. A steering committee oversees the work by the various disciplines and regions on human rights and regular feedback is provided to the Board’s Social Ethics and Transformation Committee.

Salient Human Rights issues
We are consistently looking at ways to improve our business and this includes evolving human rights through the identification of salient human rights issues in the Group. Salient human rights issues as defined by the UN Guiding Principles are those human rights that have the most severe negative impacts as a result of the company’s activities or business relationships.

During 2018 we considered the stakeholder groups impacted by the key activities in the business and in our supply chain and prioritised the human rights impacts by determining their severity.

The eight salient issues identified were:

1. Health & Safety: Occupational incident or exposure leading to physical and/or psychological harm and/or illness
2. Human Resources: The impact of our working environment, policies and procedures on employees and contractors
3. Water: The loss of containment and the subsequent impact on water quality released into the environment
4. Public and private security: Abuse of power by public or private security
5. Transportation: Transport incidents involving hazardous substances and/or people
6. Mine Closure: The ineffective, incomplete or failed implementation of mine closure plans
7. Resettlement: Land acquisition, economic compensation and community resettlement
8. Breaches by suppliers/contractors: Breaches of human rights by suppliers, contractors and other business partners in our supply chain

Following the identification process we conducted detailed bow-tie analyses of these issues. The analysis included: listing the causes of each salient issue, their consequences, and preventative controls, mitigatory controls in place. It also listed future mitigation actions to be considered.

The bow-tie analysis for our most important salient issue - health & safety of our workforce - is displayed on this page. The other seven bow-tie analyses can be found on our website at www.goldfields.com/integrated-annual-reports.com

While the failure of a tailings facility is identified under the water salient issue, TSFs are in the spotlight following the catastrophic tailings failure at the Córrego do Feijão iron ore mine in Brumadinho, Brazil, in January 2019, which resulted in over 300 deaths. In the wake of the tragedy, Gold Fields is undertaking technical reviews and strengthening governance of our TSFs as an active participant in the ICMM-led process described on p103. We are working to improve our emergency preparedness and response and community engagement around this issue, in addition to ensuring that our management and technical oversight remain vigilant.

During 2019 we plan to appoint a pilot site among our eight mines to repeat the Group process and identify its key salient human rights issues. We also plan to start the process of closing out mitigation actions identified during the Group’s salient issue process.
### Salient issues – health and safety:

**Occupational incident or exposure leading to physical and/or psychological harm and/or Illness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Causes</th>
<th>Consequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negligence</td>
<td>Injury, disability, loss of life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor understanding of link between mining and community health and safety</td>
<td>Lack of government support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catastrophic infrastructure failure</td>
<td>Illness and incapacitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure to harmful environment</td>
<td>Legal liability (civil and/or criminal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inadequate safety standards and implementation/enforcement</td>
<td>Psychological effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor safety culture</td>
<td>Increased anti-mining sentiment in communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-compliance with legislation, policies, standards and/or procedures</td>
<td>Business interruption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural events</td>
<td>Low morale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failure of infrastructure</td>
<td>Fines and sanctions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor occupational assessment (physical and mental)</td>
<td>Reputational damage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisational culture</td>
<td>Scrutiny from regulators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor leadership</td>
<td>Difficulty in attracting skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational and personal stress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pollution</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inadequate resources to control risks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Leading up to the event**

**Preventative controls**

- Policies and procedures – up to date, communicated and understood
- Targeted health education and awareness campaigns
- Compliance to regulatory framework
- Health and safety management system
- Organisational culture interventions
- OHSAS certifications
- Disciplinary and grievance procedure
- Behaviour based safety programmes
- Occupational health assessments
- Proper design, certified where applicable
- Health and safety training on relevant risks
- Support to public health institutions in host communities
- Infrastructure maintenance policy
- Zero Harm commitments
- Employee assistance programmes
- Board and management oversight
- Industry networking and collaboration
- Employee support and life skills programme
- Risk management
- Community grievance mechanism
- Recognition and incentive programme

**Once the event has occurred**

**Mitigation and damage control**

- Accident investigation and close out of corrective actions
- Provide access to medical care to indigent community members
- Family compensation
- Health care
- Rehabilitation
- Address community concerns/complaints
- Compensation and insurance

**Considerations for further mitigation**

- Focus on psychological harm (e.g. risks arising from Fly-In, Fly-Out work arrangements)
- Consideration of shared value opportunities, such as safety and wellness training

### Our due diligence actions

Gold Fields has in place numerous policies and strategies to deal with the salient human rights issues at our mines and projects listed earlier. These cover interactions and engagements with governments, our workforce, communities, suppliers and security personnel, as well as mechanisms for dealing with stakeholders’ grievances.

### Workforce Rights

The Gold Fields policy statement notes our commitment to uphold the highest standards of human rights within our workforce, including: freedom from child labour; freedom from forced or compulsory labour; freedom from discrimination (while recognising the need to affirm previously disadvantaged groups); and freedom of association and collective bargaining. All induction training (including that provided by the Gold Fields Internal Protection Services team) includes key human rights elements, and the Company’s internal grievance mechanisms ensure employees and contractors can raise human rights concerns.

All grievances are handled by the Gold Fields Human Resources function, in consultation with legal teams for human rights concerns, which uses a defined process to record, evaluate and address legitimate complaints. Employees can also raise concerns via independent counsellors, as part of the Gold Fields Employee Assistance Programme, and make use of Gold Fields’ confidential, third-party whistleblowing hotline.

All new employees are required to sign the Code of Conduct and receive awareness training during induction. Code of Conduct eLearning, which was launched in 2017, is mandatory for all employees. Gold Fields runs a human rights campaign on Human Rights Day to raise awareness of these issues.

### Performance in 2018:

- We received 61 grievances from employees, of which 14 related to harassment or discrimination. Of these, 60 were resolved, with seven cases leading to the dismissal of the accused employee or contractor. Two cases are still being addressed
- By end-2018, 66% of Gold Fields employees had completed the Code of Conduct eLearning course, which was launched in 2017 and will be completed during 2019

### Community Rights

In our engagement with communities, we ensure that the following key human rights, amongst others, are respected: Indigenous People’s rights, minimisation of involuntary resettlement (subject to fair
compensation where unavoidable), treatment of artisanal and small-scale miners as well as respectful security enforcement.

Environmental and social impact assessments, which assess the actual or potential impacts of our operations and projects on local communities and other stakeholders, have been undertaken by all operations. The assessments include human rights aspects. Risk assessments are undertaken on an ongoing basis and grievance mechanisms are in place at the operations to record, address and respond to social, environmental and human rights grievances.

Performance in 2018:
- We recorded two Level 3 environmental incidents - at our Damang mine in Ghana and at Cerro Corona in Peru. Both incidents were successfully mitigated. Details on p95
- A group of community residents near our Tarkwa mine in Ghana have petitioned a government agency for resettlement, alleging infractions by the mine. Details on p118

Suppliers
Gold Fields’ business relies on multiple, large-scale suppliers and contractors to carry out mining, development, construction and other forms of work at its operations. All suppliers and contractors are included in Gold Fields’ own health and safety management systems to ensure that contractors benefit from safe and healthy working conditions. All internal and external stakeholders wishing to report human rights violations are able to make use of Gold Fields’ confidential, third-party whistleblowing hotline. Where such complaints are made, the relevant Gold Fields department follows up on the matter, establishing its authenticity and, if proved accurate, institutes the appropriate corrective measures.

From a contractual point of view, all our Third Party agreements contain a standard provision requiring compliance with the Gold Fields Human Rights Policy Statement. Compliance with the Gold Fields Supplier Code of Conduct is also required in the agreements.

The Group has developed an external Third Party screening solution to screen new and existing suppliers and contractors for an array of pre-defined risk categories, including human rights and related violations and/or transgressions. Risk profiles for active external suppliers and contractors with post-screen alerts are then established and risk mitigated. The screening solution screens all suppliers and contractors on a monthly basis for adverse media exposure alerts, involving regulators, governments, recorded discrimination, workers’ right issues and human rights transgressions in the workplace.

Performance in 2018:
- We developed a Supplier Code of Conduct which translates the requirements of the Gold Fields Code of Conduct into terms appropriate to our suppliers

Security
Gold Fields’ protection services teams work with both private and public security providers - for the effective and responsible protection of workers and assets. All private security contractors receive human rights training during induction, and at least annually thereafter, including on the Voluntary Principles on Security and Human Rights (VPSHR). A study was carried out during 2017 to assess the gaps between our current systems and the VPSHR - no substantive gaps were identified. Work was carried out in 2018 to close the gaps. Where we work with public law enforcement personnel, such as in Ghana in dealing with illegal mining, we ensure that the personnel operate responsibly, guided by the VPSHR.

Gold Fields is committed to responsible materials stewardship. In this context, we support global efforts to prevent the use of newly mined gold to finance conflict. We have voluntarily adopted the Conflict-Free Gold Standard of the World Gold Council (WGC). The standard is applied at all relevant locations through assurance audits. Although we withdrew our WGC membership in 2014, we have and will continue to apply both the Standard and its guidelines. Further information is available at www.goldfields.com/sustainability-reporting.php.

Performance in 2018:
- We reviewed private sector security providers’ contracts to ensure they are aligned to the VPSHR
- We updated out Human Rights Policy Statement to reference our support for the VPSHR
- Cerro Corona used an independent contractor to carry out a detailed assessment of its human rights risks and implementation requirements, with particular reference to the VPSHR. This had the additional benefit of improving human rights awareness of senior staff

Grievance Mechanisms
We are committed to addressing community issues and concerns timeously and effectively. Therefore we rely on a grievance reporting system to maintain confidence and transparent communication with our stakeholders. Our grievance mechanism enables and encourages community members to freely put forward their complaints, while obligating our mines to address the grievances within an agreed period.

Not managing a complaint can lead to further conflict and discontent within our host community. In certain instances we engage members from our local communities to act as mediator should our teams not be able to resolve the grievance.

Performance in 2018:
Our operations dealt with 127 economic, social, and environmental grievances lodged by our host communities (2017: 76) during the year. 88 of these grievances were resolved and 39 are still being dealt with. Details of the grievances raised against our mines can be found in the community relations section on p114 - 124.
Safety briefing before a shift at South Deep
Internal and external assurance is provided over selected sustainability data contained in the Integrated Annual Report

- First party: Internal audit statement
- Independent assurance statement to the Board of Directors and stakeholders of Gold Fields
- Key sustainability performance data
- Administration and corporate information
First Party: Internal Audit Statement

Gold Fields Internal Audit (GFIA) is an independent assurance provider to the Gold Fields Audit Committee on the effectiveness of the governance, risk management and control processes within Gold Fields.

The internal audit activities performed during the year were identified through a combination of the Gold Fields risk management and combined assurance framework, as well as the risk-based methodology adopted by the Gold Fields Internal Audit function. Internal audit complies with the Institute of Internal Auditors’ International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing, in the execution of its assurance function. Furthermore, GFIA operates a quality assurance programme that involves performing detailed quality review assessments.

Annually, the risk-based annual audit plan is approved by the Audit Committee. The internal audit activities are executed by a team of appropriately qualified and experienced internal auditors, or through the engagement of external practitioners on specified and agreed terms. The internal audit team is based in South Africa and services all the Gold Fields operations globally. The Vice-President and Group Head of Internal Audit has a functional reporting line to the Audit Committee and provides quarterly feedback to the Audit Committee.

Based on the work performed by GFIA during the year, the Vice-President and Group Head of Internal Audit has presented the Audit Committee with an assessment on the effectiveness of the Company’s governance, risk management and system of internal control. It is GFIA’s opinion that the governance, risk management and internal control environment are effective within the Gold Field business and provide reasonable assurance that the objectives of Gold Fields will be achieved. This GFIA assessment forms one of the basis for the Audit Committee’s recommendation in this regard to the Board.

Shyam Jagwanth  
Vice-President and Group Head of Internal Audit  
Johannesburg, South Africa  
25 March 2019
Independent Assurance Statement to the Board of Directors and Stakeholders of Gold Fields Limited

ERM Southern Africa (Pty) Ltd (ERM) was engaged by Gold Fields to provide assurance in relation to selected sustainability information set out below and presented in Gold Fields’ 2018 Integrated Annual Report for the year ended 31 December 2018 (‘the Report’).

**Engagement summary**

**Engagement scope (subject matters):**

1. Whether the 2018 data, for the period 1 January 2018 to 31 December 2018, for the selected performance indicators listed in Tables 1 and 2 overleaf, are fairly presented, in all material respects.
2. Whether the Directors’ statement in the About this Report section of the Report that Gold Fields has complied with the ICMM Sustainable Development Framework, Principles, Position Statements and reporting requirements is, in all material respects, fairly stated.

**Reporting Criteria:**

For environmental, health and safety and social KPIs:
- GRI Standards (‘Core’ in-accordance option) and the GRI’s Mining and Metals Sector Disclosure (2013)
- Gold Fields GRI Standards Sustainability Reporting Guideline, V16 (September 2018)

For Mining Charter related KPIs:
- Amendment to the BBSEEC (2010) and related scorecard (2010) for the South African Mining and Minerals Industry

**Standard Used:** ERM CVS’ assurance methodology based on the International Standard on Assurance Engagements ISAE 3000 (Revised) and ISAE 3410 (for GHG Statements).

**Assurance Level:** Reasonable assurance for all Subject Matters

**Respective responsibilities:**

Gold Fields is responsible for preparing the Report, including the collection and presentation of the selected sustainability information within it, in accordance with the reporting criteria, the design, implementation and maintenance of related internal controls, and for the integrity of its website.

ERM’s responsibility is to provide an opinion on the selected information based on the evidence we have obtained and exercising our professional judgement.

**Our assurance activities**

We planned and performed our work to obtain all the information and explanations that we believe were necessary to reduce the risk of material misstatement to low and therefore provide a basis for our assurance opinion. Using the ICMM Sustainable Development Framework: Assurance Procedure (2008) as a guide, a multi-disciplinary team of sustainability and assurance specialists performed the following assurance activities, including:

- Reviewing external media reporting relating to Gold Fields, peer company annual reports and industry standards to identify relevant sustainability issues in the reporting period.
- Interviews with relevant corporate level staff to understand Gold Fields’ sustainability strategy, policies and management systems, including stakeholder engagement and materiality assessment.
- Interviews with a selection of staff and management, including senior executives, to gain an understanding of:
  - The status of implementation of the ICMM Sustainable Development Principles in Gold Fields’ strategy and policies;
  - Gold Fields’ identification and management of sustainable development risks and opportunities as determined through its review of the business and the views and expectations of its stakeholders;
  - Observation of an external stakeholder engagement meeting on material issues facing the business.
- Reviewing policies and procedures and assessing alignment with ICMM’s 10 Sustainable Development Principles and other mandatory requirements set out in the ICMM’s Position Statements in effect as at 31 December 2018.
- Testing the processes and systems, including internal controls, used to generate, consolidate and report the selected sustainability information.
- Reviewing the suitability of the internal reporting guidelines, including conversion factors used.
- Physical visits to interview responsible staff and verify source data and other evidence at the following sites:
  - South Deep, South Africa
  - Tarkwa, Ghana
  - Damang, Ghana
  - Granny Smith, Australia
- Virtual reviews to verify source data for the following sites:
  - Agnew, Australia
  - St Ives, Australia
  - Cerro Corona, Peru
Independent Assurance Statement to the Board of Directors and Stakeholders of Gold Fields Limited

- An analytical review of the year-end data submitted by the sites listed above, and testing of the accuracy and completeness of the consolidated 2018 Group data for the selected KPIs.
- Reviewing the presentation of information relevant to the scope of our work in the Report to ensure consistency with our findings.

**Our assurance opinion**

In our opinion:

- The selected sustainability performance information set out in Tables 1 and 2 for the year ended 31 December 2018 is prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the Gold Fields reporting criteria; and
- The Directors’ statement in the About this Report section of the Report that Gold Fields has complied with the ICMM Sustainable Development Framework, Principles, Position Statements and reporting requirements is, in all material respects, fairly stated.

**The limitations of our engagement**

The reliability of the assured data is subject to inherent uncertainties given the methods for determining, calculating or estimating the underlying information. It is important to understand our assurance opinions in this context. Our independent assurance statement provides no assurance on the maintenance and integrity of the Gold Fields’ website, including controls used to achieve this integrity, and in particular, whether any changes may have occurred to the information since it was first published.

**Our observations**

We have provided Gold Fields with a separate detailed management report. Without affecting the opinions presented above, we have the following observations:

- Following ERM’s recommendation made in last year’s statement for South Deep and Tarkwa mines to address deficiencies in documentation relating to safety performance information, ERM did indeed observe improvements in documentation. ERM also noted several efforts initiated by Gold Fields during 2018 to mitigate employee health and safety risks and improve safety performance. We strongly encourage Gold Fields to continue its programme of strengthening its safety culture through these efforts.
- We observed considerable efforts to reduce energy consumption through energy savings initiatives implemented across the Group, notably in the Australia and West Africa regions. In addition, in response to the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) Recommendations, Gold Fields has begun to evaluate the financial risks and opportunities for its business. We encourage Gold Fields to continue its efforts in this regard and in reducing the carbon intensity of its operations.
- Regarding socio-economic development spend information, consistent with last year’s observations; an opportunity for improvement exists in the Australia region in structuring and formalising a process for consolidating and reporting its social performance data.

Donald Gibson
Partner
ERM CVS, London
25 March 2019

Jennifer Iansen-Rogers
Partner, ERM CVS, London
25 March 2019

ERM Southern Africa (Pty) Ltd, Johannesburg, South Africa
www.erm.com
Email: donald.gibson@erm.com

ERM Southern Africa (Pty) Ltd and ERM Certification and Verification Services (CVS) are members of the ERM Group. Our work complies with the requirements of ERM’s Global Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (available at https://erm.com/global-code). Further, ERM CVS is accredited by the United Kingdom Accreditation Service and our operating system is designed to comply with ISO 17021:2011. Our processes are also designed and implemented to ensure that the work we undertake with clients is free from bias and conflict of interest (refer to both the abovementioned Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, and the ERM CVS Independence and Impartiality Policy available at http://www.ermcvs.com/our-services/policies/independence/). The ERM and ERM CVS staff that have undertaken work on this assurance engagement provide no consultancy related services to Gold Fields in any respect related to the subject matter assured.
Key sustainability performance data

Table 1. Data for selected sustainability performance indicators for the 2018 reporting year presented for reasonable assurance in accordance with Subject Matter 4 of the International Council on Mining and Metals’ (ICMM) Sustainable Development Framework: Assurance Procedure, and prepared in accordance with the Gold Fields Assurance KPI Definitions (available on Gold Fields’ Website) and the GRI Sustainability Reporting Standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Reported 2018 data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Environment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total CO₂ equivalent emissions, Scope 1-3</td>
<td>Tonnes</td>
<td>1,852,190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity purchased</td>
<td>MWh</td>
<td>1,283,940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diesel</td>
<td>KI</td>
<td>183,520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total energy consumed/total tonnes mined</td>
<td>GJ/total tonnes mined</td>
<td>0.06 (11,628,058 GJ / 184,345,022 tonnes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total energy consumed/ounces of gold produced</td>
<td>GJ/ounces of gold produced</td>
<td>5.64 (11,628,058 GJ / 2,063,202 ounces)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total water withdrawal</td>
<td>Ml</td>
<td>21,179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total water recycled/re-used per annum</td>
<td>Ml</td>
<td>41,382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water intensity</td>
<td>Kl withdrawn/ ounces of gold produced</td>
<td>10.3 (21,178,512 Kl / 2,063,202 ounces)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of environmental incidents - Level 2</td>
<td>Number of incidents</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of environmental incidents - Level 3 and above</td>
<td>Number of incidents</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of cases of Silicosis reported</td>
<td>Number of cases</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of cases of Noise Induced Hearing Loss reported</td>
<td>Number of cases</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardio Respiratory (Tuberculosis)</td>
<td>Number new cases reported</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of cases of Malaria tested positive per annum</td>
<td>Number of positive cases</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of South African and West African employees in the HAART programme (cumulative)</td>
<td>Number of employees</td>
<td>336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of South African workforce on the voluntary counselling and testing (VCT) programme</td>
<td>Percentage (%) of workforce</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of West African workforce on the voluntary counselling and testing (VCT) programme</td>
<td>Percentage (%) of workforce</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Safety</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Recordable Injury Frequency Rate (TRIFR) - Employees, Contractors, Total</td>
<td>Number of TRIs/manhours</td>
<td>Employees: 1.94 (43 TRIs / 22,151,709 manhours)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Contractors: 1.75 (56 TRIs / 32,047,611 manhours)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total: 1.83 (99 TRIs / 54,199,320 manhours)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of fatalities</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total socio-economic development (SED) spend</td>
<td>US$</td>
<td>25,711,891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of host community workforce employment</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of host community procurement spend</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total value created and distributed</td>
<td>US$</td>
<td>2,711,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Selected sustainability performance indicators for the 2018 reporting year presented for reasonable assurance in accordance with Subject Matter 4 of the ICMM’s Sustainable Development Framework: Assurance Procedure, and prepared in accordance with the Broad-Based Socio-Economic Empowerment Charter for the South African Mining and Minerals Industry (BBSEEC) (2002) and related Scorecard (2004); the Amendment to the BBSEEC (2010) and related scorecard (2010) for the South African Mining and Minerals Industry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Reported 2018 data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mining Charter</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and living conditions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupancy rate of one person per room</td>
<td>Ratio (employee : hostel room)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage conversion of hostels into family units</td>
<td>Percentage (%)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Procurement &amp; Enterprise Development</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procurement spend from BEE(^1) entity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital goods (%)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services (%)</td>
<td>81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumable Goods (%)</td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual spend on procurement from multinational suppliers: Contribution set aside / allocated by the mining right holders</td>
<td>Percentage (%)</td>
<td>1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employment equity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDSAs(^2) in management</td>
<td>Top (Board) (%)</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior (Exco) (%)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle (%)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior (%)</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core skills (%)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Human Resource Development (HRD)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRD expenditure as a percentage of total annual payroll (excluding mandatory skills development levy)</td>
<td>Percentage (%)</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mine Community Development</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total LED(^3) spend for the year and LED spend per SLP(^4) project in the current year</td>
<td>Total LED spend (R)</td>
<td>4,842,948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total SED(^5) spend for the year, including community trusts</td>
<td>Total SED spend (R)</td>
<td>44,108,690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up-to-date implementation of approved community projects</td>
<td>Percentage (%) overall implementation and of each project</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sustainable Development &amp; Growth</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved EMP(^6) implementation</td>
<td>Percentage (%)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tripartite action plan on health and safety implementation</td>
<td>Percentage (%)</td>
<td>95.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of samples in South African facilities</td>
<td>Percentage (%)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Black Economic Empowerment  
\(^2\) Historically Disadvantage South African  
\(^3\) Local Economic Development  
\(^4\) Social and Labour Plan  
\(^5\) Social Economic Development  
\(^6\) Environmental Management Programme
Administration and corporate information

**COMPANY SECRETARY**
Lucy Mokoka  
Tel: +27 11 562 9719  
Fax: +27 11 562 9829  
e-mail: lucy.mokoka@goldfields.com

**REGISTERED OFFICE**
Johannesburg  
Gold Fields Limited  
150 Helen Road  
Sandown  
2196  
Phone numbers  
Tel: +27 11 562 9700  
Fax: +27 11 562 9829

**OFFICE OF THE UNITED KINGDOM SECRETARIES**
London  
St James's Corporate Services Limited  
Suite 31, Second Floor  
107 Cheapside  
London  
EC2V 6DN  
United Kingdom  
Tel: +44 20 7796 8644  
Fax: +44 20 7796 8645  
e-mail: general@corpserv.co.uk

**AMERICAN DEPOSITORY RECEIPTS TRANSFER AGENT**
Shareholder correspondence should be mailed to:  
BNY Mellon Shareowner Services  
PO Box 30170  
College Station, TX 77842-3170  
Overnight correspondence should be sent to:  
BNY Mellon Shareowner Services  
211 Quality Circle, Suite 210  
College Station, TX 77845  
e-mail: shareholders@cpushareownerservices.com

**SPONSOR**
J.P. Morgan Equities South Africa Proprietary Limited

---

**Gold Fields Limited**  
Incorporated in the Republic of South Africa  
Registration number 1968/004880/06  
Share code: GFI  
Issuer code: GOGOF  
ISIN – ZAE 000018123

**INVESTOR ENQUIRIES**
Avishkar Nagaser  
Tel: +27 11 562 9775  
Mobile: +27 82 312 8692  
e-mail: avishkar.nagaser@goldfields.com

Thomas Mengel  
Tel: +27 11 562 9849  
Mobile: +27 72 493 5170  
e-mail: thomas.mengel@goldfields.com

**MEDIA ENQUIRIES**
Sven Lunsche  
Tel: +27 11 562 9763  
Mobile: +27 83 260 9279  
e-mail: sven.lunsche@goldfields.com

**TRANSFER SECRETARIES**
South Africa  
Computershare Investor Services Proprietary Limited  
Rosebank Towers  
15 Biermann Avenue  
Rosebank  
Johannesburg  
2196  
PO Box 61051  
Marshalltown  
2107  
Tel: +27 11 370 5000  
Fax: +27 11 688 5248

United Kingdom  
Link Asset Services  
The Registry  
34 Beckenham Road  
Beckenham  
Kent BR3 4TU  
England  
Tel: 0871 664 0300  
Calls cost 12p per minute plus your phone company’s access charge.  
If you are outside the United Kingdom, please call +44 371 664 0300.  
Calls outside the United Kingdom will be charged at the applicable international rate.  
The helpline is open between 9:00am – 5:30pm. Monday to Friday excluding public holidays in England and Wales.  
e-mail: enquires@linkgroup.co.uk

**Website**
WWW.GOLDFIELDS.COM

**Listings**
JSE / NYSE / GFI  
SX: GOLI

---

CA Carolus° (Chair) RP Menell° (Deputy Chair) NJ Holland* (Chief Executive Officer) PA Schmidt• (Chief Financial Officer)  
A Andani#° PJ Bacchus° TP Goodlace° C Lettonˆ° P Mahanyele-Dabengwa* SP Reidˆ° YGH Suleman°  
° Australian • British # Ghanaian  
* Independent Director • Non-independent Director